# TARIFF BARGAINING UNDER MOST-FAVORED-NATION TREATIES

### LETTER

FROM THE

## CHAIRMAN OF THE UNITED STATES TARIFF COMMISSION

TRANSMITTING IN PARTIAL RESPONSE TO

S.Res. 325

SEVENTY-SECOND CONGRESS

CERTAIN INFORMATION RELATIVE TO TARIFF BAR-GAINING UNDER CONDITIONAL AND UNCONDITIONAL MOST-FAVORED-NATION TREATIES, LIST OF COMMER-CIAL TREATIES OF THE UNITED STATES—NOW IN FORCE, AND LIST OF TREATIES OF ALL NATIONS IN FORCE ON JANUARY 1, 1933, PLEDGING MOST-FAVORED-NATION TREATMENT IN REGARD TO IM-PORT DUTIES

(Part IV of report under S.Res. 325)



March 13 (calendar day, March 30), 1933.—Referred to the Committee on Finance and ordered to be printed

UNITED STATES

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

S.Docs., 73-1, vol. 9----5

WASHINGTON: 1934

### CONTENTS

001122120	ъ.
Letter of transmittal  General introduction to material prepared in response to Senate Resolution No. 325	Pa V
Introduction to the report on tariff bargaining	·
SECTION I	
Tariff bargaining under conditional and unconditional most-favored- nation treaties: Relevant ways and means of tariff bargaining Lists of countries entitled by treaty or agreement to conditional or unconditional most-favored-nation treatment from the United States— Unconditional obligations Conditional obligations Definition of conditional and unconditional most-favored-nation obligations, with illustrations Use of conditional and unconditional forms— By the United States By foreign countries Respective advantages of the two forms Methods of bargaining under conditional most-favored-nation treaties compared with methods under the unconditional form The padding of tariff rates in preparation for bargaining Summary of reciprocity experiences of the United States— Reciprocal executive agreements	
SECTION II	
List of commercial treaties and agreements of the United States in force April 1, 1933Exceptions provided in the treaties	
SECTION III	
List of commercial treaties of all nations in force January 1, 1933, pledging most-favored-nation treatment with respect to customs duties	
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	
Cooperation of the Department of State in preparing this report	11

### LETTER OF TRANSMITT

United States Tariff Commission, Washington, March 29, 1933.

The President of the Senate, Washington, D.C.

Sir: I have the honor to transmit herewith a report in answer to paragraphs 10 and 11 of Senate Resolution 325, Seventy-second Congress. Section I deals with tariff bargaining under conditional and unconditional most-favored-nation treaties. Section II contains an annotated list of the commercial treaties and agree ents of the United States now in force. Section III lists all international treaties in force on January 1, 1933, pledging most-favored-nation treatment in the matter of customs duties.

The Commission's report on other phases of the same Senate resolution has been submitted under the title, "An Economic Analysis of the Foreign Trade of the United States in Relation to the Tariff", Senate Document No. 180, Seventy-second Congress, second session.

Respectfully,

ROBERT L. O'BRIEN, Chairman.

### GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO MATERIAL PREPARED IN RESPONSE TO SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 325

On January 28, 1933, the United States Senate adopted a resolution (No. 325) directing the United States Tariff Commission, with such cooperation as it might request of the Departments of State, Commerce, Agriculture, and Labor, to provide the Senate with certain information and statistics relative to the trade of the United States with other countries and as to conditions and methods of tariff bargaining.

The resolution consists of a preamble and 11 paragraphs indicating the nature and scope of the information desired. The preamble is given in full below, followed by a brief summary of each of the 11 paragraphs. The full text of each paragraph precedes that section of

the report prepared in response to it.

Resolved, That the United States Tariff Commission (as provided under section 334 of the tariff act of 1930, and so far as deemed advisable by said Tariff Commission, with the cooperation of the Departments of State, Commerce, Agriculture, Labor, and other departments or independent establishments of the Government, as and when requested by the United States Tariff Commission to cooperate) is hereby directed under section 332 (g) of the tariff act of 1930, and for the purposes of that section, to investigate, particularly by resort to available files and records, and to report thereon to the Senate assembled data (including tariff rates, foreign-trade statistics, production statistics, and other pertinent facts) successively on or before February 1, 1933, February 15. 1933, and, finally, March 1, 1933, on the following subjects:

- (1) Any and all tariff classifications \* \* \* with respect to which \* \* \* imports have \* \* \* substantially lessened or have ceased \* \* \*.
- (2) Any and all dutiable articles of which imports \* \* have represented less than 5 per cent of the domestic production \* \* \*.
- (3) Any and all articles on which the tariff rates exceed 50 per cent ad valorem \* \* \*, and \* \* \* separate lists showing for agricultural products \* \* \* carrying tariff rates exceeding 50 per cent \* \* \*, the equivalent ad valorem of present rates based on average prices from 1920 to 1929 \* \* \*.

(4) Dutiable articles the imports of which have increased in

quantity or value since 1929 \* \* \*.

(5) Statistics \* \* \* of all articles important in export trade, the exports of which have decreased \* \* \*, together with information on the extent of resulting unemployment \* \* \*.

(6) The extent of exports of capital from the United States \* \* \* to build or buy factories and employ labor in \* \* \* foreign countries, together with the number of employees \* \* \*.

(7) The range and variety of costs \* \* \* for each industry

investigated by the Tariff Commission since 1920 \* \* \*

(8) \* \* \* articles \* \* \* which are produced in the United States with advantages, including trade and market conditions, which were factors in causing such articles \* \* \* to be exported in substantial quantities \* \* \*.

(9) \* \* \* Imports \* \* \* of more or less noncompetitive dutiable articles \* \* \* in which foreign countries possess advantages in production \* \* \*.

(10) The extent to which existing \* \* \* most-favored-nation clauses in commercial treaties \* \* \* may affect tariff bargaining

with foreign countries, \* \* \*

(11) Generally to advise such ways and means for tariff bargaining as may appear relevant for most advantageously promoting expanded trade between the United States and foreign countries, \* \* \*.

(The material in this volume deals only with the last two paragraphs

above.)

The Commission's report in response to Senate Resolution 325, is, for convenience, printed in four parts. Part I includes the material prepared in response to paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, and 9 calling for information on imports into the United States. Part II includes the material called for in paragraphs 5, 6, and 8, all of them relating to exports. Part III presents data on the range and variety of costs as called for in paragraph 7. Part IV 1 (herewith) gives, mainly in textual form, the information with respect to tariff bargaining called for in paragraphs 10 and 11. All the material for Parts I and III was prepared by the Tariff Commission. In preparing the material for Part II the Tariff Commission had the cooperation of the Departments of Commerce and Agriculture; and in preparing that for Part IV the cooperation of the Department of State.

¹ Published under the title, "Tariff Bargaining Under Most-Favored-Nation Treaties." (S.Doc. No. 7, 73d Cong., 1st sess.) Other parts published as Senate Document No. 180, Seventy-second Congress, second session, "Economic Analysis of Foreign Trade of the United States in Relation to the Tariff."

### TARIFF BARGAINING UNDER MOST-FAVORED-NATION TREATIES

### INTRODUCTION

Paragraph 10 of S.Res. 325 calls upon the Tariff Commission for information concerning—

The extent to which existing conditional and unconditional most-favored-nation clauses in commercial treaties, listed for convenient reference, may affect tariff bargaining with foreign countries, having in view early and reciprocal reductions in tariff rates in the United States and foreign countries and increased trade and commerce between the United States and foreign countries.

Paragraph 11 calls upon the Tariff Commission—

Generally to advise such ways and means for tariff bargaining as may appear relevant for most advantageously promoting expanded trade between the United States and foreign countries, with the purpose of increasing employment in the United States and markets abroad for products of farms and factories of the United States.

The report under these paragraphs of the Senate resolution is divided into three sections: Section I, dealing with the position of the United States with respect to tariff bargaining under conditional and unconditional most-favored-nation treaties; Section II, containing a list of the commercial treaties and agreements of the United States now in force; and Section III, containing a list of the most-favored-nation treaties of all foreign countries.

### SECTION I

### TARIFF BARGAINING UNDER CONDITIONAL AND UNCON-DITIONAL MOST-FAVORED-NATION TREATIES

The subjects in section I are treated in the following order:

Relevant ways and means of tariff bargaining.

Lists of countries entitled by treaty or agreement to conditional or unconditional most-favored-nation treatment from the United States.

Definition of conditional and unconditional most-favored-nation obligations, with illustrations.

Use of conditional and unconditional forms by the United States. Use of conditional and unconditional forms by foreign countries.

Respective advantages of the two forms.

Methods of bargaining under conditional most-favored-nation treaties compared with methods under the unconditional form.

The padding of tariff rates in preparation for bargaining. Summary of reciprocity experiences of the United States.

### RELEVANT WAYS AND MEANS OF TARIFF BARGAINING

The Senate resolution did not request the opinion of the Tariff Commission concerning the advantage or propriety of adopting a policy of entering into tariff bargaining. But the Commission was asked to "advise such ways and means for tariff bargaining as

may appear relevant for most advantageously promoting expanded trade between the United States and foreign countries." Accordingly, if Congress adopts a bargaining policy, the Tariff Commission directs

attention to the following points:

1. On grounds set forth by the Tariff Commission in its report on Reciprocity and Commercial Treaties in 1919 in favor of a policy of equality of tariff treatment for foreign countries, the bargaining should be as far as practicable on the basis of unconditional most-favored-nation treatment. (The discussion below describes this treatment.)

2. In bilateral bargaining with any country, the concessions granted by the United States should be confined generally to articles of which that country is a principal supplier of the United States.

3. The Congress should by law extend the concessions so made, immediately and unconditionally, not only to all countries which have unconditional most-favored-nation treaties or agreements with the United States, but likewise to all other countries which the President shall find do not maintain unreasonable burdens on the commerce of the United States.

4. The Congress in framing the tariff bargaining law, might define a minimum tariff for the United States and prescribe that any change in rate or classification should become effective only as proclaimed from time to time, in whole or in part, by the President, each proclamation being to the effect that he had found the concessions made by a foreign country to be reciprocal and equivalent to concessions made by the United States. Alternatively the Congress might frame a law for bilateral tariff bargaining which would authorize the President, when he had arranged a tariff bargain with a certain foreign country (the concessions by the foreign country being a reasonable return for the concessions by the United States) to issue a proclamation stating those facts and naming the reduced rates of duty on specified articles imported into the United States.

5. If the Congress enacts a minimum tariff, or if alternatively in a more general tariff bargaining law it desires to limit the extent of the reductions in rates which may be proclaimed by the President, this may be done in either of two ways: (1) By a uniform percentage limitation applicable alike to all articles (for example, that no rate should be reduced by more than 50 per cent); or (2) by specifying two or more different percentage limitations applicable under different circumstances. For example, under the latter plan the largest reductions in rates might be permitted in the case of (a) articles of which there is little or no domestic production, (b) articles of which the imports are very small in comparison with domestic production, and (c)

articles now bearing exceptionally high rates of duty.

Since it can not be presumed that bilateral bargaining will result in a uniform reduction of all duties, if a single uniform percentage of permissible reductions be specified by law, either this percentage if large will confer upon the President a wide discretionary power most of which he will presumably not use, or else this percentage if small will greatly restrict his bargaining power. Foreign countries will attach value to concessions only in proportion to the increase in trade reasonably to be expected therefrom. By specifying two or more definite percentage limitations, if these are properly established, the Congress might limit the President's discretion without greatly curtailing his bargaining power.

6. The preceding paragraphs relate to methods of bilateral tariff bargaining. In addition the Congress may desire the United States to participate in a multilateral agreement with some or all foreign countries for a general and simultaneous reduction of tariffs. If so, it must be remembered that tariffs are so complicated that multilateral bargaining on individual articles must be regarded as impossible; no multilateral bargaining can be envisaged unless the nations can agree on some simple uniform formula applicable to all tariffs. For multilateral bargaining, if that be desired, the law should authorize the President to proclaim a general reduction in all tariff rates within certain limits (e.g., a uniform 10 per cent limit) if he finds a sufficient number of other countries willing to do the same. A multilateral agreement raises the question of the extension of rates so reduced to imports from countries not parties to the agreement but parties to bilateral most-favored-nation treaties. The answer to the question might depend on a general acceptance of a new exception to, or a new interpretation of, the most-favored-nation obligation.

7. The Congress should formulate restrictions designed to prevent the inclusion in reciprocity agreements of illusory concessions; that is, the removal of trade barriers or the reduction of tariff rates when such barriers and rates had been raised in anticipation of tariff bargaining, the amount of the concessions being smaller than or not greater than the previous increases of barriers and rates. Specifically, it is suggested that the Congress prescribe that all concessions included in reciprocity agreements, on both sides, be made from the rates and relating to the barriers in effect at a date which shall be fixed by

the Congress.

8. The tariff agreements should specify that rates or other changes fixed in any arrangement shall continue throughout the term of the agreement and should not specify merely percentages of reduction from base rates themselves subject to change.

### LISTS OF COUNTRIES ENTITLED BY TREATY OR AGREEMENT TO CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL MOST-FAVORED-NATION TREATMENT FROM THE UNITED STATES

The United States has given conditional or unconditional mostfavored-nation pledges, now in effect, to the following countries:

### UNCONDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS

```
Executive agreements—Continued
Treaties:
                                            Europe—Continued
    Europe-
                                                 Poland.
         Germany.
                                                 Finland.
         Austria.
         Norway.
                                                 Lithuania.
        Estonia.
                                                 Rumania.
                                                 Bulgaria.
         Latvia.
         Hungary.
                                                 Greece.
                                                 Albania.
         Yugoslavia.
        Turkoy.
                                            America-
                                                 Brazil.
    America-
        Honduras.
                                                 Chile.
                                                 Nicaragua.
        El Salvador.
                                                 Guatemala.
    Asia-
         China.
                                                 Haiti.
                                                 Dominican Republic.
        Stam.
Executive agreements:
                                            Asia-
                                                 Persia.
    Europe-
        Spain.
                                             Africa-
                                                 Egypt.
        Czechoslovakia.
```

### CONDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS

Treaties: Treaties—Continued Europe-Asia-United Kingdom. Japan. Italy. Borneo. Belgium and Luxemburg. Africa-Ethiopia. Denmark. America-Liberia. Argentina. Executive agreements: Paraguay. Europe-Portugal. Bolivia. Colombia. Costa Rica.

