

1 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING IN THE MATTER OF A SUBSTITUTE
2 FOR H.R. 5063, THE ARMED FORCES TAX FAIRNESS ACT OF 2002
3 THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2002

4 U.S. Senate,
5 Committee on Finance,
6 Washington, DC.

7 The meeting was convened, pursuant to notice, at
8 10:04 a.m., in room 215, Dirksen Senate Office Building,
9 Hon. Max Baucus (chairman of the committee) presiding.

10 Also present: Senators Rockefeller, Breaux, Conrad,
11 Jeffords, Grassley, Hatch, Murkowski, Nickles, Snowe, and
12 Thomas.

13 Also present: Pamela Olson, Assistant Secretary for
14 Tax Policy, Department of Treasury; Lindy Paull, Chief of
15 Staff, Joint Committee on Taxation; Russell Sullivan,
16 Chief Tax Counsel; John Angell, Staff Director; Mike
17 Evans, Chief Counsel and Deputy Staff Director; Kolan
18 Davis, Republican Staff Director and Chief Counsel; and
19 Carla Martin, Chief Clerk.

20
21
22
23
24
25

1 OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. MAX BAUCUS, A U.S. SENATOR FROM
2 MONTANA, CHAIRMAN, COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

3

4 The Chairman. The committee will come to order.

5 As we approach the first anniversary of the September
6 11th attacks, our thoughts are not only with the victims
7 of the attacks, but also with the men and women who are
8 leading America's response in serving our country: the
9 Marines deployed in Afghanistan in the Arabian Sea as
10 part of Operation Enduring Freedom; the members of the
11 Army National Guard, who are helping to protect our
12 borders and airports; the Foreign Service officers,
13 serving in dangerous diplomatic posts; and for my part,
14 in particular, the dedicated members of the 120th Fighter
15 Wing of the International Guard from Montana who have
16 been mobilized to join forces that secure the skies of
17 the no-fly zone over Iraq.

18 All of these dedicated public servants and their
19 families deserve our thoughts and our thanks. They also
20 deserve to be treated appropriately under our tax laws.
21 That is why we are meeting today to consider the Armed
22 Forces Tax Fairness Act of 2002.

23 The bill does two things. First, it corrects
24 provisions that treat members of the armed forces
25 unfairly. Second, it provides modest incentives to

1 encourage young men and women to continue to serve in our
2 country.

3 Let me describe some of the key provisions. Under
4 our current Tax Code, if a member of the armed forces
5 dies while on active duty the Federal Government pays the
6 surviving spouse a small death benefit of \$6,000.

7 The entire amount should be excluded from taxable
8 income, but, because of a glitch in the law, only half is
9 excluded. Senator Carnahan has introduced legislation to
10 correct this by excluding the entire \$6,000 payment, and
11 the mark incorporates her bill.

12 Another example. In 1997, we changed the Tax Code to
13 exclude gains from the sale of a person's own home, the
14 capital gains tax, below certain threshold amounts. In
15 order to qualify, you have to own and live in the home
16 for at least two to five years preceding the sale.

17 This works fine for most people, but it does not work
18 for many members of the armed forces and the diplomatic
19 corps because they frequently are required to move from
20 place to place around the country and around the world.

21 Senator McCain, Senator Graham from Florida, and
22 Senator Lincoln have proposed a bill to correct this by
23 establishing a special rule for determining the
24 excludable gain from the sale of these homes, and the
25 mark incorporates their bill.

1 A third example relates to the young men and women
2 who serve in the National Guard and the reservists, who
3 as we all know are being called on with increasing
4 frequency. Many reservists must travel away from home
5 for weekend drill and wind up spending a substantial
6 amount of money for overnight travel and lodging.

7 In fact, many of our younger, more junior reservists'
8 expenses exceed their take-home pay for that weekend. At
9 the same time, they generally are unable to deduct the
10 expenses from their taxes because the expenses do not
11 exceed the 2 percent floor. Senator DeWine has proposed
12 a bill to provide an above-the-line deduction, and the
13 mark incorporates this provision.

