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## PUBLIC DEBT; SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFIT INCREASE

> March 16, 1971.-Ordered to be printed

# Mr. Long, from the committee of conference, submitted the following <br> <br> CONFERENCE REPORT 

 <br> <br> CONFERENCE REPORT}
[To accompany H.R. 4690]
The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 4690) to increase the public debt limit set forth in section 21 of the Second Liberty Bond Act, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:
In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate amendment insert the following:

TITLE II-AMENDMENTS TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT increase in old-age, survitors, and disability insurance benefits

SEc. 201. (a) Section 215(a) of the Social Security Act is amended by striking out the table and inserting in lieu thereof the following:
"TABLE FOR DETERMINING PRIMARY INSURANCE AMOUNT AND MAXIMUM FAMILY BENEFITS

S. Rept. 92-39
"TABLE FOR DETERMINING PRIMARY INSURANCE AMOUNT AND MAXIMUM FAMILY BENEFITS-Continued

"TABLE FOR DETERMINING PRIMARY INSURANCE AMOUNT AND MIA XIMUM FAMILY BENEFITS-Continued

(b) Section 208(a) of such Act is amended by striking out paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:
"(2) when two or more persons were entitled (without the application of section 202(j)(1) and section 223(b)) to monthly benefits under section 202 or 223 for January 1971 on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of such insured individual and at least one such person was so entitled for December 1970 on the basis of such wages and self-employment income, such total of benefits for January 1971 or any subsequent month shall not be reduced to less than the larger of-
"(A) the amount determined under this subsection without regard to this paragraph, or
"(B) an amount equal to the sum of the amounts derived by multiplying the beneft amount determined under this title (including this subsection, but without the application of section 222(b), section 202(q), and subsections (b), (c), and (d) of this section), as in effect prior to the amendment of this subsection in March 1971, for each such person for such month, by 110 percent and raising each such increased amount, if it is not a multiple of $\$ 0.10$, to the next higher multiple of $\$ 0.10$;
but in any such case (i) paragraph (1) of this subsection shall not be applied to such total of benefits after the application of subparagraph $(B)$, and (ii) if section 202(k)(2) (A) was applicable in the case of any such benefits for January 1971, and ceases to apply after such month, the provisions of subparagraph (B) shall be applied, for and after the month in which section $202(k)(2)(A)$ eacses to apply, as though paragraph (1) had not been applicable to such total of benefts for January 1971, or".
(c) Section 215(b) (4) of such Act is amended by striking out "December 1969", each time it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "December $1970^{\prime \prime}$
(d) Section 215(c) of such Act is amended to read as follows:

## "Primary Insurance Amount Under 1969 Act

"(c)(1) For the purposes of column II of the table appoaring in subsection (a) of this section, an individual's primary insurance amount shall be computed on the basis of the law in effect prior to the amendment of this subsection in March 1971.
"(2) The provisions of this subsection shall be applicable only in the case of an individual who became entitled to benefts under section 202(a) or section 223 before the date on which this subsection was amended in March 1071, or who died before such date."
(e) The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to monthly bencfits under title II of the Social Securty Act for months after December $19 \% 0$ and with respeci to lump-sum death payments under such title in the case of deaths occurring in and after the month in which th is Act is enacted.
(f) If an individual was entitled to a disability insurance beneft under section 223 of the Social Security Act for December 1970 on the basis of an application flled in or after the month in which this Act is enacted, and became entitled to old-age insurance benefits under section 202(a) of such Act for January 1971, then, for purposes of section 215 (a) (4) of the Social Security Act (if applicable), the amount in column IV of the table appearing in such section 215(c) for such individual shall be the amount in such column on the line on which in column II appears his primary insurance amount (as determined under section $215(c)$ of such Act) instead of the amount in column IV equal to the primary insurance a mount on which his disability insurance beneft is based.
(g) Noturthstanding the provisions of sections 2(a)(10), 402(a)(7), $1002(a)(8), 1402(a)(8)$, and $1602(a)(13)$ and (14) of the Social Security Act, each State, in determining need for aid or assistance under a State plan approved under title I, X, XIV, or XVI, or part A of title

IV, of such Act, may disregard (and the plan may be deemed to require the State to disregard), in addition to any other amounts which the State is required or permitted to disregard in determining such need, any amount paid to an individual under title $I I$ of such Act (or under the Railroad Retirement Act of 1997 by reason of the first proviso in section 3(e) thereof), in any month after the month in which this Act is enacted, to the extent that (1) such payment is attributable to the increase in monthly benefits under the old-age, survivors, and disability insurance system for January, February, March, or April 1971 resulting from the enactment of this title, and (2) the amount of such increase is paid separately from the rest of the monthly benefit of such individual for January, February, March, or April 1971.