The convention of commercial reciprocity with Cuba is not classed as a most-favored-nation treaty in the above list. If included, it would go in the unconditional list.<sup>1</sup>

### DEFINITION OF CONDITIONAL AND UNCONDITIONAL MOST-FAVORED-NATION OBLIGATIONS, WITH ILLUSTRATIONS

Briefly defined, the most-favored-nation clause is simply a pledge of nondiscrimination against the commerce of the other party to the treaty, or a pledge to make the other party equally favored with any third country. The customary wording, however, has been a pledge to grant to the other party treatment not less favorable than may be granted to the "most favored" among other countries.

The unconditional form of the obligation is simply a promise to refrain from any discrimination, and to apply to all products <sup>2</sup> of the other treaty country every advantage that may be granted to the like product of any other foreign country. For instance, article 7

of the treaty with Germany reads in part:

Any advantage of whatsoever kind which either high contracting party may extend to any article, the growth, produce, or manufacture of any other foreign country shall simultaneously and unconditionally, without request and without compensation, be extended to the like article the growth, produce, or manufacture of the other high contracting party.

Similar sweeping language is found in the other recent treaties and

agreements of the United States.

In contrast, although conditional most-favored-nation treaties also obligate this Government to extend to the other party to the treaty every concession made to any third country, this obligation is qualified by the very important condition that if the concession to the third country was granted in exchange for an equivalent, it will be extended under the treaty only for an equivalent concession. A typical wording of the conditional clause is that of the treaty of October 3, 1824, with Colombia, as follows:

¹ The convention of reciprocity with Cuba was made at a time when the general policy of the United States was to conclude only conditional most-favored-nation treaties. None the less, it is unconditional in its obligations. An ordinary reciprocity treaty of the conditional type would allow either party to extend the same concessions to third parties (for equivalent compensation), but article 8 of the treaty with Cuba specifies that the reductions therein granted "shall continue during the term of this convention preferential in respect to all like imports from other countries." When the United States under the Argol agreements made certain reductions in rates in favor of European countries, a like import from Cuba was conceded a reduction of 20 per cent below the rates granted to the European countries. (See the Tariff Commission's publication entitled "The Effects of the Cuban Reciprocity Treaty of 1902," pp. 34-35.
¹ Or, in the case of a limited traity, the most-favored-nation treatment is promised only for specified articles.

[The contracting parties] desiring to live in peace and harmony with all the other nations of the earth, by means of a policy frank and equally friendly with all, engage mutually not to grant any particular favor to other nations, in respect to commerce and navigation, which shall not immediately become common to the other party, who shall enjoy the same freely if the concession was freely made, or on allowing the same compensation if the concession was conditional.

Two points may be stressed regarding most-favored-nation treatment generally: First, neither the conditional nor the unconditional type of most-favored-nation clause in commercial treaties makes any promise which in itself limits the height of import duties; and second, both forms of the clause promise equality of tariff rates only for the same or similar articles. Both forms allow the utmost diversity of tariff rates; they forbid only discriminations resulting in a higher rate on the product of one country than on the like product of another country. Accordingly, if the exports of two countries were wholly dissimilar, a government, though it had pledged most-favored-nation treatment to both countries, might impose high duties on all imports from the one and leave all imports from the other free of duty, since the most-favored-nation clause promises equality of tariff treatment only for "like" articles; e.g., articles within the same tariff classification.

### USE OF CONDITIONAL AND UNCONDITIONAL FORMS

### BY THE UNITED STATES

Prior to 1922, the commercial treaties of the United States contained (with only three exceptions) conditional rather than unconditional pledges of most-favored-nation treatment on the part of this country; but beginning with 1923, the treaties and agreements made by the United States have all contained the unconditional form of the most-favored-nation pledge.

Among the older treaties were some which contained a general pledge of most-favored-nation treatment, neither conditional nor unconditional in express terms. In these treaties the clause is generally to be interpreted in the conditional sense, in accordance with the prevailing policy of the United States at the time these treaties

were signed.

With or without the accompaniment of a general promise of most-favored-nation treatment, some of our treaties contain pledges that "no higher or other duties" shall be imposed on the products of the other party to the treaty than are imposed on the products of any other country. In the case of Bartram v. Robertson (122 U.S. 116, decided in 1887) the Supreme Court reasoned that such a pledge of "no higher or other duties" must be interpreted in the same sense as a conditional most-favored-nation clause contained elsewhere in the same treaty; and in the case of Whitney v. Robertson, in 1888 (124 U.S. 190), the Court extended this reasoning to a treaty in which there was no general statement concerning conditional most-favored-nation treatment. These decisions would seem to have little or no bearing on recent unconditional treaties.

### BY FOREIGN COUNTRIES

As may be seen from the lists of treaties of foreign countries pledging most-favored-nation treatment in regard to import duties, European countries use the unconditional form of most-favored-nation

pledge almost exclusively, and this form predominates in the treaties of nearly all foreign countries. For example, out of 46 existing treaties of the United Kingdom, only 4 are conditional; out of 47 French treaties, only 1 is conditional; out of 52 Italian treaties, only 4 are conditional; out of 42 Belgian treaties, only 1 is conditional; out of 42 treaties of Japan, only 7 are conditional; out of 33 Norwegian treaties, only 2 are conditional; out of 39 Spanish treaties, only 8 are conditional; and out of 48 German treaties, only 1 is conditional and 1 is in part conditional. It will be observed further from these lists that there are almost no conditional treaties in force between European countries, and that such as there are were signed before the middle of the last century. Out of more than 300 commercial treaties now in force between European countries, there are only 2 in which the most-favored-nation pledge is of the conditional type: a treaty of 1837 between Great Britain and the Netherlands and a treaty of 1842 between Denmark and France.<sup>3</sup> Existing European treaties containing the conditional form of the most-favored-nation clause are almost exclusively treaties with the United States prior to 1922 or with some Latin American country which has pursued the same policy.

The World Economic Conference of 1927 recommended the continued use of the unconditional form of the clause; so also does the preparatory commission of experts for the World Economic Conference of 1933.

### RESPECTIVE ADVANTAGES OF THE TWO FORMS

The outstanding advantage of the unconditional form of most-favored-nation agreement is that it, and it alone, assures the export trade of the country entitled to its benefits against discriminations in foreign tariffs, at least, in respect of "like" articles. Under this form the contracting parties undertake to apply not only their lowest tariff rates in effect at the time but the lowest rates which they may concede during the term of the treaty to any third country. This is of great importance in view of the bargaining tariff policies pursued by certain countries. On the Continent of Europe general tariff revisions are usually followed by a series of bargaining treaties by which rates are reduced in return for what are called, or accepted as, equivalent concessions by other countries. Tariff bargaining is likely to produce widespread discrimination and irritation unless the revised rates and other concessions are generalized so as to apply equally to the like imports from all countries.

In contrast to the unconditional form of most-favored-nation treaty, the conditional form of the pledge contains no assurances that exports will be entitled to the lowest tariff rates in foreign countries. As far as any foreign government rests on the letter of its treaty, every concession which it makes to any third country must be separately bargained for, and there is no assurance that other countries can secure the same or similar concessions, since that government may refuse to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There is also a treaty of 1851 still in force between Germany and the Netherlands in which most-favorednation treatment on the part of Germany is limited and partly conditional, and a treaty of 1925 between Austria and Greece in which the most-favored-nation pledge on the part of Greece is not wholly unconditional.

<sup>1</sup> The clause has proved ineffective as a safeguard against discriminations in quota systems and govern-

<sup>&#</sup>x27;The clause has proved ineffective as a safeguard against discriminations in quota systems and governmental control of foreign exchange.
That is, any third country not specifically excepted from the most-favored-nation obligation, as the United States excepts its concessions to Cuba.

accept what is offered by the other countries as an equivalent. For example, none of the countries which had (and still have) conditional most-favored-nation treaties with the United States ever obtained the concessions made in the nineteenth century to Canada or Hawaii, or

in the twentieth century to Cuba.

The conditional form of most-favored-nation clause avoids all grants of gratuitous favors by the simple process of renouncing all right to receive gratuitous favors. On the other hand, the unconditional form of the most-favored-nation pledge is based on the policy of granting equal treatment to foreign countries, without too close an examination of their tariff rates or of the concessions made, or to be made, on either side. A country which has many unconditional treaties and which does not pursue a bargaining policy appears to be obtaining many concessions without making any concessions in return, and a country pursuing an active tariff bargaining policy appears to be extending many concessions, without compensation, to countries which do not bargain. But these appearances are to a large extent illusory.

International relations are not governed solely by treaties. Some of the tariff-bargaining countries have pursued that policy only defensively and, as a matter of principle, they have carefully avoided discriminations against any country. In the absence of an unconditional most-favored-nation treaty, it may be said that a country has a legal right to discriminate against the trade of another country; but it may be very poor policy to provoke the other country to reprisals. To certain of the bargaining countries the United States was and is bound by conditional most-favored-nation treaties, which would seem to estop it from retaliating against discriminations arising from reciprocity treaties abroad. Yet, even in such cases, the foreign countries may well have judged that this quiescent attitude of the United States would not long continue in the face of serious discriminations. Even if the treaties were not subject to denunciation, means of reprisal could be found either within their terms or in other spheres of Government action. Accordingly, and because the United States has had in effect no reciprocity treaty which caused serious discriminations against European countries, those countries (except France) have generally been content to accord to the United States all their lowest rates of duty, even when not under treaty obligation to do so.

If tariff bargaining with a number of countries leads to serious discriminations against other countries, the latter are likely to feel themselves driven to open retaliation or to selective increases of those tariff rates which will best serve the purpose of bargaining with the country which is discriminating. This forcing of other states into retaliation or into bargaining may prove to be less advantageous than extending certain concessions to them under the general rule of equality

of treatment.

There is a second reason why the United States has been allowed to share in concessions to which under its conditional form of treaty it may not have been entitled. The so-called concessions in European tariffs, after the development of the protectionist movement of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The unconditional treaties of the United States make specific exception of any favors granted by the United States to Cuba.

late seventies, soon became largely illusory. The tariffs were successively revised upward, and the revision of rates was made with a view to including in the revised rates bargaining margins which could be conceded to foreign countries without reducing the rates below those which were considered desirable from the point of view of national policy. Since no bargaining was expected to take place with the United States, it was not considered necessary thus to "pad" the tariff rates on products originating exclusively in the United States. If a product was imported both from the United States and from one of the bargaining countries, the purpose of the padding of the tariff rate was to obtain a concession from the other bargaining country, and when this purpose had been realized there was no longer reason to maintain the padded rate of duty against the American product. Indeed, whether the rate had been padded or not it was generally to the advantage of the country which had conceded a reduction to extend that concession to other countries also. many, for instance, granted a reduced rate of duty to Rumanian wheat, it was to Germany's advantage to extend the same reduction to other countries, since otherwise the German consumer might have had to pay to the Rumanian exporter of wheat a price premium equivalent to the concession in the German duty.7

## METHODS OF BARGAINING UNDER CONDITIONAL MOST-FAVORED-NATION TREATIES COMPARED WITH METHODS UNDER THE UNCONDITIONAL FORM

As the United States is at the present time bound by a number of unconditional most-favored-nation treaties which have several years yet to run, it may be assumed that any tariff bargaining which takes place in the immediate future must be of a type which is compatible with such treaties. As is proved by the general use of the unconditional pledge by the bargaining countries of continental Europe, there is no incompatibility between tariff bargaining and unconditional most-favored-nation treaties.8

There is, however, considerable difference between bargaining under a conditional and under an unconditional treaty policy. the conditional policy the United States in 1902 made a treaty with Cuba granting simultaneously concessions on every product produced in Cuba or there producible in the future. In doing so it was not necessary, as it would have been under the unconditional treaty policy, to consider whether and to what extent similar products were imported or might be imported from other countries. With unconditional treaties in force, necessitating the extension of every concession to a considerable number of other countries, it has been the common practice of European countries during the last half century to confine each tariff negotiation to a limited list of articles which are of primary importance to the country with which the negotiation is taking place. It is to be presumed that if the United States, with its existing unconditional treaties, now adopts a policy of bargaining, few concessions

stricted lists of articles.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;See the Tariff Commission's "Reciprocity and Commercial Treaties," 1919, or "The Effects of the Cuban Reciprocity Treaty of 1902," for the price premium paid on Cuban sugar in the early years of the reciprocity treaty before Cuba was able to supply the whole quantity imported into the United States.

In France and Spain especially there has been considerable criticism of the unconditional most-favored-nation policy. In so far as this criticism has affected the policy of the governments it has led them not to the conditional form of most-favored-nation pledge but to efforts to confine unconditional pledges to restricted lists of articles.

will be made to any foreign country except on articles of which that country is the chief source of imports into the United States.

An examination of the trade of the United States discloses hundreds of products which are imported predominantly from single countries. Frequently the bulk of the dutiable imports from a given country is composed of articles predominantly imported from that country. recent tabulation shows that the United States dutiable imports in 1931 from 29 countries were composed to the extent of from 48 percent to 93 percent of articles of which each country respectively was the chief source of supply for the United States. These 29 countries supplied over 96 percent of our dutiable imports, so that it can be readily seen that the list includes the more important trading nations. From the 29 the total dutiable imports were \$671,000,000, and taking for each country only those articles in which it was the leading source of imports to the United States, the value was found to be \$480,000,000, or 71 percent of the value of total dutiable imports from the 29 countries. Further, the trade statistics contain some headings which comprise classes of articles rather than individual articles, and in making reciprocity treaties these classifications might be subdivided (as is done in European treaties) so as to give a higher percentage of articles each of which is imported predominantly from a single foreign country.

These figures indicate the feasibility of bargaining under a system of confining the concessions made to any country primarily to articles of which that country is the leading source of imports; that is, they indicate this feasibility on the assumption that concessions on wide

ranges of articles are feasible.

### THE PADDING OF TARIFF RATES IN PREPARATION FOR BARGAINING

Unless a reciprocity policy is handled with skill it may succeed in obtaining no concessions other than removal of those high rates, trade barriers, and discriminations which foreign countries have erected or maintained for the very purpose of bargaining them away. In summing up its examination of the results of German tariff bargaining the United States Tariff Commission wrote in 1919:

The considerations which rendered impossible an accurate appraisal of the success of German policy in connection with the Caprivi treaties \* \* \* apply likewise to an inquiry with regard to the later developments. In the interval, however, a new element of difficulty had been injected. The rates in the statutory tariffs of other countries had ceased to be what they had once been, those thought best for purposes of revenue or protection. Under the compelling influence of the German policy they had become bargaining rates. They were made, not to be maintained, but for purposes of negotiation. The States of Europe were no longer following the business principle of a "fixed price." Each had now for favors to be granted its "asking price" and a "selling price", the latter more or less definite and considerably lower. Because of this fact, it is impossible to estimate in figures the success of German policy. An index is given neither by the number of rates agreed to by foreign States in response to German representations, nor by the number of rates reduced or the extent of the reductions. The success of a policy can not be estimated on the basis of its removal of obstacles which it has itself created.

