OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

UNITED STATES SENATE

EXECUTIVE SESSION

WASHINGTON, D. C.

September 15, 1981

ALDERSON / REPORTING

400 Virginia Ave., S.W. Washington, D. C. 20024

Talanhama . /2021 . 554_334

1	EXECUTIVE SESSION
2	 -
3	TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1981
4	
5	United States Senate,
6	Committee on Finance
7	Washington, D. C.
8	The committee met, pursuant to call, at 11:05 a.m., in
9	room 2221, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Robert J.
10	Dole (chairman of the committee) presiding.
11	Present: Senators Dole, Packwood, Danforth, Chafee,
12	Heinz, Wallop, Armstrong, Symms, Long, Bentsen, Moynihan,
13	Baucus, Bradley, and Mitchell.
14	The Chairman: The committee will come to order.
15	Senator Wallop: Mr. Chairman, if I may, Senator
16	Grassley was here, and a left a statement which he asked be
17	inserted in the record, which I gave consent to since I was
18	the only one around. He also asked to be positioned on the
19	votes that are coming, should they come.
20	[Statement of Senator Grassley follows:]
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- The Chairman: As I understand, the first order of

 2 husiness is the report of the nomination of Alfred M. Eckes,

 3 Jr., to be a Commissioner of the United States International

 4 Trade Commission. Those hearings were held this morning.

 5 It is a matter that has been pending for several months.

 6 Senator Wallop conducted those hearings. As far as I have

 7 been notified by staff, he has met all the requirements as

 8 far as the Office of Ethics is concerned.
- Do you recommend that we report that nomination?

 Senator Wallop: Nothing was raised in the hearings

 that would tend to indicate any problem at

 all.
- 13 The Chairman: You don't have any objection to 14 reporting that nomination?
- 15 [No response.]
- 16 The Chairman: Without objection, we will report the 17 nomination.
- Senator Moynihan: Mr. Chairman, could I simply say as 19 a positive note that Mr. Eckes is a distinguished man, and 20 will do credit to the commission, as I am sure the chairman 21 feels.
- 22 Senator Long: I suggest that we do it by motion. I 23 move that the nomination be reported.
- 24 The Chairman: All in favor say aye.
- 25 [Chorus of ayes.]

- 1 The Chairman: Opposed, no.
- 2 [No response.]
- 3 The Chairman: The nomination will be reported.
- Another purpose of this meeting was to take action on the temporary ceiling on the public debt. The Reagan Administration wants to increase the debt to \$1,079.8 billion, which should be sufficient to cover the government's financing needs through September 30, 1982.

 The Treasury Department has estimated that the present limit of \$985 billion will be exceeded on September 30, and accordingly the Administration is requesting that the present limit, which is valid through September 30, be a raised to \$998 billion, an increase of \$14.8 billion; is
- Mr. Lighthizer: Yes, Mr. Chairman, that is for Fiscal 16 Year 1981, and that basically is the same as H.J. Res. 266
- The Chairman: The hearing we had was on H.J. Res. 265, 19 and what does that provide for?
- 20 Mr. Lighthizer: That is \$1,079.8 billion, which is the 21 overall figure that the Treasury requested through FY-1982, 22 and that is the only bill that is in committee right now.
- The Chairman: As I understand, when we had the 4 hearings I was present, and I know Senator Harry Byrd was 25 present, Senator Packwood presided, and other members may

- 1 have been present for part of the hearings. Are there any 2 questions?
- Let me say at the outset that there may be some who may want to offer amendments on the floor, maybe some on both sides. I am not certain what the amendments are. However, I do know that we need to move quickly if we are going to do what we have done in the past to meet our obligations come 8 October 1.
- Senator Long: Mr. Chairman, I want to make a couple of 10 suggestions. One of them is that we ask the Treasury to 11 provide the same information that I have requested down 12 through the years, and bring it up to date. They have 13 provided us with a group of charts, long charts that 14 indicate what the net debt is as compared to the gross 15 debt. They provide a lot of information that people might 16 find useful one way or another. They help to show what the 17 whole picture is.
- If there is no objection, I would like to ask that the 19 Treasury be requested to update that information, so that it 20 can be put into the record in connection with this issue.
- The Chairman: Yes, that is helpful material, and we will ask that that be done.
- Senator Long: I would like to make one other 24 suggestion. It seems to me that it serves no purpose to 25 have this so-called permanent debt at \$400 billion, and a

- 1 temporary debt of \$585 billion. In fact, I think that this 2 tends to defeat its own purpose.
- It may be that at some point that the Congress might

 4 just want to say, to try to achieve a balanced budget, that

 5 we may want to stand fast and just not vote for a debt limit

 6 above a certain figure, or to limit the amount of spending

 7 by way of the debt limit. This was, after all, the whole

 8 purpose of the debt limit.
- When we have a permanent debt limit of \$400 billion,

 10 and a temporary debt of \$585 billion, it destroys any

 11 potential. I am not seeking to do this, but if at some

 12 point the Congress wanted to say, "Look, you are going to

 13 have to live within what we are providing to you. We are

 14 not going to give you an increase. You are going to have to

 15 live on what you have got."
- If that were the case, it could not be done under this 17 law, because under this law they couldn't spend anything.