14 There are several other provisions that make further
15 improvements. In addition, the mark also includes two
16 provisions that raise revenues to offset revenue loss.
17 First, we improve the collection of unpaid taxes from
18 people who have renounced their American citizenship in
19 order to avoid future U.S. taxes. Second, we extend
20 certain IRS user fees.

21 These are modest. They are sensible changes. In
22 fact, in the case of ex-patriots, the offset seems
23 especially fitting.

24 All told, this bill does a small part to improve our
25 Tax Code and, more importantly, pay respect to the men

1 and women who are making sacrifices, risking their lives
2 to defend all of us.

3 I thank all the members who have contributed to the
4 development of the bill: Senators Levin, Warner, and
5 Cleland of the Armed Services Committee, who gave their
6 special expertise from Armed Services' point of view;
7 Senator Landrew for the child care provision; Senator
8 Johnson for the contingency operations provision; Senator
9 Harkins for the veterans and expatriation provisions.

10 I especially thank my good friend, Senator Grassley
11 from Iowa, who has once again been a partner in the
12 development of this important bipartisan tax legislation.

13 Senator Grassley?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. CHARLES E. GRASSLEY, A U.S.
2 SENATOR FROM IOWA

3

4 Senator Grassley. Mr. Chairman, I am going to put
5 my statement in the record because it is so repetitive of
6 your description of the legislation, that there is no
7 sense in my taking the time to do it.

8 I would just take time to thank our people in the
9 armed services for the defense of freedom, and especially
10 those whose lives are in danger in overseas operations,
11 particularly in Afghanistan. So, I will put my statement
12 in the record.

13 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.

14 [The prepared statement of Senator Grassley appears
15 in the appendix.]

16 The Chairman. At this point, I will recognize other
17 Senators who may wish to speak. I expect other Senators
18 to arrive quickly so that we have the required number of
19 Senators to report out the bill.

20 But while we are here waiting for them to arrive,
21 Senator Thomas, Senator Breaux, if anybody has anything
22 to say, fine. If not, we will ask you to stand in
23 temporary recess until seven more arrive. They have all
24 been called several times.

25 We also set this time aside, telling other Senators

1 that this is probably the best time, fitting it to their
2 schedule, too, to get 11 Senators.

3 Senator Breaux. Can we offer any other amendments
4 to this legislation?

5 The Chairman. You can do whatever you want,
6 Senator. I am not sure it will succeed, but you can
7 certainly try.

8 Senator Thomas. Mr. Chairman, I appreciate what you
9 have done and I support it. I do have to say, however,
10 particularly on the one on travel, it just does not seem
11 to me that that is the most efficient way to assist young
12 National Guard members, many of whom will not be paying
13 income tax. The tax relief is not going to be very
14 useful to them.

15 Then beyond that, it just seems to me, every time we
16 have a need of some time we get together and put some
17 more complication into the Tax Code, and then we talk
18 about making it simpler.

19 So I am going to support this, but I do have my
20 doubts on the one, and that is that travel thing. I do
21 not think this is the best way to encourage people to be
22 in the Guard. I think we ought to reimburse them. There
23 are other ways to do it. I think it is not a good shot,
24 but I will support it nevertheless.

25 The Chairman. Well, Senator, I think you make a

1 very good point. The fact is, we are cobbling something
2 together here, and some of the provisions I think we
3 should enact are not all from the jurisdiction of this
4 committee. But you make a very good point, that we need
5 to, soon, find a better, more solid way to encourage
6 participation in the Guard and reserve program so it is
7 not a struggle for the men and women just to say in.

8 I understand the complexity point that you make. We
9 all know that when we are trying to meet a need, we tend
10 to make things a little more complex. There is going to
11 come a time when, frankly, there is going to be a
12 complexity straw that breaks the Tax Code camel's back.