IACREASE IN BENEfITS for certain individuals age 72 and over
Sec. 202. (a) (1) Section 227(a) of the Social Security Act is amended by striking out " $\$ 46$ " and inserting in lieu thereof " $\$ 48.30$ ", and by striking out "\$23" and inserting in lieu thereof "\$24.20"
(2) Section 227(b) of such Act is amended by striking out " $\$ 46$ " and inserting in lieu thereof " $\$ 48.30$ ".
(b) (1) Section 228(b) (1) of such Act is amended by striking out " $\$ 46$ " and inserting in lieu thereof " $\$ 48.30$ ".
(2) Section 228(b) (2) of such Act is amended by striking out " $\$ 46$ " and inserting in lieu thereof " $\$ 48.30$ ", and by striking out " $\$ 23$ " and inserting in lieu thereof " $\$ 24.20$ ".
(3) Section 298(c)(2) of such Act is amended by striking out "\$23" and inserting in lieu thereof " $\$ 24.20$ ".
(4) Section 228(c) (3) (A) of such Act is amended by strking out " $\$ 46$ " and inserting in lieu thereof " $\$ 48.30$ ".
(5). Section 228(c)(3)(B) of such Act is amended by striking out "\$23" and inserting in lieu thereof "\$24.20"
(c) The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall apply with respect to monthly benefits under title $I I$ of the Social Security Act for months after December 1970.