Since 1919 there is evidence that the increasing of tariff rates and the erection of barriers, principally for use in bargaining, has grown

<sup>•</sup> Reciprocity and Commercial Treaties, p. 485.

S.Docs., 73-1, vol. 9-6

rather than diminished.<sup>10</sup> Accordingly, the difficulty of making a reciprocity policy yield net reductions in foreign tariffs has increased rather than diminished as the bargaining countries have attained

greater experience.

At this moment when so many countries are maintaining emergency tariff rates and trade barriers, care must be taken to avoid the possibility that the United States would obtain in return for its tariff concessions only the abandonment of measures too cumbersome and oppressive, and of tariff rates too high, to outlast the depression. For example, the tariff rates imposed by certain European countries on wheat as measures of price stabilization and to save their producers from the effects of the abnormally low price of the commodity will inevitably be reduced if and when there is a substantial increase in the world price for cereals; and reciprocal tariff agreements by which concessions were made in return for the reduction of such temporary duties might mean the grant of valuable concessions in return for totally illusory concessions.

In fact a worse result might follow from a reciprocity policy announced but not rapidly executed. It might be the cause of prolonging the existence of emergency rates which foreign countries desired in their own interests to reduce. An incident of this kind which occurred in 1891 is thus narrated in Reciprocity and Commercial

Treaties (p. 473):

\* \* The German harvest had been bad, times were hard, and there was sore need of an increase in the supply of breadstuffs, but the high duties on grain prevented the importation of food in the quantities needed. The Government was urged from all sides, even by Count Kanitz, one of the leaders of the agrarian party, to reduce the grain duties for the relief of the people. It refused to make the reduction, because this action, desirable as it might be from the standpoint of the German consumer, was also desired by other countries which had a surplus of breadstuffs to export; and the Government would have lost an advantage in bargaining with foreign producers if it had framed its policy solely with respect to the immediate interest of domestic consumers.

### SUMMARY OF RECIPROCITY EXPERIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES

### RECIPROCITY TREATIES

The United States Tariff Commission published in 1919 a volume of some 500 pages, entitled "Reciprocity and Commercial Treaties". This volume reviewed and analyzed the experiences of the United States with the policy of reciprocity. No attempt is made in this memorandum to review the field, but it may be useful to enumerate the successful and unsuccessful attempts at reciprocity negotiations

made during the last century.

The reciprocity treaties which were actually completed by the United States have been only three in number, in spite of the fact that throughout the whole period prior to 1922 the United States was making only conditional most-favored-nation treaties of which the logical concomitant is an active policy of tariff bargaining. The three 11 reciprocity treaties which were carried to completion were as follows: With Canada and Newfoundland, 1854, effective 1855-66;

See, for example, "European Bargaining Tariffs", published as a preparatory document for the World Economic Conference of 1927; written by Benjamin B. Wallace and transmitted by T. W. Page.
 In addition to these three reciprocity treaties, two special reciprocity provisions of 1831 and 1871 may be mentioned.

be mentioned.

The treaty with France, signed July 4, 1831, provided for the settlement of claims of citizens of the two countries; the French Government agreeing to pay 25,000,000 francs and the American Government agreeing to pay 1,500,000 francs. Incidental to this settlement of claims it was provided that for 10 years the

with Hawaii, 1875, effective 1876–1900; and with Cuba, 1902, effective December 27, 1903. The treaty with Cuba alone continues in force. The treaty with Hawaii continued until after the annexation of that Territory.<sup>12</sup> The treaty with Canada was denounced by the United States, though it would appear from Reciprocity and Commercial Treaties that political reasons were largely responsible. We quote from page 73:

During the interim the ill feeling against Canada and Great Britain was greatly stimulated by the lawless acts along the Canadian border of sympathizers with the Confederacy, acts which had become so menacing that President Lincoln had deemed it necessary to address a note on the subject to the British Government.

Profoundly exasperated by these "assaults and depredations," the House reversed its vote of the previous May and passed, on December 13, 1864, by a vote of 85 to 57, Mr. Morrill's joint resolution for unconditional notice of abrogation.

The fruitless attempts at reciprocity treaties have been much more numerous. The following list includes the treaties negotiated under the general treaty-making powers of the Executive:

Date	Country and scope of treaty 1	Result
1844	German Zollverein: Free entry of American cotton, no increase in the duty on rice, and reduced duties on lard and tobacco, in exchange for reduced rates on a numerous list of manufactured articles.	Rejected by the Senate.
1855	Hawali: Free exchange of certain products	·Do.
1867	Hawaii: Free exchange of products; larger Hawaiian con- cessions than in the previous treaty; somewhat less than in the treaty of 1875.	Do.
1875	Canada: Exchange of free lists (Canadian free list to be extended to Great Britain).	Do.
1883	Mexico: Exchange of extensive free lists	Ratified by the Senate, but Congress failed to enact necessary legislation.
1884	Spain (for Cuba and Puerto Rico): Free sugar and 50 percent reduction on tobacco versus reductions or remissions on a considerable number of American products.	Withdrawn by President Cleveland.
1884	Dominican Republic: Very similar to above	Do.
1388	Canada and Newfoundland: Free exchange of fish	Rejected by the Senate.
1890	Newfoundland: Free fish versus various concessions	Not ratified by Great Britain.
1902	do	Amended by the Senate and made un- acceptable to Newfoundland.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In 1857 the American Minister in Mexico negotiated a treaty providing for an extensive list of articles to be freely exchanged across the land frontier only. This negotiation had not been authorized by the President and was not submitted by the President to the Senate. It has not been included in the list.

It appears therefore that in a period of some 60 years, 10 reciprocity treaties were negotiated under the general treaty powers which did not become effective. Out of the 10, 2 were rejected by the foreign

wines of France should be admitted to the United States at the rate of 6 cents a gallon for red wines in casks; 10 cents a gallon for white wines in casks; and 22 cents a gallon for wines of all sorts in bottles; and that if the general rates of the tariff effective Jan. 1, 1829, should be reduced the rates on French wines should be reduced in proportion. In consideration of this stipulation, the French Government abandoned the "reclamations which it had borne in relation to the eighth article of the Treaty of Louisiana" and engaged to establish on long staple cotton of the United States, brought directly to France by the vessels of the United States or by French vessels, the same duties as on short staple cottons.

The Treaty of Washington, 1871, settled many outstanding issues with Great Britain. It contains 43 articles covering the disposition of the Alabama claims, fishery rights on both sides, navigation of the St. Lawrence and of Lake Michigan, transit trade through the United States or Canada, and the arbitration of the disputed boundary through Vancouver Strait. In addition to the matters just enumerated, the treaty provided for the exchange, free of customs duties, of the fish of the United States and of Canada and Newfoundland. The treaty was proclaimed July 4, 1871, and the article relating to the free exchange of fish was terminated on July 1, 1885, by notice previously given in pursuance of a joint resolution of Congress of Mar. 3, 1883.

13 The original treaty was extended in 1884-1887, and largely for political reasons, including the acquisition by the United States of Pearl Harbor as a coaling and repair station. "Reciprocity and Commercial Treaties", pp. 114-116.

country, 2 were negotiated by one President but not accepted by his successor, and the other 6 were suppressed by congressional action or inaction—4 were rejected by the Senate, 1 failed for lack of the necessary legislation, and 1 because amendment by the Senate had

made it unacceptable to the other country.

The Tariff Act of 1897 contained a direct authorization to the Executive to negotiate reciprocity treaties, with the limitation that no concession exceeding 20 percent of the rates contained in said tariff act should be made, except that natural products of the foreign country not produced in the United States might be transferred to the free list. Such explicit authorization of course adds nothing to the constitutional right of the President to negotiate treaties, but it would seem to indicate an intention on the part of Congress to make effective treaties negotiated within these limitations. Within this authorization the 11 Kasson treaties were negotiated with France, Argentina, Ecuador, Nicaragua, Dominican Republic, Denmark for St. Croix, Great Britain for Bermuda, Jamaica, Barbados, British Guiana, Trinidad. 13 These treaties conceded reductions which in many cases were much less than 20 percent of the statutory tariff rates and which covered limited sections of the tariff, but none of them came to a vote in the Senate, in spite of the recommendations of President McKinley and President Theodore Roosevelt.

It may be seen that it has been a matter of some difficulty and delicacy to obtain reciprocity treaties which would satisfy both parties to the treaty. During the last century with three exceptions all attempts on the part of the United States to complete reciprocity treaties have been abortive. The scattered successes have been with near neighbors and have been enacted perhaps as much for political as for economic reasons. Out of 21 abortive treaties, 16 failed because of opposition in the Senate (a two-thirds majority being required for ratification), being either rejected or allowed to die without a vote.

### RECIPROCAL EXECUTIVE AGREEMENTS

In marked contrast to the fate of the attempted reciprocity treaties is the record of Executive agreements under specific authorization by Congress. Under the Tariff Act of 1890, 13 such agreements were negotiated and only 1 failed to become effective, due to nonratification by the other country. Under the Tariff Act of 1897, Executive agreements were made with nine countries. These agreements under both acts were all of limited scope, as briefly set forth below.

The Tariff Act of 1890 included in the free list sugar and molasses, tea and coffee, hides and skins. The President, however, was empowered to negotiate for concessions from countries producing these articles, and, if dissatisfied with the concessions offered, was authorized to proclaim rates of duty specified in the tariff act on such articles produced by countries whose tariffs remained "reciprocally unequal and unreasonable." This method constitutes a threatening or penalizing approach to reciprocity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The treaty with Great Britain for Trinidad was not submitted to the Senate. The earlier treaties of the series had not been ratified and Trinidad refused to extend the time for ratification. This treaty is therefore included among those killed by the Senate.

Under this provision 13 agreements were negotiated between January 31, 1891, and May 25, 1892, and they became effective on signature or shortly thereafter, except in the case of Costa Rica which failed to ratify the agreement. The agreements were made with the following countries and for the concessions indicated:

### Concessions

Austria-Hungary

Germany, France.
Great Britain, for Jamaica, and for British
Guiana, Barbados, Trinidad, Leeward Islands, Windward Islands.

Spain for Cuba and Puerto Rico, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Nicaragua, Honduras, Guatemala, Brazil.

Most - favored - nation treatment.

Selected minimum tariff rates. Numerous reductions of tariff rates.

Exclusive concessions on numerous American products.

These agreements were terminated abruptly when the United States imposed a general duty on sugar by the Tariff Act of August 27, 1894.

The Tariff Act of 1897 authorized the Executive to apply reduced rates of duty specified in the act, on argols, spirits, wines, paintings, drawings, and statuary, in return for concessions deemed by the President to be reciprocal and equivalent. The agreements required no congressional action, and agreements or supplementary agreements were made in two series as follows:

	Earlier	Later		Earlier	Later
France. Do. Portugal. Italy. Germany. Switzerland.	1902 1899 1900 1900	1908 1909 1907 1906	Spain Do Bulgaria. Great Britain Netherlands		1906 1909 1906 1907 1908
•	l	l			_

Portugal, Germany, Spain, and Bulgaria conceded most-favorednation treatment, other countries conceded for the most part unimportant and nominal concessions. These agreements were terminated

by the Tariff Act of 1909.

The United States has had one other reciprocity experience. In 1911 a reciprocity agreement was concluded with Canada, but it was not put in the form of a treaty. It remained a purely informal agreement to be put into effect by concurrent legislation in the two countries. The necessary legislation was enacted by the American Congress but failed of enactment in Canada.

The past experience of the United States with respect to the difficulty of obtaining reciprocal tariff concessions by means of treaties and the greater success in negotiating Executive agreements under previous authorization by the Congress may be significant as a guide to future policy regarding methods of tariff bargaining.

The tariff law of 1930 confers upon the President no special powers in regard to tariff bargaining. It confers upon the President certain powers in the so-called "flexible tariff" provision, as also in the sections relating to unfair competition and to foreign discriminations against American exports, but these powers are not adapted to the negotiation of reciprocity treaties. Decisions made under the Tariff Acts of 1890 and 1897 show that it is constitutional for Congress to define either specific reductions to be made or maximum reductions not to be exceeded, or higher duties to be applied, and that the application of the specified rates may be left to the President with only such general directions as that he shall proclaim the changes in rates when satisfied that the concessions offered, or the resulting heights of foreign tariffs, are reciprocally equal and reasonable. This principle has been extended by decisions under later acts.

### SECTION II

### LIST OF COMMERCIAL TREATIES AND AGREEMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES IN FORCE APRIL 1, 1933

This tabulation includes all the treaties and Executive agreements now in force between the United States and other countries which contain provisions relating to customs tariffs. These international contracts are classified as treaties when they are ratified with the consent of the Senate; as Executive agreements when they are acts of the Executive without reference to the Senate. Unless otherwise indicated in the table, all these treaties and agreements provide for reciprocal and unrestricted most-favored-nation treatment with reference to customs duties.

The type of most-favored-nation treatment stipulated in the different treaties and Executive agreements is described briefly as "conditional" or "unconditional." It is called unconditional when its application is automatic and independent of any act of the country entitled to it, and it is conditional when the favorable treatment in question, say a reciprocal tariff preference or other favor granted by one of the parties to the treaty to some third country in exchange for a like or equivalent favor, cannot be claimed by the other party to the treaty unconditionally as a right, but only on condition of granting a corresponding or equivalent compensation.