13 Senator Thomas. All right.

14 The Chairman. I do not know if that time has
15 arrived. It certainly has not at this moment.

16 Senator Thomas. I understand.

17 The Chairman. But we are not too far away.

18 Senator Thomas. All right. Thank you, Mr.

19 Chairman.

20 The Chairman. Thank you.

21 Seeing no other Senators wishing to speak, we will
22 just be in temporary recess until 11 arrive. I urge my
23 good friends to stay until the others do arrive. We will
24 be in recess pending the call of the chair.

25 [Whereupon, at 10:36 a.m. the meeting was recessed.]

1 The Chairman. The meeting is adjourned.

2 [Whereupon, at 10:37 a.m. the meeting was concluded.]

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I N D E X

PAGE

STATEMENT OF:

THE HONORABLE MAX BAUCUS A United States Senator from the State of Montana	2
THE HONORABLE CHARLES E. GRASSLEY A United States Senator from the State of Iowa	6

Gilmour
9-5-02
10 pp.

**UNITED STATES SENATE
COMMITTEE ON FINANCE**

Max Baucus, Chairman

**Thursday, September 5, 2002
10:00 a.m.**

215 Dirksen Senate Office Building

Agenda for Business Meeting

- I. A substitute for H.R. 5063, the "Armed Forces Tax Fairness Act of 2002."**

Senator Charles E. Grassley
Opening Statement before the Senate Committee on
Finance
“Armed Services Tax Fairness Act of 2002”
September 5, 2002

Thank you Mr. Chairman for calling this Executive Committee Session.

Chairman Baucus and I have called this meeting to focus on an issue on which Republicans and Democrats all agree -- tax equity and fairness for members of the uniformed services and foreign service personnel.

The war on terrorism continues one year following the tragic events of September 11, 2001. As a result, we could not have selected a more appropriate time to address the issues which are the subject of today's committee meeting. The members of our uniformed services and their reserve components are the backbone of the initiatives against war on terrorism and the first line of defense in any homeland security effort.

We need to provide incentives for our military personnel to continue their service to our country. Moreover, we need to provide adequate and fair compensation for our military personnel by ensuring that those men and women are treated fairly and equally under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. For those reasons, I am committed to moving forward our military tax bill as quickly as possible.

We are here today to markup a substitute package for H.R. 5063, the "Armed Forces Tax Fairness Act" which passed the House unanimously on July 9, 2002. We have taken the two tax provisions incorporated into that legislation related to death gratuity benefits and capital gains from the sale of personal residences and incorporated five additional tax military initiatives related to the Homeowners Assistance Program, filings for those in contingency operations, reservist expenses, veteran organizations and childcare expenses.

One of the most important additions to our Senate alternative is Senator DeWine's proposal for an above-the-line deduction for unreimbursed travel expenses incurred by members of the reserve components of the United States armed forces. Numerous Iowans have contacted me to emphasize that reservists often must subsidize their own military training. This happens because 75% of the population (including numerous reservists) do not itemize on their tax returns, and for reservists who do itemize, unreimbursed travel expense deductions are limited to those expenses in excess of 2% of their adjusted gross income.

I think it is worth noting that reservists have become an increasingly important part of our military regime over the last 10-12 years. Reservists were involuntarily activated only four times over the 45 years from 1945 to 1990--approximately 85% of those to assist in the Korean War during the 1950's. By contrast, since 1990, reservists have been involuntarily activated six times--in some cases related to peace-keeping and nation building exercises in Haiti, Bosnia and Kosovo but also related to armed conflicts such as those with Iraq and the current military operation against terrorists.

Another provision of relative importance in this legislation is the proposal that would permit uniformed service personnel and members of the Foreign Service to suspend the two and five year periods necessary to exclude gain on the sale of a personal residence for service-mandated relocations. Like many of the provisions in this bill, the issue is one of fairness, and it is important to ensure that our military personnel are eligible for the same tax incentives for home ownership available to all Americans.