## INCREASE OF EARNINGS COUNTDD FOR BENEFIT AND TAI PURPOSES

Sec. 203. (a)(1)(A) Section 209(a)(5) of the Social Security Act is amended by inserting "and prior to $197{ }^{2}$ " after " 1967 ".
(B) Section 209(a) of such Act is further amended by adding at the end therevf the following new paragraph:
"(6) That part of remuneration which, after remuneration (other than remuneration referred to in the succeeding subsections of this section) equal to $\$ 9,000$ with respect to employment has been paid to an individual during any calendar year after 1071, is paid to such indiwidual during any such calendar year;".
(2)(A) Section $211(b)(1)(E)$ of such Act is amended by inserting "and beginning prior to 1972" after "1067", and by striking out "; or" and inserting in liea thereof "; and"
(B) Section 211(b) (1) of such Act is further amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subparagraph:
"(F) For any taxable year beginning after 1971, (i) $\$ 9,000$, minus (ii) the amount of the wages paid to such individual during the taxable year; or"
(3)(A) Section 213(a)(2)(ii) of such Act is amended by striking out "after 1967" and inserting in lieu thereof "after 1.967 and before 1972, or $\$ 9,000$ in the case of a calendar year after $1971 "$
(B) Section $213(a)(\mathbb{Z})($ (iii) of such act is amendel by striking out "after 1967" and inserting in lieu thereof "after 1907 and beginning before 1972, or $\$ 9,000$ in the case of a tarable year beginning after 1971"
(4) Section 215(e)(1) of such Act is amended by striking out "and the excess over $\$ 7,800$ in the case of any calendar year after $196 \gamma^{\prime \prime}$ and inserting in lien thereof 'the excess over $\$ 7,800$ in the case of any calendar year after 1967 and before 1972 , and the excess over $\$ 9,000$ in the case of any calendar year after 1971".
(b)(1)(4) Section 1402(b)(1)(E) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (relating to definition of self-employment income) is amended by inserting "and beginning before 1972" after "1967", and by striking out "; or" and inserting in lieu thereof "; and".
(B) Section $1402(b)(1)$ of such Code is further amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subparagraph:
"( $F$ ) for any taxable year beginning after 1971, (i) $\$ 9,000$, minus (ii) the amotnt of the wages paid to such individual during the taxable year; or"
(2) Section 3121(a)(1) of such Code (relating to defintion of wages) is amended by striking out " $\$ 7,800^{\circ}$ ' each place it appears and inserting in lie" thereof " $\$ 9,000$ "
(8) The second sentence of section 3122 of such Code (relating toFederal service) is amended by striking out " $\$ 7,800$ " and inserting in lieu thereof " $\$ 9,100$ ".
(4) Section 3125 of such Code (relating to returns in the case of governmental employees in Guam, 1merican Samoa, and the District of Columbia) is amended by strifing out " $\$ 7,800$ " where it appears in subsections (a), (b), and (c) and inserting in liet thereof " $\$ 9,000$ ".
(5) Section 6413(c)(1) of such Code (relating to special refunds of employment taxes) is amended-
(A) by inserting "and prior to the calendar year 1972" after "after the calendar year 1967";
(B) by inserting after "exceed $\$ 7,800$," the following: "or ( $E$ ) during any calendar year after the calendar year 1971, the wages received by him during such year exceed $\$ 0,000$,"; and
(C) by inserting before the period at the end thereof the following: "and before 1072, or which exceeds the tax with respect to the first $\$ 9,000$ of such wages received in such calendar 1 Hetr after $1971^{\prime \prime}$.
(6) Section $6413(c)(2) i 1)$ of such Cole (relating to refunds of employment taxes in the case of Federal employers) is amended by striking out "or $, \$ 7, \$ 00$ for any calendar year efter 1907" and inserting in lieu thereof " $\$ 7,800$ for the culendar year" $1068,1909,1970$, or 1071, or $\$ 9,000$ for any calendar year after 1971".
 indinidual to pay estimated income tax) is amended by striking out " $\$ 6,600$ " and inserting in lie", thereof " $\$ 9,000$ ".
(c) The amendments made by subsections (a)(1) and $(a)(3)(A)$, and the amendments made by subsection (b) (except paragraphs (1) and (7) thereof), shall apply only with respect to remuneration paid after December 1971. The amendments made by subsections (a)(2), (a)(B)(B),(b)(1),
and (b)(7) shall apply only with respect to taxable years beginning after 1971. The amendment made by subsection (a)(4) shall apply only with respect to calendar years after 1971.

## CHANGES IN TAX SCHEDULES

SEc. 204. (a)(1) Section 3101(a) of such Code (relating to rate of tax on employees for purposes of old-age, survivors, and disability insurance) is amended by striking out "and" at the end of paragraph (3), and by striking out paragraph (4) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:
"(4) with respect to wages received during the calendar years 1973, 1974, and 1975, the rate shall be 5.0 percent; and
"(5) with respect to wages received after December 31, 1975, the rate shall be 5.15 percent."
(2) Section 3111(a) of such Code (relating to rate of tax on employers for purposes of old-age, survivors, and disability insurance) is amended by striking out "and" at the end of paragraph (3), and by striking out paragraph (4) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:
"(4) with respect to wages paid during the calendar years 1978, 1974, and 1975, the rate shall be 5.0 percent; and
"(5) with respect to wages paid after December \$1, 1975, the rate shall be 5.15 percent."
(b) The amendments made by subsection (a)(1) shall apply only with respect to taxable years beginning after December 31, 1971. The remaining amendments made by this section shall apply only with respect to remuneration paid after December 31, 1971.

And the Senate agrec to the same.
Mr. Mills,
Mr. Watts,
Mr. Ullman,
Mr. Byrnes of Wisconsin,
Mr. Befts,
Managers on the Part of the House.
Mr. Long,
Mr. Anderson,
Mr. Talmadge,
Mr. Bennett,
Mr. Curtis,
Manayers on the Part of the Senaie.

## JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing rotes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 4690) to increase the public debt limit set forth in section 21 of the Second Liberty Bond Act, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report:

## INCREASE IN SOCTAL SECURITY BENEFITS

The Senate amendment added to the House bill a new title II increasing social security benefits and making related changes in the OASDI program.