### Commercial treaties and agreements of the United States in force April 1, 1933

Country	Treaty or Ex- ecutive agree- ment	Date signed	Date in force	Notice required for termination!	Most-favored- nation treat- ment	Official text reference:
Albania	Executive	June 22-25, 1922.	July 28, 1922	No provision	Uncondition-	Not printed.
	agreement.	T 1 07 1010		•	al.	T 00
Argentina	Treaty	July 27, 1853	Dec. 20, 1854	qo	Conditional	I:20.
Austria		June 19, 1928	May 27, 1931	12 months, but not before Feb. 11, 1935	{Uncondition-	T.S. 838-9.
n		Jan. 20, 1931	,		( au.	j .
Belgium	do	Mar. 8, 1875	June 11, 1875	12 months	Conditional	I:90.
Bollvia		May 13, 1858	Nov. 9, 1862	do	qo	I:113.
Borneo	do	June 23, 1850	July 11, 1853	No provision	ao	T:30.
Brazil	Executive	Oct. 18, 1923	Oct. 18, 1923	do	Unconditional*	T.B. 672.
	agreement.					
Bulgaria	do-:	Aug. 18, 1932	Aug. 18, 1932	3 months *	do	E.A. 41.
Chile	do	Sept. 28, 1931	May 22, 1931 Sept. 28, 1931	15 days	do.*	E.A. 26.
		T-1- 05 1000	(Sept. 28, 1931	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
China	Treaty	July 25, 1928	June 20, 1929	No provision	GO	T.S. 773.
Colombia		Dec. 12, 1846	June 10, 1848	12 months	Conditional	1:302.
Costa Rica			May 26, 1852	No provision		
Cuba (	do	Dec. 11, 1902		6 months		I:353.
<b></b>	Executive	Oct. 29, 1923	Nov. 5, 1923		** 3147 34	m c em 1 - m c mor
Czechoslovakia	agreement.			1 month	Unconditional"	T.S. 573A; T.S. 706.
	(ào	Dec. 5, 1924	Dec. 5, 1924	<b> </b>		
Danzig. (See Poland.)						CT 0000
Denmark 4	do	Apr. 26, 1826	Aug. 10, 1826 Jan. 12, 1858	12 months	Conditional	<b>11: 373.</b>
		(Apr. 11, 1857	Jan. 12, 1858	1		
Dominican Republic		Sept. 25, 1924	Sept. 25, 1924	1 month 2	Unconditional*	T.S. 700.
<b>~</b>	agreement.	3.5	36 04 1000	0	3. 4	73 4 4
Egypt	do	May 24, 1930	May 24, 1930	3 months 3	do	E.A. 5.
Estonia	Treaty		May 22, 1926	12 months, but not before May 22, 1936	do	T.S. 736.
Ethiopia		June 27, 1914		12 months, but not before Sept. 19, 1938	Conditional	III: 2579.
Finland	Executive	May 2, 1925	May 17, 1925	1 month 3	Unconditional*	T.S. 715.
<b>.</b>	agreement.	37		•	(4)	G
France	do	Nov. 2, 7, and 16,			(4)	Separate pamphlet.
_	اندا	1927.		10 17 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	m a
Germany	Treaty	Doc. 8, 1923	Oct. 14, 1925	12 months, but not before Oct. 14, 1935	Uncond -	T.S. 725.

<sup>1</sup> When different parts of a treaty or agreement in this list may be terminated by different periods of notice, the period indicated in this column refers to the most-favored-nation clause.

Numbers preceded by "T.S." refer to United States treaty series; those preceded by "E.A." refer to United States Executive agreement series; and the others indicate pages in volumes I, II, or III of United States treaties, conventions, etc.

This agreement lapses automatically if either party enacts legislation inconsistent therewith.

Reciprocity treaty, with mutual tariff reductions; no most-favored-nation provision respecting tariffs.

This treaty does not apply to Iceland, Greenland, the Faroe Islands, and places "beyond the Cape of Good Hope".
France accords its lowest tariff rates to certain specified United States products (list A of the French law of Mar. 29, 1910, as amended by the modus vivendi of 1927). Western European series, No. 1, U. S. State Department.

<sup>\*</sup>Most-favored-nation provisions are subject to exceptions as noted on p. 17.

### Commercial treaties and agreements of the United States in force April 1, 1933—Continued

Country	Treaty or Ex- ecutive agree- ment	Date signed	Date in force	Notice required for termination	Most-favored- nation treat- ment	Official text refer
Great Britain	Treaty.	July 3, 1815. Aug. 6, 1827.	July 3, 1815	12 months	Conditional	1:624
Greece	Executive	Dec. 9, 1924	Dec. 9, 1924	1 month 1	Uncondi-	(1.0%).
	agreement	1			finnal *	T.S. 706.
Gustemala	do	Aug. 14, 1924	Aug. 14, 1924	1 month 3	do.	T.S. 696.
Haiti Honduras	do	July 8, 1926	Oct. 1, 1926	i month i	do •	T C ~10
donument.	Teaty	Dec. 7, 1927	July 19, 1928	12 months, but not before July 19, 1938	· do •	T S 764
Hungary	do	June 24, 1925	Oct. 4, 1926	12 months, but not before Oct. 4, 1936	do.•	T.S. 748.
uish rice state. (See Great Britain.)					i	- 101 / 201
[taly	do	Feb. 26, 1871	Nov. 18, 1871	}12 months	Condinion	(I:969.
lanan	,	Feb. 25, 1913	July 3, 1913	Jaz montus	Conditional	STTT-0000
apan	qo	Feb. 21, 1911	July 17, 1911	6 months	do	HI:2712
Latvia	do	Apr. 20, 1928	July 25, 1928	12 months, but not before July 25, 1938.	Uncondition-	T.S. 765.
Liberia	4.	0.4 01 1000	7		al.	
Lithuania	Executive	Oct. 21, 1862	Feb. 17, 1863	No provision	Conditional	I:1050.
	sgreement.	Dec. 23, 1925	July 10, 1926	1 month 1	Uncondition-	T.S. 742.
Morocco	Treaty	S 16 1000	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1 0 0	
Muscat. (Applies also to Zanzibar.)	Treaty	Sept. 16, 1836	Jan. 28, 1837	12 months.	do.*	I:1212
Nicaragua	Executive	Sept. 21, 1833	Sept. 30, 1835	No provision. 1 month 3	do.**	I:1228.
	O CTPO O TO O TO	June 11/July 11.	July 11, 1924	1 mouth 3	do.*	T.S. 897.
Norway	Treaty	June 5, 1928	S 12 1000	10		
Paraguay	do	Fob 4 1850	Sept. 13, 1932 Mar. 7, 1860	12 months, but not before Sept. 13, 1935	Unconditional*	T.S. 852.
Persia	Executive	May 14, 1928		12 months	Conditional	II:1364
	agreement.	3445 14, 1920	May 14, 1928	1 month 3	Unconditional*	E.A. 19.
Poland. (Applies to Danzig.) 10	do	Feb. 10, 1925	Feb. 10, 1925	a a		
Portugal	do	Tuna 26 1010	June 28, 1910	do	do.	T.S. 727.
Rumania.	do	Aug 20 1020	2006 50 1010		Conditional*	III:2805.
El Salvador	Troute	Fab 22 1026	Sept. 1, 1930	1 month 3	Unconditional*	E.A. 8.
iam	do	Dag 18 1020	Sept. 5, 1930	12 months, but not before Sept. 5, 1940	do	T.S. 827.
pain	Fracutiva	(Ang 1 100s	Sept. 1, 1921	12 months	do.14	111:2829.
	20mement	1) Nov. 7 1007	7-NOV. 27, 1924	3 months	do.*	J11:1718.
Curkey	Treety	Oct 1 1920	Apr. 22, 1930	19 months but not before the on the		(T.S.758A.
rugoslavia 11	do	Oct. 14, 1881	Nov. 15, 1882	12 months, but not before Apr. 22, 1933		T.S. 813.
Canzibar. (See Muscat.)		VCs. 17, 1001	**************************************	12 months	00	11:16 <b>13.</b>

<sup>1</sup> This agreement lapses automatically if either party enacts legislation inconsistent therewith.

Most-favored-nation provisions regarding customs duties are limited by Great Britain to its European territories.

Most-favored-nation treatment is pledged by Morocco but not by the United States.

This treaty was accepted by Zanzibar after separation from Muscat, Oct. 20, 1879.

16 A commercial treaty with Poland, signed June 15, 1931, was ratified by the United States Senate on Apr. 5, 1932, but has not yet been brought into force. (U.S. Cong. Rec., 72d Cong., 1st sess., Apr. 5, 1932, p. 7692.)

11 Most-favored-nation treatment respecting customs duties pledged by Siam but not by the United States.

12 Treaty with Serbia, extended to Serb-Croat-Slovene State in 1919 (art. 12 of the peace treaty of St. Germain, signed Sept. 10, 1919. U. S. Tr. III 3731).

\* Most-favored-nation provisions are subject to exceptions as noted on p. 17.

### EXCEPTIONS PROVIDED IN THE TREATTES

A large proportion of the treaties and agreements in the preceding list contain most-favored-nation provisions subject to certain excep-These treaties have been marked with an asterisk (\*) in the column headed "Most-favored-nation treatment". In most of these treaties the United States has made a reservation excepting from its most-favored-nation pledge United States commerce with Cuba, the Panama Canal Zone, and any territory or possession of the United States. To save repetition this reservation is referred to as "Exception A" in the following summary of exceptions to most-favorednation treatment:

Austria: Exception A; and purely border traffic with frontier zones in neighboring countries.

Brazil: Exception A. Bulgaria: Exception A. Chile: Exception A.

Czechoslovakia: Exception A; and special arrangements between Czechoslovakia and Austria or Hungary, imposed by the treaties of peace.

Dominion Republic: Exception A.

Egypt: Exception A; and Egypt's commerce with the Sudan and with certain neighboring countries by virtue of regional conventions.

Estonia: Exception A; and Estonia's commerce with Finland, Latvia, Lithu-

ania, Russia and/or States in customs or economic union with Estonia.

Finland: Exception A; and Finland's commerce with Estonia or with France under article 6 of the treaty of commerce between Finland and France of July 13, 1921 (wines and alcoholic beverages).

Germany: Exception A; and purely border traffic with frontier zones in neigh-

boring countries.

Greece: Exception A.

Guatemala: Exception A; and Guatemala's commerce with Costa Rica, Honduras, Nicaragua, and/or El Salvador.

Haiti: Exception A; and Haiti's commerce with the Dominican Republic. Honduras: Exception A; and Honduras' commerce with Costa Rica, Guate-

mala, Nicaragua, Panama, and El Salvador.

Hungary: Exception A.

Latvia: Exception A; and Latvia's commerce with Estonia, Finland, Lithuania, or Russia; also border traffic of either party with frontier zones in neighboring countries.

Lithuania: Exception A; and Lithuania's commerce with Estonia, Finland,

Latvia, and/or Russia.

Nicaragua: Exception A; and Nicaragua's commerce with Costa Rica, Guatemala, Honduras, or El Salvador.

Norway: Exception A; and Norway's commerce with Denmark, Iceland, or Sweden; also border traffic of either party with frontier zones in neighboring countries.

Persia: Exception A.

Poland: Exception A; and, on the part of Poland, its commerce with frontier sones in neighboring countries and with the German portions of Upper Silesia.

Portugal: Special concessions by Portugal to Spain and Brazil.

Rumania: Special favors of either contracting party to bordering countries to facilitate frontier traffic; rights and privileges to bordering states in economic or customs union with either party; exception A; and the special system of importation intended to facilitate the financial settlements arising from the war of 1014-1918.

El Salvador: Exception A; and El Salvador's commerce with Costa Rica.

Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, and/or Panama.

Spain: United States commerce with Cuba; and, on the part of Spain, its commerce with Portugal. (E.A. of Oct. 6/22, 1923 (T. S. 693A), proroguing E.A. of Aug. 1, 1906; E.A. of May 2, 1925 (T. S. 716) and of Oct. 26, Nov. 7, 1927 (T. S. 758A), which prorogued and modified the E.A. of 1923.) By the terms of the 1927 agreement the most-favored-nation treatment pledged by Spain was limited to her conventional tariff rates in effect May 26, 1927, all of which

(with some modifications) were merged with second column rates, effective January 1, 1929, such action being contemplated under the agreement. Spain has since granted special tariff preferences to other countries, without extending their applications to the United States.

Turkey: Exception A; and, on the part of Turkey, its commerce with countries detached from the former Ottoman Empire in 1923, and with frontier zones in

neighboring countries.

### SECTION III

# LIST OF COMMERCIAL TREATIES OF ALL NATIONS IN FORCE JANUARY 1, 1933, PLEDGING MOST-FAVORED-NATION TREATMENT WITH RESPECT TO CUSTOMS DUTIES

Below are listed, for convenient reference, the existing commercial treaties and agreements of all nations (as of January 1, 1933) which contain provisions for most-favored-nation treatment in regard to customs duties, with dates indicating the year when the treaty was

signed.

Most-favored-nation treatment pledged in different treaties with respect to import duties may be either "conditional" or "unconditional." Such a provision in treaties is called unconditional when its application is automatic and independent of any act of the country entitled to it, and is known as conditional when the parties are not obligated to grant to each other gratuitously the most favorable tariff treatment or other concessions which they may grant to another country, unless such favors or concessions are granted without compensation; when granted in exchange for equivalent favors or concessions, the same treatment must be accorded to the other party in return for the same or equivalent compensation.

In the great majority of tariff treaties most-favored-nation treatment is pledged unconditionally, and in the following list it is under-

stood to be of that type unless otherwise indicated.

In many recent treaties the most-favored-nation pledge, whether conditional or unconditional, is limited in scope, either as regards the countries or territories to which it shall apply, or as to its application to products of the respective parties. Either of the parties to such a treaty may grant its lowest rates of duty, not on all products of the other party but only on certain articles listed or otherwise specified in the treaty. In such cases the most-favored-nation treatment is said to be "limited", on one or both sides, as distinguished from full or

complete most-favored-nation treatment.

Most treaties also make express provision for certain customary exceptions from the most-favored-nation treatment pledged in the treaty in order that the respective governments may have a free hand to act in the public interest under exceptional circumstances. Such excepted matters include sanitary regulations for the protection of persons, livestock, or useful plants; special customs treatment for products of certain countries maintaining special relations with one of the parties, or united in a customs union with the importing country; border traffic with neighboring countries within a limited zone on either side of the frontier; supplementary duties to offset bounties; and rights or obligations of either party under general international conventions.

<sup>1</sup> Except a few treaties known to have expired or to have come into force before going to press.