In closing, I would like to thank those men and women who continue to serve in the United States military and provide us with the freedoms that we so frequently take for granted. I urge my colleagues to support the package of military tax initiatives under consideration in this committee session.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

107TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 5063

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JULY 11 (legislative day, JULY 10), 2002

Received; read twice and referred to the Committee on Finance

AN ACT

To amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a special rule for members of the uniformed services in determining the exclusion of gain from the sale of a principal residence and to restore the tax exempt status of death gratuity payments to members of the uniformed services.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the "Armed Forces Tax
5 Fairness Act of 2002".

6 **SEC. 2. SPECIAL RULE FOR MEMBERS OF UNIFORMED**
7 **SERVICES IN DETERMINING EXCLUSION OF**
8 **GAIN FROM SALE OF PRINCIPAL RESIDENCE.**

9 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (d) of section 121 of
10 the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to exclusion
11 of gain from sale of principal residence) is amended by
12 adding at the end the following new paragraph:

13 "(9) **MEMBERS OF UNIFORMED SERVICES.**—

14 "(A) **IN GENERAL.**—At the election of an
15 individual with respect to a property, the run-
16 ning of the 5-year period described in sub-
17 section (a) with respect to such property shall
18 be suspended during any period that such indi-
19 vidual or such individual's spouse is serving on
20 qualified official extended duty as a member of
21 the uniformed services.

22 "(B) **MAXIMUM PERIOD OF SUSPENSION.**—

23 The 5-year period described in subsection (a)
24 shall not be extended more than 5 years by rea-
25 son of subparagraph (A).

1 “(C) QUALIFIED OFFICIAL EXTENDED
2 DUTY.—For purposes of this paragraph—

3 “(i) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘quali-
4 fied official extended duty’ means any ex-
5 tended duty while serving at a duty station
6 which is at least 250 miles from such prop-
7 erty or while residing under Government
8 orders in Government quarters.

9 “(ii) UNIFORMED SERVICES.—The
10 term ‘uniformed services’ has the meaning
11 given such term by section 101(a)(5) of
12 title 10, United States Code, as in effect
13 on the date of the enactment of this para-
14 graph.

15 “(iii) EXTENDED DUTY.—The term
16 ‘extended duty’ means any period of active
17 duty pursuant to a call or order to such
18 duty for a period in excess of 180 days or
19 for an indefinite period.

20 “(D) SPECIAL RULES RELATING TO ELEC-
21 TION.—

22 “(i) ELECTION LIMITED TO 1 PROP-
23 erty AT A TIME.—An election under sub-
24 paragraph (A) with respect to any property

1 may not be made if such an election is in
2 effect with respect to any other property.

3 “(ii) REVOCATION OF ELECTION.—An
4 election under subparagraph (A) may be
5 revoked at any time.”.

6 (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by
7 this section shall apply to elections made after the date
8 of the enactment of this Act for suspended periods under
9 section 121(d)(9) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986
10 (as added by this section) beginning after such date.

11 **SEC. 3. RESTORATION OF FULL EXCLUSION FROM GROSS**
12 **INCOME OF DEATH GRATUITY PAYMENT.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (b)(3) of section 134
14 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to certain
15 military benefits) is amended by adding at the end the
16 following new subparagraph:

17 “(C) EXCEPTION FOR DEATH GRATUITY
18 ADJUSTMENTS MADE BY LAW.—Subparagraph
19 (A) shall not apply to any adjustment to the
20 amount of death gratuity payable under chapter
21 75 of title 10, United States Code, which is
22 pursuant to a provision of law enacted before
23 December 31, 1991.”.

24 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (A)
25 of section 134(b)(3) of such Code is amended by striking

1 “subparagraph (B)” and inserting “subparagraphs (B)
2 and (C)”.

3 (c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by
4 this section shall apply with respect to deaths occurring
5 after September 10, 2001.

Passed the House of Representatives July 9, 2002.