## 1. OASDI beneft increase

The Senate amendment increases regular OASDI benefits by 10 percent with a minimum primary insurance amount of $\$ 100$ a month beginning January 1971.
The Senate amendment provides that families coming on the rolls after the effective date of the benefit increase, as well as families already on the rolls on such effective date, will be guaranteed the full amount of the 10 -percent increase. When social security benefits have been increased in the past, the family maximum amounts have not been increased since they were based on a percentage of the worker's average monthly wage, which does not change with a benefit increase. The Senate amendment would change the basic nature of the family maximum by making it a percentage of the primary insurance amount rather than a percentage of the worker's average monthly wage. The Senate amendment would eliminate a problem which has arisen whenever social security benefits have been increased in the past. Those families whose benefits are limited by the family maximum and who came on the rolls after the effective date of a benefit increase have not shared in the percentage increase enacted. Those families on the rolls prior to the effective date of a benefit increase have been granted the benefit increase under a saving clause which has accompanied every recent benefit increase.

The conference agreement accepts a 10 -percent benefit increase (including the increase in family maximum benefits) as in the Senate amendment, but with the 10 -percent increase applied to the minimum benefit with a resulting minimum benefit of $\$ 70.40$ rather than $\$ 100$.

The managers believe that it is not necessary to increase the minimum benefit amount beyond the 10 percent provided in the conference agreement at this time since the Committee on Ways and Means is presently considering social security legislation, and it is the understanding of the managers that the minimum benefit is among a number of proposals included in that consideration.

Under the conference agreement each State is permitud (in determining the need of its public assistance recipients) to disregard any retroactive payment of the OASDI benefit increase provided by the bill for the months of January throngh April of 1971 which is expected to be paid out (by separate check) in June.
table l.-Illustrative monthly benefits payable under present law and under the conference agreement

| Average monthly earnings | Benefit amount |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Worker |  | Couple |  |
|  | Present Jaw | Conference agreement | Present law | Conference agreement |
| \$76 | \$64.00 | \$70.40 | \$96. 00 | \$105.60 |
| \$114 | 91.90 | 101.10 | 137.90 | 151.70 |
| \$150. | 101.70 | 111.90 | 152.60 | 167.90 |
| $\$ 250$ | 13230 | 145.60 | 198. 50 | 218.40 |
| \$350 | 161.50 | 177.70 | 242.30 | 266.60 |
| \$450. | 189.80 | 208.80 | 284.70 | 313.20 |
| \$550. | 218.40 | 240.30 | 327.60 | 360.50 |
| \$650. | 250.70 | 275.80 | 376.10 | 413.70 |
| \$750 | (1) | 29540 | (1) | 443.10 |

1 Not applicable, since the highest possible average earnungs is $\$ 650$.

## 2. Increase in benefts for certain individuals age 72 and over

The Senate amendment provides a 5 -percent increase in the special benefits payable to certain individuals age 72 and over who are not insured for regular benefits. This increase would be effective for January 1971 and would raise payments from $\$ 46$ to $\$ 48.30$ for individuals and from $\$ 69$ to $\$ 72.50$ for couples.

The conference agreement accepts the provision of the Senate amendment.

## 3. Liberalization of earnings test

Under present law, a beneficiary may earn up to $\$ 1,680$ annuaily (or up to $\$ 140$ in a month) with no reduction in social security benefits. Each $\$ 2$ carned between $\$ 1,680$ and $\$ 2,880$ results in a $\$ 1$ reduction in bencfits; each $\$ 1$ earned above $\$ 2, \$ 80$ reduces benefits by $\$ 1$. The Senate amendment would make two changes, effective January 1971:
(a) Beneficiaries could earn up to $\$ 2,400$ annually (up to $\$ 200$ in 1 month) with no reduction in benefits.
(b) For all earnings above $\$ 2,400$, benefits would be reduced $\$ 1$ for each $\$ 2$ caruct.

This provision is omitted from the conference substitute.
It is the understanding of the menagers that the House will be considering this matter in connection with social security legislation now pending before the Commituec on Ways and Means and they espect that the legislation reported out by the Committer on Ways and Means will provide for an increase in the earnings test.