 18 They would revert back to the \$400 billion permanent, and 19 they could not spend five cents. They could not even spend 20 what they are taking in.
- 21 Senator Symms: Let's do it now.
- Senator Armstrong: If the Senator would yield, I agree 23 with the Senator from Idaho. I, once when I was a Hember of 24 the House, sought to do exactly what the Senator suggests. 25 I think you are right, and let's do

1 it.

- Senator Long: If there are going to be any amendments, I would suggest that we do that. It might give the Senator 4 a problem by putting an amendment at this point. But I swould hope that we could consult with the House, and say, 6 "The next time you have to send this thing over here, here 7 is how we want it."
- 8 The Chairman: I think the latter might be the better 9 course to follow. I am not certain whether there will be 10 amendments, but I would rather not make that change. I 11 think it should not be made without at least some 12 consultation with the House, and some consultation with the 13 Treasury.
- Senator Long: Would the chairman be willing to

 15 initiate something along that line, where we could meet to

 16 discuss that with the Treasury, and with those in the House,

 17 because it seems to me that this is how it ought to be. We

 18 ought to stop this foolishness.
- I was around at the time we started this temporary
 thing. Harry Byrd, Sr., was the chairman of the committee
 that time, and he really had the belief that if we had
 just a temporary increase, we were going to get them back
 down from this figure. That was a forlorne hope. It did
 that work out that way at all. I think that now it tends to

- The Chairman: If, in fact, there will be amendments offered, and if, in fact, any amendments would be successful, then we may even want to do it when it reaches the floor. That would give the chairman some time to discuss it with Treasury, and also with chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee.
- Senator Long: That would be fine, as far as I am 8 concerned because I was not even counting on that. But if 9 it is going to be amended, then I would suggest that we 10 offer such an amendment because I think that in the long run 11 it is no achieving any purpose at all, it is just defeating 12 its purpose.
- 13 The Chairman: The Senator from Colorado.
- Senator Armstrong: Mr. Chairman, I have one question, 15 and then I would like to discuss briefly an amendment which 16 I will offer on the floor.
- My question is, are we, in the proposed increase, 18 reaching the figure which the Administration says is 19 necessary to take us through the entirety of the next fiscal 20 year?
- 21 The Chairman: That is my understanding. It was their 22 testimony.
- Senator Armstrong: I was not able to be present on 24 Friday, I was travelling. I just wanted to establish that 25 this is the last time that we would be asked to raise this

1 prior to the end of Fiscal Year 1982.

- The Chairman: There will not be another opportunity

 3 until right before the election next year.
- 4 [General laughter.]
- Senator Armstrong: Mr. Chairman, I also would like to 6 take a moment just to advise the members of the committee 7 that I will offer an amendment on the bill on the floor, an 8 amendment which would not be in order under our rules in 9 committee, but which the Parliamentarian assures me is in 10 order on the floor. The amendment is simply to enhance the 11 power of the President to manage the nation's financial 12 affairs.
- Some years ago, as members of the committee will 14 recall, Congress acted unwisely, in my judgment, to restrict 15 in a very severe manner the power of the President not to 16 make expenditures which, because of changing conditions or 17 circumstances, need not be spent.
- All through history, back to the days of Thomas

 19 Jefferson, Presidents have exercised limited discretion to
 20 simply not spend appropriated amounts. Under the terms of
 21 the Impoundment Act, which we put in seven years ago, we now
 22 say that the President must send a rescind message to the
 23 Congress saying that if no action is taken by Congress, the
 24 money must be spent, whether it is needed, whether the
 25 circumstances have changed, whether or not the economic

1 conditions warrant the expenditure, no matter what.

- As a matter of fact, this has not worked out very well, and 85 percent of the rescissions which have been forwarded to Congress by Presidents Nixon, Ford, and Carter have been turned down by the Congress, most of them have been ignored, and many of them have never even been considered in 7 committee, let alone on the floor of either House.
- So it is clear to me that a change is necessary, and 9 the amendment which I will offer simply reverses the 10 presumption. It says that when the President sends a 11 rescission message, Congress retains the power to make a 12 decision, but if no action is taken then the rescission goes 13 into effect.
- Ordinarily I would seek to offer this amendment now so
 that it could be considered by the committee. But it is my
 belief and understanding from the Parliamentarian that it is
 that it could be considered by the committee and that it is
 that it could be considered by the committee and that it is
 that it could be considered by the committee and that it is
 that it could be considered by the committee and that it is
 that it could be considered by the committee and that it is
 that it could be considered by the committee and that it is
 that it could be considered by the committee and that it is
 that it could be considered by the committee and that it is
 that it could be considered by the committee and that it is
 that it is my
 t
- The Parliamentarian has assured my staff that such an 21 amendment would not be subject to a point of order on the 22 floor, and I just wanted to let the committee know of my 23 intention, and to ask the support of the members for this 24 thought. Certainly, this particular bill, in which we are 25 asked to reach a trillion dollar debt limit, is a very