Attest:

JEFF TRANDAHL,

Clerk.

By MARTHA C. MORRISON,

Deputy Clerk.

**DESCRIPTION OF THE CHAIRMAN'S MODIFICATION
TO H.R. 5063, "ARMED FORCES
TAX FAIRNESS ACT OF 2002"**

Scheduled for Markup
By the
SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
on September 5, 2002

Prepared by the Staff
of the
JOINT COMMITTEE ON TAXATION



September 3, 2002
JCX-85-02

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION.....	1
I. IMPROVING TAX EQUITY FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL.....	2
A. Exclusion from Gross Income of Certain Death Gratuity Payments	2
B. Exclusion of Gain on Sale of a Principal Residence by a Member of the Uniformed Services or the Foreign Service.....	3
C. Exclusion for Amounts Received Under Department of Defense Homeowners Assistance Program	4
D. Expansion of Combat Zone Filing Rules to Contingency Operations.....	5
E. Above-the-Line Deduction for Overnight Travel Expenses of National Guard and Reserve Members.....	8
F. Modification of Membership Requirement for Exemption From Tax for Certain Veteran's Organizations.....	9
G. Clarification of Treatment of Certain Dependent Care Assistance Programs Provided to Members of the Uniformed Services of the United States.....	10
II. OTHER PROVISIONS	11
A. Impose Mark-to-Market Tax on Individuals Who Expatriate.....	11
B. Extension of IRS User Fees	20

INTRODUCTION

This document¹, prepared by the staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation, provides a description of the Chairman's Modification to H.R. 5063, the "Armed Forces Tax Fairness Act of 2002." The Senate Committee on Finance has scheduled a markup of this bill for September 5, 2002.

¹ This document may be cited as follows: Joint Committee on Taxation, *Description of the Chairman's Modification to H.R. 5063, the "Armed Forces Tax Fairness Act of 2002"* (JCX-85-02), September 3, 2002.

I. IMPROVING TAX EQUITY FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL

A. Exclusion from Gross Income of Certain Death Gratuity Payments

Present Law

Present law provides that qualified military benefits are not included in gross income. Generally, a qualified military benefit is any allowance or in-kind benefit (other than personal use of a vehicle) which: (1) is received by any member or former member of the uniformed services of the United States or any dependent of such member by reason of such member's status or service as a member of such uniformed services; and (2) was excludable from gross income on September 9, 1986, under any provision of law, regulation, or administrative practice which was in effect on such date. Generally, other than certain cost of living adjustments no modification or adjustment of any qualified military benefit after September 9, 1986, is taken into account for purposes of this exclusion from gross income. Qualified military benefits include certain death gratuities.

Description of Proposal

The proposal extends the exclusion from gross income to any adjustment to the amount of the death gratuity payable under Chapter 75 of Title 10 of the United States Code.

Effective Date

The proposal is effective with respect to deaths occurring after September 10, 2001.

B. Exclusion of Gain on Sale of a Principal Residence by a Member of the Uniformed Services or the Foreign Service

Present Law

Under present law, an individual taxpayer may exclude up to \$250,000 (\$500,000 if married filing a joint return) of gain realized on the sale or exchange of a principal residence. To be eligible for the exclusion, the taxpayer must have owned and used the residence as a principal residence for at least two of the five years prior to the sale or exchange. A taxpayer who fails to meet these requirements by reason of a change of place of employment, health, or, to the extent provided under regulations, unforeseen circumstances is able to exclude an amount equal to the fraction of the \$250,000 (\$500,000 if married filing a joint return) that is equal to the fraction of the two years that the ownership and use requirements are met. There are no special rules relating to members of the uniformed services, or the Foreign Service of the United States.