## 4. Changes in social security taxes

The cost of the Senate amendment would be met by increasing the tax base from $\$ 7,800$ to $\$ 9,000$ a year, beginning January 1972, and by increasing the tax rates on employers and employees. The tax base would similarly be increased for the self employed, although the tax rates for them scheduled in present law would not be raised.

Under present law, the OASDI tax rate is scheduled to remain at 4.6 percent through 1972 and to increase to 5 percent in 1973 and thereafter. Under the Senate-passed amendment, the rates would increase to 5 percent in 1973 (as under present law), to 5.3 percent in 1976, and to 5.6 percent in 1981.

The allocation of taxable wages in the disability insurance trust fund would be increased under the Senate amendment from 1.1 percent today to 1.25 percent begiming in 1981 .

The conference agreement includes the increase in the tax base from $\$ 7,800$ to $\$ 9,000$ a year effective January 1972 provided under the Senate amendment.

Omission of the provisions of the Senate amendment providing a $\$ 100$ minimum primary insurance amount and increasing the carnings limit reduces the cost of the amendment. Therefore, the conference agreement provides for an increase in taxes in 1976 and after from 5 percent to 5.15 percent for employers and employees. This change results in keeping the program on an actuarially sound basis.

In addition, the conference agreement omits the change in the allocation to the disability insurance trust fund.
table II,-SOCIAL sECURITY TAX RATES AND MAXImum anNual social security taxes for employees, EMPLOYERS, AND SELF-EMPLOYED

|  | Employees and employers, each |  |  |  | Self-employed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { OASDI } \\ \text { (percent) } \end{gathered}$ | HI <br> (percent) | Total (percent) | Maximum tax | $\begin{gathered} \text { OASDI } \\ \text { (percent) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { HI } \\ \text { (percent) } \end{array}$ | Total (percent) | Maximem $\operatorname{tax}$ |
| Present law: 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971-72.- | 4.6 | 0.6 | 5.2 | \$405.60 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 7.5 | \$585. 00 |
| 1973-75 | 50 | . 65 | 5.65 | 440.70 | 7.0 | . 65 | 7.65 | 596.70 |
| 1976-79 | 5.0 | . 7 | 5.7 | 44460 | 7.0 | . 7 | 7.7 | 600.60 |
| 1980-86 | 5.0 | . 8 | 5.8 | 452.40 | 70 | . 8 | 7.8 | 60840 |
| 1987 and atter | 5.0 | .9 | 5.9 | 460.20 | 7.0 | . 9 | 7.9 | 616.20 |
| Conference agreement:--.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1971$ | 4.6 | . 6 | 5.2 | 405. 60 | 69 | . 6 | 7.5 | 585.00 |
| $19722$ | 4.6 | . 6 | 52 | 46800 | 6.9 | 6 | 75 | 675.00 |
| 1973-752 | 5.0 | . 65 | 5. 65 | 508.50 | 7.0 | . 65 | 7.65 | 688.50 |
| 1976-79 2 | 5. 15 | . 7 | 5. 85 | 526.50 | 70 | . 7 | 7.7 | 693.00 |
| 1980-86 ${ }^{2}$. | 515 5.15 | . 8 | 595 | 535. 50 | 7.0 | . 8 | 78 | 702.00 |
| 1987 and after ${ }^{2}$ | 5.15 | . 9 | 6.05 | 54450 | 7.0 | . 9 | 79 | 711.00 |

1 Tax rates apply to annual earnings up to $\$ 7.800$.
${ }^{2}$ Tax rates apply to annuar earnings up to $\$ 9,000$.

## ACTUARIAL 'OST ESTHMATES

The old age, survivors, and diwability insurance system, at modified by the conference agrement, has an estimated long-range cost that is in close bulance with income. The old age amd surcions insurance portion of the pregram bas an actuatial inababare of -0.06 pereent of taxable payroll while the D1 portion has an imbalance of -0.04 percent of laxable payroll. As a whele, the OASDI system has an actuarial imbalance of -0.10 percent of taxable payroll. which is within the acceptable limit of rathation for long-ratge financing. Accordingly, the OASDI system as modified by the cunference agreement is actuarially sound.