### ABYSSINIA (SEE ETHIOPIA)

#### ALBANIA

AMA.	NAVAR				
Europe:       United Kingdom       * 1925         France       * 1929         Italy       1924         Belgium and Luxemburg       1929         Switzerland       1929         Austria       1927         Czechoslovakia       1926	Europe—Continued       1927         Hungary       1926         Rumania       1930         Bulgaria       1926         Greece       1926         America: United States       1922         Asia: Japan       1930         Africa: Egypt       1930				
ARABIA (SAI	JDI ARABIA)				
(Formerly He	•				
Europe:     France					
Treaties of the Arabian State of Muscat (Oman) are noted under "United Kingdom", and in the separate list of treaties of the United States under "Muscat".					
ARGE	NTINA				
British Empire: United Kingdom, Irish Free State, Canada, Newfoundland, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, India 1825  Europe: 1892 Italy 1894 Spain 5 1863 Germany 5 1857	Europe—Continued  Norway				

### AUSTRALIA (SEE UNDER BRITISH EMPIRE)

### **AUSTRIA**

Europe:	Europe—Continued
United Kingdom 7 1924	Sweden 1873, 1924
France 8 1928	Denmark 1928
Italy 1923, 1932	Poland1922
Spain 1925, 1928	Finland 1927
Portugal • 1925	Estonia 1928
Germany 1930	Latvia 1924
Belgium and Luxemburg 1923	Lithuania 1928
Netherlands 1929	Hungary 1922, 1932
Switzerland 1926	Yugoslavia 1925, 1932
Czechoslovakia 1921	
Norway 1924	Bulgaria 1921-22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to a list of British colonies and territories noted in British Handbook of Commercial Treaties, etc., 1931, p. 14. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, and protectorates and mandated territories are granted most-favored-nation treatment on terms of reciprocity.

of reciprocity.

Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is limited to articles listed.
This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the other British countries here listed, and also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government.
The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.
Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty is described as the most favorable treatment that may be accorded to any European country or to the United States.
This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the British colonies and mandated territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 31. Froducts of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, possessions, protectorates and mandated territories are granted most-favored-nation treatment, on the basis of reciprocity.
Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is unlimited, except as to Austrian products listed.
Portugal grants the rates of its minimum tariff but remains free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

### AUSTRIA-continued

Europe—Continued  Turkey	Asia:   Japan
Brazil 1932	Liberia 5 1866
BELGIUM ANI	LUXEMBURG
British Empire:	Europe—Continued       1930         Rumania       1926         Turkey       1927         Greece       1926         Albania       1929         America:       1875         Brazil       1932         Chile       1931         Bolivia       1912         Ecuador       1887         Venezuela       1884         Nicaragua       1858         Honduras       1909         El Salvador       1906, 1932         Guatemala       1924         Asia:       1928         China       1928         Japan       1924         Siam       1926         Persia       1929         Africa:       1930         Egypt       1930         Liberia       1885
Yugoslavia 1926	2000
BOL	IVIA
Europe:  United Kingdom	America:  United States

Ecuador\_\_\_\_\_

Colombia

1911

1912

1929

Netherlands\_\_\_\_\_

The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "con ditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

Non the part of Greece, the piedge of most-favored-nation treatment is partly of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the other British countries above noted, and also to Malta, Cyprus, Ceylon, and Nigeria.

Separate treaty between Belgium and Canada.

Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on both sides to articles listed.

Most-favored-nation treatment mutually piedged by this treaty in regard to customs duties is subject to special exceptions on both sides, if circumstances require.

This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the Irish Free State and Newfoundland (but not to the other self-governing British dominions), and also to Malta and a long list of British colonies and territories noted in Hdb., 1931, p. 49. Products of colonies, possessions, and protectorates are granted most-avored-nation treatment on terms of reciprocity.

#### BRAZIL

British Empire:	Europe—Continued
United Kingdom	Poland 1932
Canada17 1931	Finland 1931
South Africa 17 1932	Estonia 1932
Irish Free State17 1931	Latvia 1932
India17 1932	Lithuania 1932
Europe:	Hungary 1931
France 1900	Yugoslavia 1932
Italy 1931	Rumania 1931
Spain 18 1925	America:
Germany 1931	United States 1923
Belgium and Luxemburg 1932	Mexico 1931
Netherlands	Argentina 1856
Switzerland 1931	Uruguay \$ 1851
Austria 1932	Colombia 1932
Czechoslovakia 1931	Asia and Africa:
Norway 1931	Japan 1895
Sweden 1931	Egypt
Denmark 1931	]

#### BRITISH EMPIRE

In the commercial treaties of the United Kingdom and of other British countries mutual most-favored-nation treatment in regard to customs duties is defined to mean the most favorable treatment which either party may grant to any foreign country. This permits special tariff preferences to other British countries within the Empire, and leaves both parties free to give more favorable customs treat-

ment to products of their own colonies.

In many of the treaties of Great Britain, particularly those made since the World War, the other party undertakes to extend complete and unconditional most-favored-nation treatment to all British countries or territories (whether acceding to the treaty or not), on condition of reciprocity, and provision is also made for separate termination of the treaty by either party as regards its appli-

cation to any British country or territory to which it may have been applicable.

The treaties of foreign nations with the United Kingdom and other British countries are listed below, the figures indicating the year when the treaty was signed.

The extent to which treaties with the United Kingdom are applicable to other parts of the British Empire is noted in this compilation under the foreign country concerned. For that purpose frequent reference is made to the British "Handbook of Commercial Treaties, etc., with Foreign Powers", fourth edition, 1931, abbreviated conveniently as Hdb. 1931.

### United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 1

Europe:		Europe—Continued	
Italy		Sweden	1826
Spain	1928	Denmark	1670
Portugal	1914	Russia	1930
Germany		Poland	
Belgium and Luxemburg	1898	Finland	
Netherlands		Estonia.	
Switzerland	1855	Latvia	
Austria		Lithuania 1922	2. 1929
Czechoslovakia	1923	Hungary	1926
Norway		Yugoslavia	1927

The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

This treaty with the United Kingdom has been extended to New Zealand and the Union of South Africa. Products of British colonies, protectorates, and mandated territories are granted most-favored-nation treatment on the basis of reciprocity.

Separate treaty between this country and Brazil.

Bepain grants the rates of its second-column tariff but remains free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries. Spain also concedes exemption from depreciated currency surtax for specified products of Brazil as long as the Brazilian tariff is not changed unfavorably to Spain compared with other countries.

The extent to which any of these treaties with the United Kingdom applies to other parts of the British Empire is noted under the foreign country concerned.

This treaty is to terminate in April 1933.

### BRITISH EMPIRE-continued

BRITISH EMPIRE—continued		
United Kingdom of Great Britain	and Northern Ireland—Continued	
Europe—Continued	America—Continued	
Rumania 1930	Costa Rica 5 1849	
Bulgaria 1925	Nicaragua 1905	
Turkey1930	El Salvador 1931	
Greece 1926	Guatemala 1928	
Albania 1925	Haiti1928	
America:	Asia and Africa:	
United States 521 1815	China 1928	
Argentina 1825	Japan 1911	
Brazil 1931	Siam1925	
Chile1931	Persia1857, 1928	
Bolivia	Muscat2 1891	
Colombia	Egypt	
Venezuela 1825, 1834	Ethiopia 22 1897	
Panama 1928	Liberia 8 1848	
•		
Cana		
Europe:	Europe—Continued	
Italy24 1923	Estonia 1926	
Spain	<u>Latvia</u>	
Portugal24 1928	Lithuania 1922, 1929	
Germany 24 1932 Belgium and Luxemburg 24 1924	Hungary 1926	
Belgium and Luxemburg 24 1924	Yugoslavia 1927	
Netherlands 24 1924	Rumania 1928, 1930	
Switzerland 1855	America:	
Czechoslovakia24 1928	Argentina 1825	
Norway 1826	Brazil 1931	
Sweden	Colombia 1866	
Denmark 1670	Venezuela 1825, 1834	
Finland 1923	Asia: Japan 1911	
Austr		
	······································	
	China 1928	
Argentina 1825	Persia 1857, 1928	
Venezuela 1825, 1834	Egypt	
New Ze	aland 26	
Europe:	America—Continued	
Italy 1883	Colombia1866	
Switzerland 27 1855	Venezuela 1825, 1834	
Norway 27 1826	Costa Rica 5 1849	
Sweden27 1826	Nicaragua 1905	
Denmark 27 1670 Greece 28 1926, 1927	Asia:	
Greece 28 1926, 1927	China	
Finland 1923	Japan 28 1928	
America:	Africa:	
Argentina 1825	Egypt 1930	
Brazil 1931	Liberia	
Union of Sou		
Europe:	Europe—Continued	
Italy 1883	Germany 1928	
Portugal (for Mozambique	Switzerland 1855	
only)	Norway 1826	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

<sup>a</sup> The mutual most-favored-nation pledge is limited on the part of Great Britain to its European terri-

In The mutual most-favored-nation pledge is limited on the part of Great Britain to be Embyean verificities.

In This treaty contains no pledge of most-favored-nation treatment on the part of Great Britain.

Except as otherwise noted, all the treaties here listed are in force with Great Britain and have been made applicable to Canada and certain other British countries.

Separate treaty between this country and Canada.

All the treaties here listed are in force with Great Britain and have been made applicable to Australia and certain other British countries.

Unless otherwise noted, all these treaties are in force with Great Britain and have been made applicable to New Zealand and certain other British countries.

New Zealand is withdrawing from this treaty, effective in April 1933.

Beparate agreement between this country and New Zealand under a treaty in force with Great Britain.

Unless otherwise noted, all the treaties here listed are in force with Great Britain, and have been made applicable to the South African Union and certain other British countries.

Beparate treaty between this country and the South African Union.

### BRITISH EMPIRE-continued

### Union of South Africa—Continued

Europe—Continued	America—Continued
Sweden	Brazil 1932
Denmark 1670	Asia:
America:	China 1928
Argentina 1825	Persia 1857, 1928
Brazil	Africa:
Colombia	Egypt1930
Venezuela 1825, 1834	Liberia \$ 1848
Costa Rica 6 1849	
Irish Fre	e State 31
Europe:	America—Continued
Italy 1883	Brazil
Portugal 82 1929	Bolivia 1911
Germany	Colombia
Beigium and Luxemburg 1898	Venezuela 1825, 1834
Netherlands \$ 1837	Costa Rica 5 1849
Switzerland 1855	Nicaragua 1905
Norway 1826	Guatemala 33 1930
Sweden 1826	El Salvador 1931
Denmark 1670	Asia:
Lithuania 1922, 1929	China 1928
Rumania 1930	Japan 1911
Turkey32 1929	Persia 1857, 1928
Greece	Africa:
America:	Egypt32 1930
Argentina 1825	Liberia 5 1848
Newfoundland 83	
Newfou	ndland <sup>83</sup>
	ndland <sup>83</sup>   America—Continued
Europe:	America—Continued
Europe: Italy1883 Portugal1914	America—Continued   Bolivia1911   Colombia1866
Europe:  Italy	America—Continued   Bolivia1911   Colombia1866   Venezuela1825, 1834
Europe:    Italy	America—Continued   Bolivia1911   Colombia1866
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826	America—Continued   Bolivia1911   Colombia1866   Venezuela1825, 1834   Costa Rica1849   Asia:
Europe:    Italy	America—Continued   1911   1866   1825, 1834   1825   1849   1849   1828   18
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826	America—Continued   1911   1912   1914   1915   1916   1916   1917   1
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670	America—Continued   1911   1866   1866   1825, 1834   1825, 1834   1826   1849   184
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670	America—Continued   1911   1866   1825, 1834   1849   1848   18
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825	America—Continued   1911   1866   1866   1825, 1834   1825   1849   1848   18
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Ind       Ind	America—Continued   1911   1866   1866   1825, 1834   1825, 1834   1826   1849   1848   184
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Ind       Ind	America—Continued   1911   1866   1866   1825, 1834   1825, 1834   1826   1849   1848   184
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Argentina       1825         Ind         Europe:       \$\$ \$	America—Continued   1911   1866   1866   1825, 1834   1825, 1834   1826   1826   1826   1826   1826   1827   1828   1827   1828   1827   1828   182
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Ind       Ind         Europe:       ### 1903         Italy       1883	America—Continued   1911   1866   1825, 1834   1825, 1834   1825, 1834   1825, 1834   1825   1849   1825   1849   1825   1849   1825   1848
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Argentina       1825         Ind       Europe:         France       1883         Italy       1883         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898	America—Continued   1911   1866   1825, 1834   1825, 1834   1825, 1834   1825, 1834   1825   1849   1825   1849   1825   1849   1825   1848
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Argentina       1825         Europe:       183         France       1883         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826	America—Continued   1911   Colombia   1866   Venezuela   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   5 1849
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Argentina       1825         Europe:       1883         France       1883         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826	America—Continued   1911   Colombia   1866   Venezuela   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   5 1849
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Argentina       1825         Ind       183         Europe:       183         France       183         Italy       1883         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670	America—Continued   1911   Colombia   1866   Venezuela   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   5 1849
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Argentina       1825         Ind       183         Europe:       183         France       183         Italy       1883         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         Pole and       1931	America—Continued   1911   Colombia   1866   Venezuela   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   5 1849   Asia:   China   1928   Japan   1911   Persia   1857, 1928   Africa: Liberia   5 1848     America—Continued   Brazil   1848     Colombia   1866   Venezuela   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   1849   Asia:   China   1928   Japan   1924   Siam   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1925   1825   1825   1925   1925   1925   1825   1825   19
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Argentina       1825         Ind       1883         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         Polaad       25         Turkey       1931         Turkey       185	America—Continued   1911   Colombia   1866   Venezuela   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   5 1849   Asia:   China   1928   Japan   1911   Persia   1857, 1928   Africa: Liberia   5 1848   Ia 34   America—Continued   Brazil   1848   Ia 34   Costa Rica   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   1849   Asia:   China   1928   Japan   1924   Siam   1925   Persia   1857, 1928   Ia 357, 1928   Ia
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Argentina       1825         Ind       1883         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         Polaad       25         Turkey       1931         Turkey       185	America—Continued   1911   Colombia   1866   Venezuela   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   5 1849   Asia:   China   1928   Japan   1857, 1928   Africa: Liberia   1857, 1928   Colombia   1866   Venezuela   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   1828   Japan   1928   Japan   1928   Japan   1925   Persia   1857, 1928   Africa:   1857, 1928
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Argentina       1825         Ind       1883         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         Polaad       25         Turkey       25         Turkey       25         1930       1930         Greece       25         1926	America—Continued   1911   Colombia   1866   Venezuela   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   5 1849   Asia:   China   1928   Japan   1911   Persia   1857, 1928   Africa: Liberia   5 1848   Isas   1848   Isas   Isa
Europe:       1883         Portugal       1914         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         America:       1825         Argentina       1825         Ind       183         Belgium and Luxemburg       1898         Switzerland       1855         Norway       1826         Sweden       1826         Denmark       1670         Polaad       35       1931         Turkey       35       1930         Greece       35       1926	America—Continued   1911   Colombia   1866   Venezuela   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   5 1849   Asia:   China   1928   Japan   1857, 1928   Africa: Liberia   1857, 1928   America—Continued   Brazil   2818   282   Colombia   1866   Venezuela   1825, 1834   Costa Rica   1849   Asia:   China   1928   Japan   281904   Siam   1925   Persia   1857, 1928   Africa:   1857, 1928   Africa:

<sup>\*</sup>The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty with reference to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

\*\*Unless otherwise noted, all the treaties here listed are in force with Great Britain, and have been made applicable to the Irish Free State and certain other British countries.