- 1 symbolic and approriate place to put this kind of a 2 measure.
- One last thought. Important though I think this

 4 management reform is, I would not want the members of this

 5 committee to think for a minute that I believe that it is a

 6 substitute for congressional policy-making on the budget or

 7 for restraining spending. I think it will have an effect on

 8 the margin. It will save a few billion every year.
- I would hope that we would save five, ten billion

 10 dollars a year as a result of enhancing the President's

 11 rescission authority, but it is certainly not a

 12 substitute, and it is not intended to be a substitute for the

 13 budget process.
- 14 Senator Moynihan: Mr. Chairman.
- 15 The Chairman: Senator Moynihan.
- Senator Moynihan: Mr. Chairman, not in jest whatever,

 17 but having for four and a half years now struggled with the

 18 problem excess spending on water projects in the West, and

 19 knowing the degrees to which the President wished to get rid

 20 of them, and things like the Tennessee Tom Bigby, I think

 21 the Senator from Colorado's proposal would be ideal. We

 22 could get rid of the farm program like that.
- 23 The Chairman: They do that today without any help.
- Senator Moynihan: They do that today. Then we would 25 not have those dams. We could get rid of them. Tennessee

- 1 Tom Bigby would disappear overnight. It is an opportunity 2 to clean up the Federal agenda.
- I have one question I would like to ask you, I would 4 just like to have that debate.
- Senator Wallop: If the asides are just going aside,

 6 one would have to say that they have spent more on the

 7 subway system in Washington than they have in this century

 8 on water projects in the West.
- 9 Senator Moynihan: That would go, too.
- 10 Senator Wallop: I don't quarrel with that. I am just
 11 pointing out that there are a lot of things that can go.
- Senator Moynihan: I just wish that when we make these 13 rules, we understand that when the party in the majority in 14 this body gives the President these powers, they should 15 provide that they are only to be exercised while that 16 President remains a member of their party, because it always 17 has just the opposite impact, and we never anticipate that 18 there is going to be a change.
- 19 Senator Armstrong: Would the senator yield for a 20 moment, since you raise the point and it is an interesting 21 one.
- 22 Senator Moynihan: I will be happy to yield.
- Senator Armstrong: I would just like to recall, as
 24 some members of this committee have reason to remember, that
 25 my interest in giving the President enhanced rescission

- 1 authority did not arise when Ronald Reagan came into the 2 White House, but in fact I suggested it and urged it upon 3 the Senate during the prior Administration.
- I would be hopeful that the Senator from New York and 5 others would not view it in any sense as a partisan issue.

 Any President ought to have some limited discretion. It is 7 not a question of giving it to Ronald Reagan. It is a 8 question of giving to the President of the United States the 9 authority that every governor has, every mayor has, every 10 chief executive of any corporation has.
- It is not an unlimited grant of authority. It is not 12 the authority to wipe out the subway systems, or dams. It 13 is a quite carefully constrained authority, much less than 14 the discretion which Presidents always exercised from the 15 time of Thomas Jefferson to Richard Nixon.
- As I say, it does not matter to me whether the

 17 President is a Democrat, a Republican, a member of my party,

 18 or whatever. Any executive ought to have a reasonable

 19 amount of flexibility.
- Senator Moynihan: My friend from Colorado does not 21 seem to understand that we thought that the Budget and 22 Impoundment Act provided that Ed Muskie would always be 23 Chairman of the Budget Committee. That was just a given, 24 and we did not look at the details.
- 25 Senator Chafee: Is there any further discussion on

- 1 this proposal?
- Senator Moynihan: Yos, I would like to ask a question,
 3 Senator Chafee.
- The Assistant Secretary said to us that in requesting the figure of \$1.074.9 that that would leave a margin of error at the end of the fiscal year of \$4.9 billion of unused borrowing authority, and that this would come about 8 in the context of a \$42.5 billion deficit.
- 9 If I may just repeat for the chairman.
- The Chairman: I want to apologize, but we were having to a vote on the O'Connor nomination, and I did not want to to to by proxy. I wanted to vote in person.
- 13 Senator Hoynihan: Properly so.
- Mr. Chairman, the Assistant Secretary testified that
 15 they wanted the precise figure of \$1.074.9 because that
 16 would meet the borrowing requirements for the fiscal year,
 17 and leave a margin of unused borrowing authority of \$4.9
 18 billion at the end of the year. This predicates a deficit
 19 in Fiscal '82 of \$42.5 billion.
- Mr. Chairman, we are not going to have a \$42.5 billion 21 deficit. We are going to have a \$60 billion deficit. 22 Sixty-five billion is the CBO estimate. Are we acting 23 responsibly, or is the chairman's wish that we have this 24 issue up before us a year from now, which will be fine, I 25 suppose, by us.