The combined employer-employee rate for the old age, survivors, and disability insurance system is compared with present law in the following table:

TABLE III.-OLD AGE, SURVIVORS, AND DISABILITY INSURANCE COMBINED EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE RATE

| Calendar year | Present law (percent) | Conference agreement (percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971-72. | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| 1973-75 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| 1976 and after. | 10.0 | 10.3 |

The allocation to the disability insurance program would under the conference agreement be exactly as under the present law-namely 1.1 percent of taxable payroll for all future years.

The self-employed rate for OASDI will also be the same under the conference agreement as under present law-namely 6.9 percent in 1971-72 and 7 percent thereafter.

The following table traces the changes in actuarial balance of the OASDI system from its situation under present law, according to the latest estimates, to that under the conference agreement:

TABLEIV,-CHANGES IN ACTUARIAL BALANCE OF OLD-AGE, SURVIVORS, AND DISABILITY SYSTEN AS PERCENTAGE OF TAXABLE PAYROLL, BY TYPE OF CHANGE, INTERMEDIATE-COST ESTIMATES. PRESENT LAW AND CONFERENCE agreement

IIn percent|

|  | OASI | DI | Iotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial balance of present system changes. | $+0.29$ | $+0.05$ | $+0.34$ |
| \$9,000 earmings base in 1972. | +25 | +. 02 | +. 27 |
| 10-percent-benefit increase | -. 78 | -. 10 | -. 88 |
| Liberalized maximum tamily benelits | $-.35$ | -. 01 | $-.06$ |
| Revised contribution schedule. | +. 23 | . 00 | + 23 |
| Total effect of amendments. | -. 35 | -. 09 | $-.44$ |
| Actuarial balanco under the conference agree | -. 06 | $-.04$ | -. 10 |

Additional OASDI benefit payments resulting from the conference agreement, for selected years in the short-run future, are shown in the following table, by provision.

TABLE V.-ESTIMATEO ADDITIONAL OASDI BENEFIT PAYMENTS IN CALENDAR YEARS 1971, 1972 AND 1975
[In millions of dallars]

|  | 1971 | 1972 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General 10-percent benefit increase | 3, 120 | 3,572 | 3, 994 |
| 5-percent increase in special payments to nominsured and transitional insured persons aged 72 and over | 16 | 14 | 8 |
| Liberalized family maximum benefits. | 20 | 63 | 152 |
| Total. | 3,156 | 3.649 | 4, 154 |

The following table shows short-range estimates of the progress of the OASI and DI trust funds, combined, under present law, and under the system as modified by the conference agreement:

TABLE VI.-PROGRESS OF THE OASI AND DI TRUST FUNDS, COMBINED, UNDER PRESENT LAW AND UNDER THE SYSIEM AS MODIFIED BY THE AMENDMENTS, CALENDAR YEARS 1971-75

IIn billions of dollars]

| Calendar year | Income |  | Outgo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present law | Conference agreement | Present law | Conference agreement |
| 1971. | 41.9 | 41.8 | 353 | 38.4 |
| 1972. | 45.7 | 47.7 | 36.9 | 40.6 |
| 1973 | 521 | 55.3 | 38.4 | 42.3 |
| 1974 | 55.3 | 58.9 | 39.9 | 44. 0 |
| 1975 | 58.4 | 62.3 | 41.5 | 45.7 |
|  | Net increase in funds |  | Assets, end of year |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Present } \\ & \text { law } \end{aligned}$ | Conference agreement | Present law | Conference agreement |
| 1971 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 44.7 | 41.4 |
| 1972 | 8.9 | 7.2 | 53.5 | 48. 6 |
| 1973 | 13.7 | 130 | 67.3 | 61.6 |
| 1974. | 154 | 14.9 | 82.6 | 76.5 |
| 1975 | 169 | 16.6 | 99.5 | 93.1 |

Mr. Mills, Mr. Watts, Mr. Ullman, Mr. Byrnes of Wisconsin, Mr. Betts,<br>Managers on the Part of the House.<br>Mr. Long,<br>Mr. Anderson,<br>Mr. Talmadge,<br>Mr. Bennett,<br>Mr. Curtis,<br>Managers on the Part of the Senate.