\*\*Separate agreement between this country and the Irish Free State.

\*\*All treaties here listed are in force with Great Britain, and have been made applicable to Newfoundland and certain other British countries.

\*\*Unless otherwise noted, all the treaties here listed are in force with Great Britain, and have been made applicable to India and certain other British countries.

\*\*Separate agreement between this country and India.

\*\*The most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is limited to Indian products listed.

#### ATOLANTIC

BULGARIA	
Europe:       United Kingdom       \$7 1925         France       \$8 1925         Italy       1925         Spain       \$9 1922         Germany       40 1921         Belgium and Luxemburg       1926         Netherlands       1922         Switzerland       1924         Austria       1921-22         Czechoslovakia       1925         Norway       1924         Sweden       1923	Europe—Continued       1921–22         Poland       1925         Estonia       1928         Latvia       1928         Hungary       1921         Rumania       1930         Turkey       1930         Albania       1926         America: United States       1932         Asia and Africa:       1927         Egypt       1930
CANADA (SEE UND)	ER BRITISH EMPIRE)
Europe:  United Kingdom 1931 Belgium and Luxemburg 1931 Ital: 1898 Spain 1844 Denmark 1899 Netherlands 1931 Norway 1927 Switzerland 1897	America:
СНІ	INA
British Empire: United Kingdom, Irish Free State, Canada, Newfoundland, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, India       2 1928         Europe:       4 1928         Italy       1928         Spain       1928         Portugal       1928         Germany       1928	Europe—Continued         Belgium and Luxemburg       1928         Netherlands       1928         Czechoslovakia       1930         Norway       1928, 1929         Sweden       1928         Denmark       1928         Poland       1929         America: United States       1928         Asia: Japan       1930         Africa: Egypt       1930
coro	MBIA
British Empire: United Kingdom, Irish Free State, Canada, Newfoundland, New Zealand, South Africa, India_"1866 Europe: France1892 Italy1892	Europe - Continued         Spain

<sup>\*</sup>The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

\*\*This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to a list of British colonies and territories noted in 11db. 1931, p. 54. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, protectorates, and mandated territories are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity.

\*\*Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is limited to articles listed.

\*\*Bpain grants the rates of its second column tariff, but remains free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Spain grants the rates of its second column tariff, but remains free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

\*\* See footnote 93, p. 29.

\*\* Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty is described as the most favorable treatment that may be accorded to any European country or to the United States.

\*\* This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to all the British countries here listed; also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all nonself-governing colonies and protectorates.

\*\* Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is limited to articles listed.

\*\* This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to all the British countries here listed, and also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government.

\*\* Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in the treaty of 1881 is described as the most favorable treatment that may be accorded by Spain to any American country, and by Colombia to any European country. Products of Colombia are admitted under Spain's second column tariff, leaving Spain free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

### COLOMBIA—continued

	VOII VIII GOG	
America:       United States       5 1846         Brazil       1932         Bolivia       1912	America—Continued Ecuador 5 46 1905 Asia: Japan 5 47 1908	
COSTA RICA		
British Empire: United Kingdom, Newfoundland, New Zealand, South Africa, Irish Free State, India648 1849	Europe:	
CUBA		
France 40 1929 Italy 1903 Spain 51 1927	United States 1902	
CZECHOS	LOVAKIA	
British Empire:  United Kingdom	Europe—Continued   Estonia   1927     Latvia   1922   Lithuania   1923   Yugoslavia   1928   Rumania   1930   Bulgaria   1925   Turkey   1931   Greece   1932   Albania   1926   America:   United States   1923, 1924   Brazil   1931   Asia:   China   1930   Japan   1925   Persia   1929	
Finland 1927	Africa: Egypt	

\*The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

\*This treaty also provides for reciprocal exemption from import and export duties on natural or manufactured products (subject to certain exceptions) passing land frontiers.

\*Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as piedged in this treaty is described as the most favorable treatment that may be accorded to any European country or to the United States.

\*This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the other British countries here listed and also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government.

\*Provisionally effective Mar. 1, 1933.

\*France's piedge of unconditional most-favored-nation treatment is limited to a list of Cuban products; for other articles Cuba is promised most-favored-nation treatment of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

on p. 18.

Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by Spain is limited to articles listed; all other Cuban products are entitled to the rates of Spain's second column tariff, leaving Spain free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

# Portugal grants the rates of its minimum tariff, but remains free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

to other countries.

H This is a reciprocity treaty providing for mutual tariff preferences and other exclusive concessions not subject to generalisation.

H This treaty is applicable to the United Kingdom and other British territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 142. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, protectorates, and mandated territories are, with certain reservations, accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity.

H Separate treaty between Osechoslovakia and Canada.

M Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is unlimited only so long as France accords like treatment to Germany.

treatment to Germany.

British Empire:

| Europe—Continued

List of commercial treaties of all nations in force January 1, 1933, pledging mostfavored-nation treatment with respect to customs duties—Continued

### DENMARK 57

Drush Emphe:	Europe—Continued	
United Kingdom, Canada,	Lithuania 1930	
Newfoundland, New Zea-	Hungary 1887	
Newfoundland, New Zea- land, 8 South Africa, India 59 1670	Yugoslavia 1909	
India 59 1670	Rumania 1930	
Irish Free State 1670	Bulgaria	
Europe:	Turkey 1930	
France \$ 1842	Greece 1928	
Italy 1864	America:	
Spain 1928	United States • 1826	
Portugal 60 1896	Brazil 1931	
Germany61 1818, 1846	Chile 1899	
Belgium and Luxemburg 1895	Colombia 1929	
Netherlands 1701	Dominican Republic 5 1852	
Switzerland 1875	Asia and Africa:	
Austria 1928	China	
Czechoslovakia 1925	Japan 1912	
Russia 1923	Siam1925	
Poland 1924	Persia 1928	
Finland 1923	Egypt	
Estonia 1923	Liberia 1860	
Latvia 1924		
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC		
Europe:	America:	
France 1882, 1886	United States 1924	
France 1882, 1886 Italy 1886, 1889, 1903	Mexico 1890	
Denmark 5 1852		
ECUADOR		
Europe:	America:	
France1898	Mexico	
Italy1900	Bolivia	
Spain62 1861, 1888	Colombia 5 68 1905	
Germany 1887, 1924	Asia:	
Belgium and Luxemburg 1887	Japan 5 64 1918	
Switzerland 1888	Japan	
DWIVEGIAIIU		
EGYPT		
British Empire:	Europe:	
United Kingdom, Australia,	France 1930	
New Zealand, South Af-	Italy	
rica, India	Spain 1930	
Irish Free State 66 1930	Germany 1930	
* The most-savored-mation treatment assured by the "conditional" type, as explained on n 12	his treaty with reference to customs duties is of the	
<sup>1</sup> The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty with reference to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18. <sup>17</sup> Of Denmark's treaties here listed, those concluded prior to the act of union (Dec. 1, 1918) apply ipso facto to Iceland; those concluded after that date apply only with Iceland's consent, in the absence of a		
facto to iceland; those concluded after that date apply only with Iceland's consent, in the absence of a		
BOLIMI NUO MEL POLITITI.		
New Zealand is withdrawing from this treaty, as of Apr. 11, 1933.  The treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the other British countries here listed, and also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government.		
to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies	not possessing responsible government.	

to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government.

Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on the part of Portugal to articles listed; all other Danish products are admitted at the rates of the minimum tariff.

These treaties were made with Prussia; treaties of 1841 and 1845 with other German States are also in

<sup>\*</sup> Products of Ecuador are admitted under Spain's second column tariff, leaving Spain free to concede

<sup>4</sup> Products of Ecuador are admitted under Spain's second column tariff, leaving Spain free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

4 This treaty also provides for reciprocal exemption from import and export duties on natural or manufactured products (subject to certain exceptions) passing land frontiers.

4 Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty is described as the most favorable treatment that may be accorded to any European or American country.

4 This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the British Dominions here listed, and to a long list of British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 180. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, protectorates, and mandated territories are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity.

6 Separate agreement between Egypt and Irish Free State.

### EGYPT—continued

Europe—Continued       1930         Belgium and Luxemburg       1930         Netherlands       1930         Switzerland       1930         Austria       1930         Czechoslovakia       1930         Norway       1930         Sweden       1930         Denmark       1930         Poland       1930         Finland       1930         Hungary       1930         Yugoslavia       1930         Rumania       1930	Europe—Continued       1930         Bulgaria       1930         Turkey       1930         Greece       1930         Albania       1930         America:       1930         United States       1930         Brazil       1930         Chile       1930         Asia and Africa:       1930         China       1930         Japan       1930         Persia       1930         Ethiopia       1930
nst	DNIA
British Empire:  United Kingdom 67 1926 Canada 88 1928 Europe:  France 1929 Germany 1928 Italy 1928 Portugal 89 1929 Belgium and Luxemburg 1926 Netherlands 1924 Switzerland 1925 Austria 1928 Czechoslovakia 1927 Poland 1927 Russia 1929 Norway 1925	Europe—Continued         Sweden       1923         Denmark       1923         Finland       1931         Latvia       1928         Lithuania       1931         Hungary       1929         Yugoslavia       1928         Rumania       1930         Bulgaria       1928         Turkey       1929         Greece       1927         America:       1925         Brazil       1932
ETHI	OPIA
British Empire: United Kingdom, Canada, Newfoundland, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, Irish Free State, India 10 1897  Europe:  France 1908 Germany 1905 Italy 1906	Europe—Continued       1906         Belgium and Luxemburg       1926         Netherlands       1927         Greece       1922         America: United States       * 1914         Asia: Japan       1930         Africa: Egypt       1930

<sup>\*</sup> The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty is of the "conditional" type, as explained

The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty is of the conditional type, as capacion p. 18.

This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to a long list of British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 192. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, protectorates, and mandated territories are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity.

Reciprocal most-favored-nation treatment under the treaty of 1926 with the United Kingdom was made effective as to Canada in 1928.

Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by Portugal is limited to articles listed; all other Estonian products are entitled to Portugal's minimum tariff rates, leaving Portugal free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries. Estonia is also entitled to the tariff favors which Portugal subsequently accorded to Finland, Lithuania, and Poland, and which these countries and Latvia may receive from Portugal by future treaties.

Most-favored-nation treatment in respect of import duties, etc., is pledged on the part of Ethiopia, but not by Great Britain. This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the other British countries here listed, and also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government.

### FINLAND

British Empire:	EuropeContinued
United Kingdom	Sweden 1927
Canada 1925	Denmark 1923
New Zealand 1932	Estonia 1931
Europe:	Latvia 1924
France 1921	Lithuania 1932
Germany 1920	Hungary 1925
Italy	Yugoslavia 1920
Spain	Rumania 1930
Portugal 1930	
Belgium and Luxemburg 1924	Turkey 1929 Greece 1926
Netherlands 1923	America:
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Czechoslovakia 1927	Asia: Japan 1924
Poland	Africa: Egypt 1930
Norway 1930	
FRA	NCE
Europe:	EuropeContinued
Italy	Latvia 1924
Spain	Lithuania
Portugal	Hungary 1925, 1920
Germany	Yugoslavia
Tollary and I manufacture 1000	D

192ฮ Estonia Uruguay \_\_\_\_\_ If The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "con-

America:

1892

1921

Rumania 1930 Bulgaria 1925

Turkey 1929
Greeco 1929
Albania #1 1929

Cuba ...... 82 1929 Mexico....

1900

Argentina.....

Brazil

<sup>4</sup> The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

<sup>7</sup> This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to a list of British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 212. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, possessions, protectorates, and mandated territories are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity.

<sup>7</sup> The reciprocal most-favored-nation treatment provided for in the treaty of 1923 with the United Kingdom was made effective as to Canada and New Zealand in the years above indicated.

<sup>7</sup> Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on both sides to articles listed; other articles are granted the rates of Spain's second column tariff but Spain remains free to concede to other countries special reductions from second column rates.

from second column rates.

Belgium and Luxemburg \_\_ 1892

Switzerland 1929 Austria 78 1928 Czechoslovakia..... 79 1928 Norway\_\_\_\_\_ 1881

Sweden 1881 Denmark 5 1842

Poland\_\_\_\_\_\_80 1924

Netherlands....

Finland .....

from second column rates.

1 Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on both sides to articles listed.

16 French products not listed are granted the rates of Spain's second column tariff, leaving Spain free to concede to other countries special reductions from second column rates. Such reductions have been accorded to France by the supplementary agreement of Oct. 23, 1931.

17 Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in 1911 and 1922 was renewed and modified in 1925 and amended in 1932, so that Portugal grants specially reduced duties (by lowering of surtax) to French products listed, and the benefits of its minimum tariff to all others (remaining free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries); on the part of France most-favored-nation treatment is unlimited except that it applies only with quantity limitations to Portugese nonliqueur wines in bulk, and is subject to special exceptions as to other ordinary wines.

18 Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is unlimited, except as to Austrian products listed.

19 Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is unlimited only so long as France accords like treatment to Germany.

treatment to Germany.

\*\*France's pledge of unconditional most-favored-nation treatment is limited to lists of Polish products; for certain other articles Poland is promised most-favored-nation treatment of the "conditional" type, as

explained on p. 18.

If Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is limited to articles listed.