- The Chairman: I am not certain what the deficit may be. We have had a lot of discussions. I have read a lot about what it may be next year. They had a meeting this
 - 4 morning at the White House on what might be done to make
 - 5 certain that it is \$42.5.
- I just suggest that the Treasury has indicated that
 they can reach the next fiscal year with this figure, and I
 hope they can. If not, I assume that we will be back
 earlier than we expected to come back under normal
 circumstances.
- Senator Moynihan: I can see how it would not be 12 possible for the Assistant Secretary of the Treasury to come 13 up and say anything other than the \$42.5.
- The Chairman: That occurred to me. That \$42.5 may or 15 may not be a magic number.
- 16 Senator Moynihan: It is a magic number.
- 17 The Chairman: It is a hope, but I am not certain that 18 it is magic.
- Senator Bradley: Mr. Chairman, could I ask the staff 20 to tell us what is the interest rate level that is assumed 21 in the debt ceiling increase.
- Mr. McConaghy: My understanding is, Senator Bradley, 23 that it is 11 to 12.5 percent average for the year.
- Senator Bradley: An 11 to 12.5 percent average for 25 Fiscal Year 1982. Mr. Chairman, that concerns me because I

think that is the exact number that probably will not be consistent with the assumptions. For roughly every one percent higher it is, you have another \$5 billion that it will cost and, therefore, \$5 billion more on the deficit.
I wondered if in addition to considering the budget

6 cuts, which we will when the President sends them up, it
7 wouldn't be prudent for us at this time to also place on
8 this debt limit bill a kind of contingency which would say
9 that we recognize that deficits are caused by spending, but
10 also by tax reduction, and that if the deficit exceeded the
11 amount projected by the Administration for Fiscal Year, or
12 the interest rate was much higher and, therefore, there was
13 a loss of revenue because of that, you would adjust the tax
14 cut that would go into effect in the summer of 1983
15 accordingly.

This is similar to an amendment that I offered during
the course of the tax debate. Again, I would suggest that
the is offered in the spirit of trying to say that there are
the two ways to come at this problem, and it is better to have
something in place that you can say you did out of prudence,
than it is to come rushing in at the last minute and say,
are going to drastically cut the budget.

Therefore, Mr. Chairman, I would intend to offer an 25 amendment which would provide for a contingency in the tax

 \bigcirc

1 cut going into effect in 1983, so that if the interest rate

2 figure was higher than what the Administration projected

3 and, therefore, revenues had to go out that much greater,

4 there would be a concomittant reduction in the tax cut that

5 would go into effect in the summer of 1983.

6 The Chairman: You intend to offer that on the floor?

7 Senator Bradley: I intend to offer it on the floor,

8 yes. I was thinking that I might offer it here today as

9 well.

The Chairman: You can. I think there has been a lot of discussion. I don't think we ought to have any more 12 recess, I think that is what happened. Everybody went home 13 and left the press here alone, and they started talking 14 about making this all retroactive.

When I get in my car in the morning, I have to start it 16 before it moves. We have not even started. The effective 17 date is October 1 for the tax cut and the spending 18 reduction, and already there are efforts underway to repeal 19 it. I don't quarrel with anyone's right to make those 20 suggestions.

I have enough confidence that it is going to work. If 22 it doesn't, then I will move over -- I don't know which way 23 I will move, but probably one way in the next couple of 24 years, but I don't want to move yet. If that is the case, I 25 might as well move now and say, it is a failure, let's don't

1 have another election.

- Certainly, you can offer that amendment now if you 3 wish. I have not even gotten my fountain pen yet from the 4 signing ceremony, and you already want to repeal the bill. 5 They may want my fountain pen back.
- 6 [General laughter.]
- Senator Bradley: Mr. Chairman, I am not talking about 8 repealing the bill. I am talking about, if the economy 9 really deteriorates in Fiscal '81, whether we shouldn't have 10 thought in advance what we might do about the budget deficit 11 in Fiscal '83. The tax side is one side that you have got 12 to consider as well as the budget, as the Administration 13 clearly stated when they suggested that we raise certain 14 taxes.
- The Chairman: If the Senator would like to offer that, 16 I don't have any objection. There have been some on each 17 side talking about gloom and doom, and a lot of problems. 18 Certainly there are some, but nothing has changed much since 19 we left for the recess, except that there are a lot of 20 indications that we ought to undo what we have done. I 21 don't agree with that, but if you would like to modify the 22 tax bill with that amendment, I will be happy to consider 23 it.
- Senator Bradley: Could you tell me when would we 25 consider the debt limit bill on the floor; it has to be