Description of Proposal

Under the proposal, an individual may elect to suspend for a maximum of ten years the five-year test period for ownership and use during certain absences due to service in the uniformed services, or Foreign Service of the United States. The uniformed services include: (1) the armed forces (the Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard); (2) the commissioned corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and (3) the commissioned corps of the Public Health Service. If the election is made, the five-year period ending on the date of the sale or exchange of a principal residence does not include any period up to five years during which the taxpayer or the taxpayer's spouse is on qualified official extended duty as a member of the uniformed services, or in Foreign Service of the United States. For these purposes, qualified official extended duty is any period of extended duty by a member of the uniformed services, or the Foreign Service of the United States while serving at a place of duty at least 50 miles away from the taxpayer's principal residence or under orders compelling residence in Government furnished quarters. Extended duty is defined as any period of duty pursuant to a call or order to such duty for a period in excess of 90 days or for an indefinite period. The election may be made with respect to only one property for a suspension period.

Effective Date

The proposal is effective for elections made with respect to sales after the date of enactment.

**C. Exclusion for Amounts Received Under
Department of Defense Homeowners Assistance Program**

Present Law

HAP payment

The Department of Defense Homeowners Assistance Program ("HAP") provides payments to certain employees and members of the Armed Forces to offset the adverse effects on housing values that result from military base realignment or closure. The payments are authorized under the provisions of Title 42 U.S.C. section 3374.

HAP provides payments to eligible individuals who may, in general, either (1) receive a cash payment as compensation for losses that may be or have been sustained in a private sale, in an amount not to exceed the difference between (A) 95 percent of the fair market value of their property prior to public announcement of intention to close all or part of the military base or installation and (B) the fair market value of such property at the time of the sale, or (2) receive, as the purchase price for their property, an amount not to exceed 90 percent of the prior fair market value as such value is determined by the Secretary of Defense, or the amount of the outstanding mortgages.

Tax treatment

Unless specifically excluded, gross income for Federal income tax purposes includes all income from whatever source derived. Amounts received under HAP are received in connection with the performance of services, and thus are includable in gross income as compensation for services. Additionally, such payments are "wages" for Federal Insurance Contributions Act tax purposes (including Medicare).

Description of Proposal

The proposal exempts from gross income amounts received under the Homeowners Assistance Program. Amounts received under the program are also not considered wages for Federal Insurance Contributions Act tax purposes (including Medicare).

Effective Date

The proposal is effective for payments made after the date of enactment.

D. Expansion of Combat Zone Filing Rules to Contingency Operations

Present Law

General time limits for filing tax returns

Individuals generally must file their Federal income tax returns by April 15 of the year following the close of a taxable year (sec. 6072). The Secretary may grant reasonable extensions of time for filing such returns (sec. 6081). Treasury regulations provide an additional automatic two-month extension (until June 15 for calendar-year individuals) for United States citizens and residents in military or naval service on duty on April 15 of the following year (the otherwise applicable due date of the return) outside the United States (Treas. Reg. sec. 1.6081-5(a)(6)). No action is necessary to apply for this extension, but taxpayers must indicate on their returns (when filed) that they are claiming this extension. Unlike most extensions of time to file, this extension applies to both filing returns and paying the tax due.

Treasury regulations also provide, upon application on the proper form, an automatic four-month extension (until August 15 for calendar-year individuals) for any individual timely filing that form and paying the amount of tax estimated to be due (Treas. Reg. sec. 1.6081-4).

In general, individuals must make quarterly estimated tax payments by April 15, June 15, September 15, and January 15 of the following taxable year. Wage withholding is considered to be a payment of estimated taxes.

Suspension of time periods

In general, the period of time for performing various acts under the Internal Revenue Code, such as filing tax returns, paying taxes, or filing a claim for credit or refund of tax, is suspended for any individual serving in the Armed Forces of the United States in an area designated as a "combat zone" during the period of combatant activities (sec. 7508). An individual who becomes a prisoner of war is considered to continue in active service and is therefore also eligible for these suspension of time provisions. The suspension of time also applies to an individual serving in support of such Armed Forces in the combat zone, such as Red Cross personnel, accredited correspondents, and civilian personnel acting under the direction of the Armed Forces in support of those Forces. The designation of a combat zone must be made by the President in an Executive Order. The President must also designate the period of combatant activities in the combat zone (the starting date and the termination date of combat).