France's pledge of unconditional most-favored-nation treatment is limited to a list of Cuban products; for other articles Cuba is promised most-favored-nation treatment of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

## reance-continued

British Empire: United Kingdom	America—Continued       1802         Paraguay       1808         Ecuador       1808         Colombia       1802         Venezuela       1902         Panama       1892         Nicaragua       1902         Honduras       1902         El Salvador       **1 1901         Guatomala       **1 1926         Haiti       **1 1930         Dominican Republic       1882, 1886	Asia and Africa:  China
United Kingdom         85 1924         Greece         1928           Canada         86 1932         America:         1923           South Africa         86 1930         Argentina         1923           Irish Free State         86 1930         Argentina         1931           France         87 1927, 1932         Brazil         1931           France         87 1926         Bolivia         1908, 1924           Spain         87 1920         Eouador         1887, 1924           Portugal         88 1926         Colombia         1887, 1924           Portugal         1925         Foundor         1887, 1924           Portugal         1920         Venezuela         1908, 1924           Notherlands         86 1851         Panama         1924           Notherlands         1932         Nicaragua         1890, 1924           Austria         1930         Honduras         1924           Austria         1930         Honduras         1926           Rocechoslovakia         1920         El Salvador         1908           Norway         1841         Haiti         1930           Russia         1922         Sala         Aria and Africa:	ORN	IANY
	United Kingdom	Cireece       1928         America:       1923         Argentina       4 1857         Brazil       1931         Paraguay       94 1929         Bolivia       1908, 1924         Eouador       1887, 1924         Colombia       1802         Venozuola       1909         Panama       1927         Nicaragua       1806, 1924         Honduras       1926         El Salvador       1908         Guatemala       1924         Haiti       1930         Costa Rica       4 1932         Asia and Africa:       1928         China       1927         Siam       1928         Porsia       1929         Saudi Arabia (Hojaz and Nojd)       1929
	Bulgaria 98 1021	Egypt 1930

<sup>\*</sup> The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty is of the "conditional" type as explained

\* The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty is of the "conditional" type as explained on p. 18.

\*\* Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is limited to articles listed.

\*\* In the commercial arrangement of 1932 for the regulation of trade between Japan and French Indo-Ohina, most-favored-nation treatment is limited to specified Japanese and French Indo-Ohina, emost-favored-nation treatment is limited to specified Japanese and French Indo-Ohinese products.

\*\* Pending negotiation of a special outsions convention between the parties, this treaty provisionally continues the most favorable outsions treatment on both sides for all articles then enjoying such treatment. In addition, Siam pledges most-favored-nation treatment for certain French wines and spirits.

\*\* This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to a long list of British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 311. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, protectorates, and mandidated territories are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity.

\*\* Separate treaty between this Dominion and Germany.

\*\* Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on both sides to articles listed.

\*\* Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by Portugal is limited to a list of articles specified in other Portugaces treaties; all other German products are granted the benefit of Portugal's minimum tariff rates (leaving Portugal free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries), but Germany is entitled to any tariff favore which Portugal may accord by future treaties to the United States, the United Kingdom, or France.

\*\* On the part of Germany, the pledge of most-favored-nation treatment in regard to outsoms duties.

\*\* These treaties were made with Prussia; treaties of 1841 and 1845 with other German States are also in force.

\*\* This agreement has been replaced by a treaty signed in 1932 and provisionally put into force (with important exceptions) on Feb. 17, 1933, which provides multiplication

## GREECE

and 111 a fema		
British Empire:	Europe—Continued	1000
United Kingdom 1926	Denmark	1928 1926
New Zealand 97 1926-27 Irish Free State 97 1930	Finland	1927
India	Estonia Latvia	1927
Europe:	Hungary	1930
France1929	Yugoslavia	1927
Germany 1928	Rumania	1931
Italy1926	Turkey	1930
Italy 1926 Belgium and Luxemburg 1926	Albania	1926
Netherlands 1926	America: United States	1924
Switzerland 1926	Asia:	
Austria98 1925	Japan	1925
Czechoslovakia 1932	Persia	1929
Poland 1930	Africa:	4000
Russia1929		1930
Norway 1927	Ethiopia	1922
Sweden 1926		
GUATI	EMALA	
_		
Europe:	Europe—Continued	
United Kingdom 91928		1924
Irish Free State 1930		1924
France 2 1926		1927
Italy 1926	America: United States	1924
Spain 5 1863		
HA	ITI	
Furono	Europe—Continued	
Europe: United Kingdom 1928		1930
France 1930		1926
Italy1927		1926
Avary	America. Chice States	1020
HEJAZ AND NEJD (SEE ARABIA)		
HOND	URAS	
<b>70</b>	There is a second of the secon	
Europe:	Europe—Continued	1000
France		1909
Italy 1868, 1875	America: United States	1927
Germany 1926		
HUNG	GARY	
Turones	Europa Continued	
Europe:	Europe—Continued Netherlands	1004
United Kingdom 9 1926	Switzerlands	1924 1906
France 1925, 1929   Italy 1928		
	Austria 1922,	1932
Spain 1925, 1929		1924
Portugal		1887
Germany 1931   Belgium and Luxemburg_ 4 1924		1925
Deigium and Luxemburg 1924	I URIU	1840
This treaty with the United Kingdom is application	able to the British colonies and territories no	ted in

M This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 338.

W Separate treaty between Greece and this country.

M On the part of Greece, the most-favored-nation treatment pledged by this treaty in regard to customs duties is partly "conditional" as explained on p. 18.

M These treaties with the United Kingdom are applicable to the British colonies and territories listed in Hdb. 1931, pp. 356 and 365. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, protectorates, and mandated territories are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity.

Separate agreement between Guatemala and Irish Free State.

Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is limited to articles listed.

Most-favored-nation treatment mutually pledged by this treaty in regard to custom duties is subject to special exceptions on both sides, if circumstances require.

The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty with reference to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

# HUNGARY-continued

Europe—Continued Finland		America:	4
Finland	1925	United States	1925
Estonia	1929	Brazil	1931
Latvia	1923	Asia:	
Lithuania	1929	Japan	1929
Yugoslavia	1926	Persia	1929
Rumania		Africa: Egypt	1930
Bulgaria			
Turkey	1930		
Greece			
Albania	1927		

# INDIA (SEE BRITISH EMPIRE)

# IRISH FREE STATE (SEE UNDER BRITISH EMPIRE)

### ITALY

	n market
British Empire:	Europe—Continued
United Kingdom \$ 1883	Turkey 1929
Canada 7 1923	Greece 1926
Newfoundland.	Albania 1924
Australia. <sup>8</sup>	America:
New Zealand.	United States • 1871
South Africa.	Cuba 1903
Irish Free State.	Argentina 1894
India.	Brazil
Europe:	Chile 1898
France 10 1927, 1932	Paraguay 1893
Germany 1925	Peru 1874
Spain11 1932	Ecuador 1900
Portugal12 1911	Colombia 1892
Belgium and Luxemburg 1882	Venezuela 1861
Netherlands 1863	Panama 1929
Switzerland 1923	Nicaragua 1906
Austria 1923. 1932	Honduras 1868, 1875
Czechoslovakia 1921	El Salvador 1860
Poland 1922	Guatemala1926
Russia 10 18 1924	Haiti1927
Norway 1862	Dominican Republic 1886, 1889,
Sweden	1903
Denmark 1864	Asia and Africa:
Finland 10 1924	China
Estonia 1928	Japan14 1912
Latvia 1925	Siam1926
Lithuania 1927	Persia 1928, 1931
Hungary 1928	Hejaz 1932
Yugoslavia 1924	Egypt
Rumania 1930	Ethiopia 1906
Bulgaria	Libería 1862

<sup>&</sup>quot;The most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the other British countries here listed (subject to regional limitations indicated), and also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all colonies not possessing

to regional limitations indicated), and also to Southern Rhodesia, Maita, and all colonies not possessing assponsible government.

Separate treaty between Italy and Canada.

Except South Australia.

Except South Australia.

Except the Cape Province.

Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on both sides to articles listed.

Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on both sides to articles listed, and Spain grants her second column tariff rates to all Italian products not listed.

Most-favored-nation treatment is unlimited on both sides, except as to certain wines.

But in case either party grants tariff reductions to any third country affecting important products not listed in the treaty, it is bound to give favorable consideration to applications for the same concessions to the other party. to the other party.

14 Most-favored-nation treatment is unlimited on both sides, except as to certain silk textiles.

## JAPAN

TA.	A ANY
British Empire:	Europe—Continued
United Kingdom 15 1911	Yugoslavia 1923
Canada, Newfoundland,	Rumania 1930
Irish Free State.	Bulgaria 1927
New Zealand 16 1928	Turkey 1929
India16 1904	Greece 1925
Europe:	
Europe: 1011 17 1099	
France1911, 17 1932 Italy	America:
1000 1000	United States § 1894, § 1911
Spain 1900, 1929	Cuba 1929
Portugal 1932	Mexico
Germany 1927	Argentina 5 19 1898
Belgium and Luxemburg 1924	Brazil 5 1895
Netherlands 1912	Chile 5 19 1897
Switzerland 1911	Paraguay 1919
Austria 1930	Peru 6 20 1924
Czechoslovakia 1925	Ecuador 5 21 1918
Norway 1911	Colombia 5 19 1908
Sweden 1911	Asia and Africa:
Denmark	China 1930
Poland 1922	Siam 1924
Finland 1924	Persia 1929
Latvia 1925	Egypt 1930
Lithuania 1930	Ethiopia 1930
Hungary 1929	Eunopia 1950
Hungary 1929	•
LAT	YVIA
British Empire:	Europe—Continued
United Kingdom 22 1923	Poland1929
Canada 23 1928	Finland 1929
	Finland 1924
Europe:	Estonia 1928
France1924	Lithuania 1930
Italy1925	Hungary 1923
Portugal24 1929	Yugoslavia 1928
Germany 1926	Rumania 1930
Germany 1926 Belgium and Luxemburg 1925	Bulgaria 1928 Turkey 1928
Netherlands 1924	Turkey 1928
Switzerland 1924	Greece 1927
Austria	America:
Czechoslovakia 1922	United States 1928
Norway 1924	Brazil1932
Sweden 1924	Asia: Japan 1925
Denmark 1924	AVMV

<sup>•</sup> The most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "con-

The most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to Canada, Newfoundland, and the Irish Free State, and to a long list of British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 391.

Separate agreement between this country and Japan.

In the commercial arrangement of 1932 for the regulation of trade between Japan and French Indo-China most-favored-nation treatment is limited to specified Japanese and French Indo-Chinase products

Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty is described as the most favorable treatment that may be accorded to any European country or to the United States.

Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty is described as the most favorable treatment that may be accorded to any European or North American country.

Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty is described as the most favorable treatment that may be accorded to any European or North American country.

This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to a list of British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 407. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, possessions, protectorates, and mandated territories are granted most-favored-nation treatment on terms of reciprocity.

The reciprocal most-favored-nation treatment provided for in the treaty of 1923 with the United Kingdom was made effective as to Canada in 1928.

Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by Portugal is limited to articles listed; all other articles are

1 4 Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by Portugal is limited to articles listed; all other articles are entitled to the benefit of Portugal's minimum tariff rates, leaving Portugal free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

# \_\_ LIBERIA

British Empire: United Kingdom, Canada, Newfoundland, New Zealand, South Africa, Irish Free State, India 5251848  Europe: Italy 51862 Belgium and Luxemburg 1885	Europe—Continued       1862         Netherlands       1866         Austria       1863         Norway       1863         Sweden       1863         Denmark       1860         America: United States       1863
LITHU	JANIA
British Empire:	Europe—Continued       1924         Sweden       1930         Denmark       1930         Russia       1928         Finland       1932         Estonia       1931         Latvia       1930         Hungary       1929         Rumania       1931         America:       1925         Brazil       1932         Asia:       Japan       1930
ME	tico
France       1930         Spain       29 1836         Brazil       1931         Ecuador       1888	Dominican Republic
NETHE	RLANDS
British Empire:	Europe—Continued       1701         Denmark       1924         Foland       1923         Finland       1924         Estonia       1924         Latvia       1924         Lithuania       1924         Hungary       1924         Yugoslavia       1930         Rumania       1930         Bulgaria       1922         Turkey       1929         Greece       1926

• The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "con-

<sup>&</sup>quot;The most-invoted nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

"This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the other British countries here listed, and also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government. Australia withdrew from this treaty in 1908, but continues to enjoy most-favored-nation treatment on terms of reci-

withdrew from this treaty in 1908, but continues to enjoy most-favored-nation treatment on terms of reciprocity.

\*\* These treaties with the United Kingdom are applicable also to the Irish Free State and to a list of British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 418. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, possessions, protectorates, and mandated territories are granted most-favored-nation treatment on terms of reciprocity.

\*\*The reciprocal most-favored-nation treatment provided for in the treaty of 1922 with the United Kingdom was made effective as to Canada in 1928.

\*\*B Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by France is limited to articles listed.

\*\*Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty is limited to products shipped under the national flag.

Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as piedged in this treaty is inflitted to produce simpled united the national flag.

Mean These treaties apply also to the Irish Free State.

Separate treaty between the Netherlands and Canada.

Most-favored-nation treatment piedged by Portugal is limited to articles listed; all other articles are antitled to the benefit of Portugal's minimum tariff rates, leaving Portugal free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

On the part of Germany, the piedge of most-favored-nation treatment in regard to customs duties is not wholly unconditional, as explained on p. 18.

## NETHERLANDS-continued

America:	1	Asia and Africa:	
Brazil	1931	China	1928
Chile	1931	Japan	1912
Bolivia		Siaro	
Colombia	1829	Pereia	
Guatemala	1927	Egypt	1930
Haiti		Ethiopia	
		Liberia	

## NEWFOUNDLAND (SEE UNDER BRITISH EMPIRE)

### NEW ZEALAND (SEE UNDER BRITISH EMPIRE)

### NICARAGUA

British         Empire:         United         Kingdom,           dom,         Irish         Free         State,         New           Zealand         ** 1905           Europe:         France         1902           Italy         1906	Europe—Continued Spain 1850, ** 1923 Germany 1896, 1924 Belgium and Luxemburg 1858 America: United States 1924
NOR	WAY
British Empire: United Kingdom, Canada, Newfoundland, New Zealand, South Africa, Irish Free State, India	Europe—Continued       1924         Yugoslavia       1909, 1923         Rumania       1930         Bulgaria       1921, 1924         Greece       1927         Turkey       1929         America:       1928         United States       1928         Argentina       1885         Brazil       1931         Chile       1927         Asia:       1928         China       1928         Japan       1911         Siam       1928         Persia       1928         Africa:       1928         Egypt       1930         Liberia       1863
PAN	AMA
British Empire: United Kingdom 39 1928	Europe: 1929

Germany

Asia: Japan

1927

1919

¹ The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

¾ This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the Irish Free State and New Zealand and to certain British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 477

¾ Under the 1923 agreement Spain grants its lowest rates to Nicaragua and receives the benefit of special-duty reductions originally granted by Nicaragua to France.

¾ New Zealand is withdrawing from this treaty, as of Apr. 15, 1933.

¾ This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the other British countries here listed, and also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government.

¾ Treaties of 1843, 1846, and 1852 are also in force.

¾ This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to a list of British colonies and territories listed in Hdb., 1931, p. 499. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, protectorates, and mandated territories are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity. This provision applies also to Iraq. to Iraq.