- 1 before October 1st, right?
- The Chairman: Yes. It would be some appropriate time

 when the Senator was here. I don't have a time, but I hope

 next week some time.
- Senator Bradley: Mr. Chairman, if you would assure me 6 that the debt limit bill would be considered when I was 7 here, I would defer offering this amendment today, but would 8 expect to offer it on the floor.
- 9 The Chairman: I can assure the Senator of that. We 10 certainly would not bring it up if we knew somebody had an 11 amendment.
- 12 Senator Symms: Mr. Chairman.
- 13 The Chairman: The Senator from Idaho.
- 14 Senator Symms: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- I wanted to pursue the course that Senator Long was 16 advocating and find out what the parliamentary procedures 17 are.
- I have long agreed with that position, Senator, that 19 you have taken that there should be a permanent debt. I 20 personally don't have any qualms about it having to be a 21 crisis when this comes to a vote on the floor because it 22 does help bring and focus attention on the fact that we 23 simply over the years have been spending money we didn't 24 have. By now the interest on the national debt is 25 compounding, and when they go in to refinance that debt

- 1 every time and pay higher interest rates, it is causing a 2 great deal of problems all across the country.
- What is the parliamentary procedure? How do we do 4 this? If it is possible, I would like to do it today.
- Senator Long: As I understand it, it could be done by 6 a simple amendment. The reason I am not pushing for it at 7 this moment is that that would require a conference with the 8 House, and I now assume that the Chairman was just hoping to 9 send this on down to the President to be signed, and hoping 10 to bypass the conference.
- 11 The House has not voted on this debt limit under their 12 procedures.
- The Chairman: They sent it over to us, and we have had the for months.
- Senator Long: They have stopped voting on the debt

 16 limit, you know. They passed this thing some years ago, and

 17 you were there at the time I believe, that when they send us

 18 their budget resolution, the clerk is instructed to put

 19 together a debt limit resolution and send on over with the

 20 budget resolution.
- I am not seeing any big problem about this, or force a 22 conference at this point, but I just think that at some 23 point we ought to move to make this the figure. As it used 24 to be, if we didn't pass the debt limit, they just had to 25 live on the income that they were getting.

Ι

- 1 Senator Symms: That is just my point. It would not
- 2 cause the kind of crisis that people think it would if the
- 3 Federal government were in a position where they could not
- 4 issue checks for some things. They would have to decide
- 5 what is most important, and they would operate just like
- 6 anybody else, like any State or local government would. But
- 7 because of this \$500 billion, it would paralyze them.
- 8 It seems to me like this would be the best way to bring
- 9 about the reform we need in Federal spending. If we put
- 10 this amendment on the bill, we may settle this thing and
- 11 have the Reagan program into effect sooner than we think.
- 12 don't think it will cause as much crisis as people talk
- 13 about. We will just find out that there are ways to save
- 14 money, and it could be handled. You might have to slow down
- 15 some of the contractual payments that the government makes.
- 16 The Chairman: I don't believe I disagree with the
- 17 Senator, but I would like to explore it with the House and
- 18 with Treasury.
- 19 Senator Symms: Could we just leave it that if we don't
- 20 offer that amendment today, we reserve the option to offer
- 21 it on the floor, and talk to them.
- 22 The Chairman: Sure.
- 23 Senator Symms: I think that it is probably what would
- 24 be the one signal that is needed to bring about confidence
- 25 in the investment capital markets, and virtually the people

- 1 of this country, to know that Congress really is going to 2 get the Federal budget under control:
- If we could put that debt limit, I would like to see it 4 at \$1 trillion, but \$1.079.8 billion would be better than no 5 place, and put it in concrete that that is as far as it is 6 going, then people could predict how much borrowing there 7 would be in the future.
- Senator Long: The way it stands now, there is no doubt 9 that the Congress will have to act. We don't have any 10 choice about passing this. If we don't pass anything, it 11 means that the government has to come to a close. They will 12 just have to shut the government down.
- If we at some point say that we are not going to pass 14 on that limit, and the majority votes against passage, and 15 will not let it go to the President's desk, when the people 16 in the Post Office don't get paid, when the government 17 contractors don't get paid, the Army and the Navy don't get 18 paid, the people don't get their Social Security checks, you 19 and I know that the public will rise up in arms and make us 20 pass it.
- But if you are voting a permanent debt limit instead of 22 voting an extension of a so-called temporary thing, then the 23 government, if it did not pass, would be in a position where 24 it could only spend the money as the money comes in. It 25 would not be the end of the world.

- 1 Senator Symms: That is correct.
- Senator Long: So you would have a better weapon to

 3 force the economy, and a better threat to put leverage on

 4 the Executive Branch not to spend so much money if you did

 5 not have the distinction between the temporary and the

 6 permanent. You would have that money, period.
- 7 Senator Symms: I thank you very much.
- I certainly think that is what we should explore,

 9 Mr. Chairman, and I hope we could do it. I might just say

 10 to Senator Long, I have spent a lot of time along the Snake

 11 and Salmon River in the last six weeks, and there were a lot

 12 of those people out there who would not feel too bad if the

 13 Federal did just close down.
- I think, from our point of view here, that we could

 15 certainly have a more legitimate responsible position to

 16 bring about fiscal responsibility to the overall budget

 17 process if we would make this a permanent debt. We could

 18 certainly use this as a mechanism that would be valuable for

 19 all us to bring about the kind of reforms we want.
- 20 Thank you, Hr. Chairman.
- The Chairman: Certainly there will be a right, but I

 22 would like to consult with Chairman Rostenkowski and also

 23 Secretary Regan to see if there are any other complications

 24 that I am not aware of. I tend to agree with what both

 25 Senators Long and Symms have indicated.

- Senator Packwood is the chairman of the subcommittee,

 2 and I would like to recognize Senator Packwood for a

 3 moment.
- Senator Packwood: As I understand, we have two debt 5 limits to pass, if we do it separately. One is on the floor 6 now, isn't that right, H.J. Res. 266, which the 7 Administration wants to use to increase the debt ceiling 8 through the end of this fiscal year to \$999.8 billion. That 9 is on the floor now, and it is the vehicle we used to send 10 out the tax bill, and then we substituted another House 11 number, as I recall.
- 12 Mr. Lighthizer: Yes, it is on the calendar now.
- 13 Senator Packwood: It will require unanimous consent to 14 be called up.
- 15 Mr. Lighthizer: It would require unanimous consent to 16 rescind third reading.
- Senator Packwood: That is on the calendar now, and 18 what we are also talking about sending out from this 19 committee is H.J. Res 265 which raises it to \$1,079.8 20 billion through the next fiscal year.
- 21 Mr. Chairman, I have been on this committee now only
 22 only eight or nine years, and I know the games we play with
 23 the debt ceiling. I was one in the past who thought that we
 24 should go the route that the House went, put it in the
 25 budget bill, or perhaps we should just allow Treasury to

- 1 raise the debt ceiling as we went without any further action
 2 by Congress.
 - I am not here to argue that at all. There will be
 amendments offered on the floor. I would just hope, from he
 standpoint of the time of this committee this morning, that
 we send it out and realize that there may be two or three
 amendments, or a dozen amendments that are offered before we
 are done with this on the floor.
 - 9 The Chairman: Is there any further discussion on H.J. 10 Res. 265?
 - 11 [No response.]
 - The Chairman: Is there any objection to reporting H.J. 13 Res. 265?
 - 14 Senator Long: I suggest that we have a vote on it.
 - The Chairman: All right, the clerk will call the 16 roll.
 - 17 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Packwood.
 - 18 Senator Packwood: Aye.
 - 19 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Roth.
 - 20 [No response.)
 - 21 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Danforth.
 - 22 [No response.]
 - 23 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Chafee.
 - 24 Senator Chafee: Aye.
 - 25 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Heinz.

- 1 Senator Heinz: Aye.
- 2 nr. Lighthizer: nr. Wallop.
- 3 Senator Wallop: Aye.
- 4 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Durenberger.
- 5 The Chairman: Aye by proxy.
- 6 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Armstrong.
- 7 [No response.]
- 8 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Symms.
- 9 Senator Symms: No.
- 10 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Grassley.
- 11 Senator Wallop: Senator Grassley asked to be recorded

12 as no.

- 13 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Long.
- 14 Senator Long: Aye.
- 15 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Byrd.
- 16 [No response.]
- 17 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Bentsen.
- 18 Senator Bentsen: No.
- 19 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Matsunaga.
- 20 [No response.]
- 21 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Moynihan.
- 22 Senator Moynihan: No.
- 23 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Baucus.
- 24 Senator Baucus: No.
- 25 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Boren.

- 1 [No response.]
 - Mr. Lighthizor: Mr. Bradley.
 - 3 Senator Bradley: No.
 - 4 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Mitchell.
 - 5 Senator Mitchell: No.
 - 6 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Chairman.
 - 7 The Chairman: Aye.
 - 8 Mr. Danforth votes aye.
 - 9 Mr. Lighthizer: Mr. Danforth voted aye, Mr. Chairman?
 - 10 The Chairman: Yes.
 - The Ayes are nine, and the nays are seven. The 12 resolution is agreed to.
 - 13 Senator Moynihan: Mr. Chairman.
 - 14 The Chairman: The gentleman from New York.
 - 15 Senator Moynihan: In explanation of my vote, Mr.
 - 16 Chairman, may I simply say that I could not vote for a
 - 17 measure which it seems to me the Treasury, without any
 - 18 culpability on their part, basically misrepresented the
 - 19 expected course of public finance in the twelve months.
 - 20 There is not going to be a \$42.5 billion deficit. This is
 - 21 not going to be adequate for the fiscal, that at least is my
 - 22 judgment, and that is why I voted in that way.
 - The Chairman: I appreciate that. I think it depends 24 on what Congress does whether we are going to reach the 25 \$42.5. If we are willing to make the necessary cuts, we