PARAGUAY		
Europe: Germany 40 1929 America: United States 41859	Asia: Japan 1910	D
<b>PE</b>	RU	
Europe:  Italy 1874 Spain 1879	America: Argentina 1874 Bolivia 1900 Asia: Japan 541 1926	5
PEI	RSIA	
British Empire: United Kingdom, Canada, Newfoundland, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, Irish Free State, India       1928         Europe:       1928         France       1928         Italy       1928, 1931         Spain       1842, 1870         Germany       1929         Belgium and Luxemburg       1929         Netherlands       1928         Switzerland       1928         Austria       1928         Czechoslovakia       1929         Norway       1928         Sweden       1929	Europe—Continued       1928         Denmark       1929, 193         Russia       1929, 193         Poland       192         Hungary       192         Turkey       192         Greece       192         America:       190         Mexico       190         Argentina       190         Chile       190         Asia:       192         Japan       192         Iraq       192         Africa:       Egypt       193	17969 8223 99
POLA	ND 4	
British Empire:	Europe—Continued       192'         Latvia       192'         Hungary       192'         Yugoslavia       192'         Rumania       48 193'         Bulgaria       192'         Greece       193'         America:       192'         Brazil       193'         Asia and Africa:       192'         China       192'         Japan       192'         Persia       192'         Egypt       193'	952050 52 927

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

This date refers to an exchange of notes which, upon expiration of the most-favored-nation treaty of 1837, continued such treatment de facto on both sides.

Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty is described as the most favorable treatment that may be accorded to any European or North American country.

This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the other British countries here listed, and also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government.

This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to India and all parts of the British Empire not separate members of the League of Nations.

Products of Canada, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, and the Irish Free State (and of territories under their jurisdiction) are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity.

and the Irish Free State (and of territories under their jurisdiction) are accorded most-avoired-mation treatment subject to reciprocity.

4 The treaties of Poland here listed have, for the most part, been made applicable to the Free City of Danzig, which was made part of Poland's customs territory by the Treaty of Versallies.

4 This treaty with the United Kingdom does not apply to India or any of the self-governing British Dominions, but is applicable to a long list of British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 543.

4 Separate treaty between Poland and India.

5 France's pledge of unconditional most-favored-nation treatment is limited to lists of Polish products; for certain other articles Poland is promised most-favored-nation treatment of the "conditional" type, as applicable on p. 18 explained on p. 18.

Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on both sides to articles listed.

### PORTUGAL

British Empire:	Europe—Continued
British Empire: United Kingdom	Poland 1929
Canada	Finland 1930
South Africa 51 1928	Estonia 54 59 1929
Irish Free State 50 1929	Latvia64 1920
Europe:	Lithuania 1932
France	Hungary
Italy	Yugoslavia 1910
Germany 84 85 1926	Rumania 1930
Belgium and Luxemburg 6 1897	America:
Netherlands 4 1924	United States 5 1910
Switzerland 1905	Cuba
Austria57 1925	Asia:
Czechoslovakia 1922	China 1928
Norway 1931	Japan 1932
Sweden 1904	Siam 4 1925
Denmark	

### RUMANIA

	Europe—Continued	British Empire:
	)   Finland 1930	United Kingdom
Canada 1928, 1930 Estonia 1930	Estonia 1930	Canada 1928, 1930
Europe: Latvia 1930	Latvia 1930	
France 1980 Lithuania 193	Lithuania 1931	France 1980
Germany 1930 Hungary 193		
	Yugoslavia 1930	Italy 1930
Spain 1930   Bulgaria 1930	Bulgaria 1930	Spain 1930
Portugal 1930 Turkey 1929		
		Belgium and Luxemburg 1930
Netherlands 1930 Albania 1930	1930 Albania 1930	Netherlands 1930
Switzerland 1930 America:		Switzerland 1930
	United States 1930	
Czechoslovakia 1930 Brazil 193	Brazil 1931	Czechoslovakia 1930
Poland61 1930   Asia and Africa:		Poland61 1930
Norway 1930   Japan 1930	Japan 1930	Norway 1930
Sweden 1931 Egypt 1930	Egypt 1930	Sweden 1931
Denmark 1930	)	Denmark 1930

Portugal grants the rates of its minimum tariff, but remains free to concede special tariff preferences.

to other countries.

\*\* Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on the part of Portugal to articles listed; all other Danish

Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on the part of Portugal to articles listed; all other Danish products are admitted at the rates of the minimum tariff.

Estonia is also entitled to the tariff favors which Portugal subsequently accorded to Finland, Lithuania, and Poland, and which these countries and Latvia may receive from Portugal by future treaties.

This treaty with the United Kingdom does not apply to other British countries, but products of self-governing dominions (in the case of the Irish Free State by exchange of notes of Oct. 1/27, 1930), India, colonies, protectorates, and mandated territories are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity. This provision applies also to Iraq.

Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on both sides to articles listed.

The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable also to the Irish Free State and Newfoundland. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, possessions, and protectorates are granted most-favored-nation treatment on terms of reciprocity.

Separate treaty between this country and Portugal.

This treaty with the South African Union is limited on the part of Portugal to Mozambique.

Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in 1911 and 1922 was renewed and modified in 1925 and amended in 1932, so that Portugal grants specially reduced duties (by lowering of surtax) to French products listed, and the benefits of its minimum tariff to all others (remaining free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries); on the part of France most-favored-nation treatment is unlimited except that it applies only with quantity limitations to Portuguese nonliqueur wines in bulk, and is subject to special exceptions as to other ordinary wines.

Most-favored-nation treatment is unlimited on both sides, except as to certain wines.

Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by Portugal is limited to articles listed; all other articles are entitled to the benefit of Portugal's minimum tariff rates, leaving Portugal free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

## RUSSIA (U. S. S. R.)

Europe:  United Kingdom	Europe—Continued
EL SAL	VADOR
British Empire:	America: United States 1926
81.	AM
British Empire: United Kingdom67 1925 Europe: France68 1925 Italy1926 Spain69 1925 Portugal70 1925 Germany1928 Belgium and Luxemburg1926	Europe—Continued       1925         Netherlands       1931         Switzerland       1931         Norway       1926         Sweden       1925         Denmark       1925         America: United States       "1920         Asia: Japan       1924

# SOUTH AFRICA (SEE UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA UNDER BRITISH EMPIRE)

special tariff preferences to other countries.

11 Most-favored-nation treatment respecting customs duties is pledged by Siam but not by the United

States.

The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the

<sup>\*</sup>The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

\*\* This treaty is to terminate in April 1933.

\*\* Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on both sides to articles listed. But in case either party grants tariff reductions to any third country affecting important products not listed in the treaty, it is bound to give favorable consideration to applications for the same concessions to the other party.

\*\*\* Separate treaty between Salvador and the Irish Free State.

\*\*\* Under the 1924 agreement Spain extends most-favored-nation treatment to products of El Salvador specified in the latter's treaty with France and receives the benefit of preferential reductions for articles likewise specified in the France-Salvadorean Treaty.

\*\*\* This treaty with the United Kingdom applies to India and a list of British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 604. Products of self-governing dominions, colonies, protectorates, and-mandated territories are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity.

\*\*Pending negotiation of a special customs convention between the parties, this treaty provisionally continues the most favorable customs treatment on both sides for all articles then enjoying such treatment. In addition, Siam pledges most-favored-nation treatment for certain French wines and spirits.

\*\*Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on the part of Spain to rice and tin ore; other Siamese products are entitled to the benefit of Spain's second column tariff rates, leaving Spain free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

\*\*Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on the part of Portugal to rice and tin; other Siamese products are entitled to the benefit of Portugal's minimum tariff rates, leaving Portugal free to concede special tariff preferences to other countries.

List of commercial treaties of all nations in force January 1, 1988, pledging mostfavored-nation treatment with respect to customs duties -- Continued

British Empire: United Kingdom	America—Continued  Mexico
Czechoslovakia 1925, 1928 Norway 1928	Colombia
America: United States_76 1906, 1923, 1927 Cuba78 1927	Egypt

\*The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

19 This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to Canada (but not to the other self-governing dominions, nor to India) and a number of other British colonies and territories noted in Hdb., 1931, p. 643. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, protectorates, and mandated territories are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity.

10 Most-favored-nation treatment is limited on both sides to articles listed, and Spain grants second column tariff treatment to all products of the other party not listed.

10 Most-favored-nation treatment pledged by Spain is limited to articles listed; all other articles are admitted under Spain's second column tariff treatment.

11 By the terms of the 1927 agreement the most-favored-nation treatment pledged by Spain was limited to her conventional tariff rates in effect May 20, 1927, all of which (with some modifications) were merged with second column rates, effective Jan. 1, 1929, such action being contemplated under the agreement. Spain has since granted special tariff preferences to other countries, without extending their application to the United States.

10 Mutual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty is limited to products of Brazil so long as the Brazilian tariff is not changed unfavorably to Spain compared with other countries.

10 Spain also concedes exemption from depreciated currency surtax for specified products of Brazil so long as the Brazilian tariff is not changed unfavorably to Spain compared with other countries.

11 Products of this country are admitted under Spain's second column tariff.

12 Mitual most-favored-nation treatment as pledged in this treaty is described as the most favorable treatment that may be accorded by Spain to any American country, and by Colombia to any European country.

12 India the 1922 agreement Spain extends its lowest rates to Nicaragua and

treatment that may be accorded by Spain to any American country, and by Colombia wally and positive country.

If Under the 1923 agreement Spain extends its lowest rates to Nicaragua and receives the benefit of special duty reductions originally granted by Nicaragua to France.

If Under the 1924 agreement Spain extends most-favored-nation treatment to products of El Salvador specified in the latter's treaty with France and receives the benefit of preferential reductions for articles likewise specified in the France-Salvadorean Treaty.

If But Apain remains free to concede to other countries special reductions from second column rates. Such reductions have been accorded to France by the supplementary agreement of Oct. 22, 1931.

	•	
British Empire: United King-	Europe—Continued	
dom, Canada, Newfoundland.	Hungary 1928	
dom, Canada, Newfoundland, New Zealand, South Africa,	Yugoslavia 1907	
Irish Free State, India # 1826	Rumania 1931	
Europe:	Bulgaria 1928	
France	Turkey 1929	
Italy 1862	Greece 1926	
Spain 1928	America:	
Portugal 1904	Argentina * 1885	
Belgium and Luxemburg 1895	Brazil 1931	
Netherlands 1847, 1908	Colombia 1928	
Switzerland 1924	Asia:	
Austria	China 1928	
Czechoslovakia 1925	Japan 1911	
Russia 1924	Siam 1925	
Poland 1924	Persia 1929	
Finland 1927	Africa:	
Estonia 1923	Egypt 1930	
Latvia 1924	Liberia \$ 1863	
Lithuania 1924	interia 1000	
Advirusinis 1924		
switzerland <sup>86</sup>		
British Empire: United King-	Europe—Continued	
dom, Canada, Newfoundland,	Lithuania 1922	
New Zealand, 87 South Africa,	Hungary 1906	
Irish Free State, India \$\mathbb{s}\$ 1855	Yugoslavia 1907	
Europe:	Rumania 1930	
France 1929	Bulgaria 1924	
Italy 1923	Turkey 1930	
Spain 1922, 1928	Greece 1926	
Portugal 1905	Albania 1929	
Germany 1932	America:	
Germany 1932 Belgium and Luxemburg 1929	Brazil 1931	
Netherlands 1930		
Austria 1926		
Austria		
Norway 1906		
Sweden 1924	Asia and Africa:	
Denmark 1875		
Poland 1922	Siam 1931	
Finland 1927	Persia 1928	
Estonia 1925	Egypt 1930	
Latvia 1924	·	

8 The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.
4 New Zealand is withdrawing from this treaty, as of Apr. 11, 1933.
5 This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the other British countries here listed, and also to Southern Rhodesia, Maita, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government.
5 The treaties of Switserland here listed apply also to Liechtenstein, which is part of the Swiss customs tarritory, by virtue of the customs union agreement of 1923.
6 New Zealand is withdrawing from the tariff provisions of this treaty (arts. 9 and 10) as of Apr. 15, 1933.
6 This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to the other British countries here listed, and also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government.

TURKEY		
British Empire:	Europe—Continued  Sweden 1929  Denmark 1930  Finland 1929  Estonia 1929  Latvia 1928	
Germany       1930         Italy       1929         Spain       1932         Belgium and Luxemburg       1927         Netherlands       1929         Switzerland       1930         Austria       1930         Czechoslovakia       1931         Russia       1931         Norway       1929	Hungary 1930 Rumania 1929 Bulgaria 1930 Greece 1930 America: United States 1929 Asia: Japan 1929 Persia 1926 Africa: Egypt 1930	
UNITED KINGDOM (SEE UNDER BRITISH EMPIRE)  UNITED STATES (SEE PAGES 15 AND 16 FOR LIST OF TREATIES IN FORCE APRIL 1, 1933)		
· ·		
Europe:     France 1892     Spain 5 1870	America: Brazil	
British Empire: United Kingdom, Canada, Newfoundland, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, Irish Free State, India	Europe—Continued  Italy	
British Empire: United Kingdom, Canada 1927 Europe: France 1929 Germany 81927 Italy 1924 Spain 1929 Portugal 1910 Belgium and Luxemburg 1926 Netherlands 1930 Switzerland 1907 Austria 1925, 1932 Czechoslovakia 1928 Poland 1922 Norway 1909, 1923 Sweden 1907	Europe—Continued  Denmark 1909 Finland 1929 Estonia 1928 Latvia 1928 Hungary 1926 Rumania 1930 Greece 1927 Albania 1926 America: United States 1881 Brazil 1932 Asia and Africa: Japan 1923 Egypt 1930	

The most-favored-nation treatment assured by this treaty in regard to customs duties is of the "conditional" type, as explained on p. 18.

This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to a list of British colonies and territories listed in Hdb. 1931, p. 696.

Separate agreement between Turkey and this country.
These treaties with the United Kingdom are applicable to all the other British countries here listed, and also to Southern Rhodesia, Malta, and all British colonies not possessing responsible government.
This treaty with the United Kingdom is applicable to Canada and a list of British colonies and territories noted in Hdb. 1931, p. 747. Products of self-governing dominions, India, colonies, protectorates, and mandated territories are accorded most-favored-nation treatment subject to reciprocity.

This treaty denounced by Germany to terminate Mar. 6, 1933.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

COOPERATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE IN PREPARING THIS REPORT

The Department of State assisted the Tariff Commission in preparing the lists of commercial treaties and agreements of the United States in force and furnished other valuable detailed data which are included in the discussion of tariff bargaining.

O