- 1 will reach \$42.5, if not, we probably won't, and this
 2 committee, of course, will be in the middle of that.
- Did Senator Packwood indicate, or maybe staff will tell 4 us, that there is also H.J. Res. 266, which is on the floor, 5 and we need unanimous consent to bring that up. There is 6 some strong indication that we need to act on that by 7 September 30 because of the sale of \$13 billion in bonds.
- Mr. Lighthizer: If you had unanimous consent, you good rescind third reading, and then pass H.J. Res. 266 in the same form that it came over, and send it on to the 11 President also.
- Senator Packwood: I would hope that whatever efforts

 13 are going to be made to amend the debt ceiling bill would be

 14 made on the one for the next fiscal year rather than this

 15 one.
- Maybe somebody from Treasury could tell us, how much do 17 we lose if we do not pass H.J. Res. 265.
- 18 Mr. Lighthizer: The testimony was that the trust fund 19 for Federal pensions loses about \$4.5 million. I don't 20 think that from a unified budget point of view you lose 21 anything, but basically you will not pay into the trust 22 fund. They cannot invest about \$13 million. It is about a 23 \$4.5 million loss for that trust fund.
- 24 Senator Packwood: For one day?
- 25 Mr. Lighthizer: Yes, sir.

- The Chairman: What about the Debt Collection Act, what have we done with that proposal?
- Mr. Lighthizer: The Debt Collection Act was introduced by Senator Percy at the request of the Administration. It has been reported out by the Government Affairs Committee. 6 It has 11 provisions. After they reported it out, four of
- Material has been distributed that discusses those

 9 provisions. There are about three relatively minor issues

 10 that we would focus on with respect to the four provisions

 11 that are within our jurisdiction.

7 them were sequentially referred to the Finance Committee.

- The Chairman: May I just say, before we do that,

 13 before some of the members have to leave, that as I have

 14 reviewed the schedule with staff, there are still about

 15 maybe four or five items we need to deal with this year.

 16 The first being the Social Security Financing bill, and it

 17 is my hope that we can start mark up on that next week. I

 18 will have as much information as possible for every member

 19 sometime this week.
- 20 Senator Moynihan: Mr. Chairman, may I ask, is it your 21 thought that we should do that in full committee?
- 22 The Chairman: Yes, is that all right.
- 23 Senator Moynihan: Yes, sir.
- 24 The Chairman: I discussed that also with Senator 25 Armstrong. We had a brief discussion last Friday in Senator

- \bigcirc
- \ominus
- Long's office, the Senator from New York, the Senator from Louisiana, and myself. It is my hope that we can reach some, if not agreement, something near an agreement that involves members of both sides.
- We have discussed a number of options that I think would avoid both the problems of early retirement and later retirement. So somewhere in the middle, we may be able to come to an agreement, or at least some consensus in the committee. If so, I would hope that we could move on that to rather quickly.
- If we are going to adjourn on November 15, which is the 12 new target date, we would need to move on Social Security.

 13 So if there is no big objection, I would like to have our 14 staff and Mike Stern start working with other staff members 15 of the committee members, so that you will know precisely 16 what we are thinking about, and we can start that as early 17 as today.
- In addition, the second tax bill, I don't know if there 19 is still any interest in the second tax bill. I recall a 20 couple of members who withheld their amendment, but I don't 21 recall their name, on the first tax bill.
- There was more or less the pledge made by the chairman 23 on the floor that we would consider a second tax bill, and 24 that is still, as far as I am concerned, a fact. It should 25 be revenue neutral, I think, with all the gloom and doom on

- 1 the budget and the deficit. We would have to find enough to 2 pay for anything that somehody had in mind.
- It is also my understanding that Treasury has for some 4 months been looking at some areas that we might address in 5 order to find some revenue. One area we did have was the 6 straddle, which was used in that bill.
- Also, the airport and airways tax bill must be dealt with, and tariff bills. The highway fuel tax, Senator Bentsen has a direct interest in that. That is not due to consider the end of 1984, but there has been interest.
- I don't know what your feeling is on whether we ought
 to try to address that now or wait. Do you have any views.
- Senator Symms: Mr. Chairman, I would certainly like to 14 see that trust fund extended so that they can have long term 15 planning for where they are going with the highway program, 16 or at least extend it until 1990 or something.
- 17 Senator Bentsen: I would certainly be in accord. This 18 gives continuity for forward planning that they ought to 19 have. The question as to whether any changes that can be 20 done at a later date.
- Senator Long: It seems to me as though the public 22 understands that we have always paid for highways with the 23 user tax. I don't know why we would want to repeal it if we 24 want the money for highways.
- 25 You have this airport user thing, too. The people who

- 1 pay that are relatively affluent people. They are upper 2 bracket taxpayers, or middle income bracket taxpayers in the 3 main. If the government needs the money in order to provide 4 the services, I don't know why we don't continue those 5 taxes, Mr. Chairman.
- I say that as one who is on the minority side of the aisle, but if the government needs the money, I don't why we sought to repeal those taxes.
- 9 The Chairman: I don't want to repeal those taxes.
- Senator Bentsen: Mr. Chairman, I don't know of any
 nove to repeal the taxes or reduce the taxes at this point.
- The Chairman: That is another matter. There may be

 13 other matters that individual senators would like to bring

 14 up this year, and if we can accommodate those senators, I am

 15 sure we would want to do that.
- I think the first thing we must address is Social

 17 Security, and I am certain we are going to have an

 18 opportunity to look at Medicare and other matters very soon,

 19 and if we have any information on those areas I will be

 20 happy to make certain everybody has the information.
- Social Security, I think we must do very quickly, and I 22 would hope that we could start meeting early next week. If 23 we can reach some consensus, as I said, at a staff level, 24 and we hope to have meetings this week with certain 25 senators, maybe we can come together on something that we

1 can at least find 51 votes for.

- The debt collection matter, what are the three areas that need to be addressed?
- Mr. Lighthizer: There are three minor issues, Mr. 5 Chairman, in S.1249. One section deals with disclosure of 6 debtor identity information, and it provides that upon 7 written request, private debt collection agencies can be 8 given addresses of people who owe money to the Federal 9 government.
- The bill appears not to be clear on whether or not such disclosure can be made in cases where the credit bureau laneeds the money for purposes of a credit report, as opposed to collection. We would suggest making that clear.
- Another change under the same section of the bill

 15 basically tightens down on the way these private collection

 16 agencies can use this information. It requires them to keep

 17 separate files for their private and Federal collections,

 18 and to return all the information once the collection or the

 19 credit report is completed. So we would suggest that those

 20 be added.
- The final item is under the section dealing with 22 interest on tax deficiencies and overpayments, Mr. 23 Chairman. Basically this section does essentially what we 24 did in the tax bill, it increases the interest rate on tax 25 deficiencies and overpayments from 90 percent of the prime

- 1 rate adjusted annually to 100 percent.
- It is essentially the same thing that we did. So we would suggest dropping that section out of the bill because
- 4 it repeats what we did in the Economic Recovery Tax Act.
- The Chairman: Has the Joint Committee had an 6 opportunity to look at this, Mark?
- 7 Mr. McConaghy: We have, Mr. Chairman, and we would 8 agree.
- 9 The Chairman: You recommend the changes that have been 10 suggested?
- 11 Mr. McConaghy: Yes. One minor modification that we 12 have discussed is rather than return all the records back to 13 the IRS, if there was a system for the agent, meaning the 14 credit bureau, for destroying the addresses, that would 15 probably be sufficient if it meant the approval of the 16 agency. I don't think anybody has an objection to that.
- The Chairman: I hope that the bill itself will work 18 because, as I understand, as of December 30, 1979, the 19 Federal government was owed a total of \$175 billion from 20 students, farmers, small businesses, and others, who had 21 borrowed from any of the 358 government long term loan 22 programs. In addition there is interest on delinquent 23 loans, and overpayments the government has made on 24 programs.
- 25 Aren't we under some time constraint to report it out?

- 1 Mr. Lighthizer: We agreed to report it out as soon as
- 2 possible. Originally, the informal agreement was, I
- 3 believe, that we would report it out by the beginning of
- 4 August, but there were a variety of things.
- 5 The Chairman: Do any members have questions of the
- 6 staff on these changes that they have suggested?
- 7 [No response.]
- 8 The Chairman: Without objection, then, the
- 9 modifications will be agreed to.
- 10 Does anybody move that we report the bill?
- It has been moved that we report the bill. All in
- 12 favor say, aye.
- 13 [Chorus of ayes.]
- 14 The Chairman: Opposed, no.
- 15 [No response.]
- 16 The Chairman: Is there any further business to come
- 17 before the committee today?
- 18 Mr. Lighthizer: No, Mr. Chairman.
- 19 The Chairman: We are having a series of breakfast
- 20 meetings. You will be getting a note today. The first one
- 21 is tomorrow morning with members and officers of NFIB,
- 22 hopefully someone from you State will be there. We hope
- 23 that we will be meeting with the AFL-CIO, the NAM, and
- 24 others. We will try to work it out on a weekly basis. It
- 25 is only for one hour.

C	We thought that it might give members an opportunity to
	2 exchange views with some of these people we deal with on a
-	3 formal basis. They have all indicated that they would like
,	4 to bring their cameras, and they all have newsletters, so
	5 that may be of some interest to members.
	6 Thank you very much. The committee stands adjourned.
	7 [Whereupon, at 11:55 a.m., the committee adjourned, to
	8 reconvene at the call of the Chair.]
	9
	10
	11
	12
_	13
)	14
•	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
)	24
	, 25