The suspension of time encompasses the period of service in the combat zone during the period of combatant activities in the zone, as well as (1) any time of continuous qualified hospitalization resulting from injury received in the combat zone² or (2) time in missing in action status, plus the next 180 days.

² Two special rules apply to continuous hospitalization inside the United States. First, the suspension of time provisions based on continuous hospitalization inside the United States are applicable only to the hospitalized individual; they are not applicable to the spouse of such

The suspension of time applies to the following acts:

- (1) Filing any return of income, estate, or gift tax (except employment and withholding taxes);
- (2) Payment of any income, estate, or gift tax (except employment and withholding taxes);
- (3) Filing a petition with the Tax Court for redetermination of a deficiency, or for review of a decision rendered by the Tax Court;
- (4) Allowance of a credit or refund of any tax;
- (5) Filing a claim for credit or refund of any tax;
- (6) Bringing suit upon any such claim for credit or refund;
- (7) Assessment of any tax;
- (8) Giving or making any notice or demand for the payment of any tax, or with respect to any liability to the United States in respect of any tax;
- (9) Collection of the amount of any liability in respect of any tax;
- (10) Bringing suit by the United States in respect of any liability in respect of any tax; and
- (11) Any other act required or permitted under the internal revenue laws specified in regulations prescribed under section 7508 by the Secretary of the Treasury.

Individuals may, if they choose, perform any of these acts during the period of suspension.

Spouses of qualifying individuals are entitled to the same suspension of time, except that the spouse is ineligible for this suspension for any taxable year beginning more than two years after the date of termination of combatant activities in the combat zone.

Description of Proposal

The proposal applies the special suspension of time period rules to persons deployed outside the United States away from the individual's permanent duty station while participating in an operation designated by the Secretary of Defense as a contingency operation or that

individual. Second, in no event do the suspension of time provisions based on continuous hospitalization inside the United States extend beyond five years from the date the individual returns to the United States. These two special rules do not apply to continuous hospitalization outside the United States.

becomes a contingency operation. A contingency operation is defined³ as a military operation that is designated by the Secretary of Defense as an operation in which members of the armed forces are or may become involved in military actions, operations, or hostilities against an enemy of the United States or against an opposing military force, or results in the call or order to (or retention on) active duty of members of the uniformed services during a war or a national emergency declared by the President or Congress.

Effective Date

The proposal applies to any period for performing an act that has not expired before the date of enactment.

³ The definition is done by cross-reference to 10 U.S.C. 101.

E. Above-the-Line Deduction for Overnight Travel Expenses of National Guard and Reserve Members

Present Law

National Guard and Reserve members may claim itemized deductions for their nonreimbursable expenses for travel, meals, and lodging when they must travel away from home (and stay overnight) to attend National Guard and Reserve meetings. These overnight travel expenses are combined with other miscellaneous itemized deductions on Schedule A of the individual's income tax return and are deductible only to the extent that the aggregate of these deductions exceeds two percent of the taxpayer's adjusted gross income. No deduction is generally permitted for commuting expenses to and from drill meetings.

Description of Proposal

The proposal provides an above-the-line deduction for the overnight travel, meals, and lodging expenses of National Guard and Reserve members who must travel away from home (and stay overnight) to attend National Guard and Reserve meetings. Accordingly, these individuals incurring these expenses may deduct them from gross income regardless of whether they itemize their deductions. The amount of the expenses that may be deducted may not exceed the general Government per diem rate applicable to that locale.

Effective Date

The proposal is effective for amounts paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2001.

F. Modification of Membership Requirement for Exemption From Tax for Certain Veteran's Organizations

Present Law

Under present law, a veteran's organization as described in section 501(c)(19) of the Code generally is exempt from taxation. The Code defines such an organization as a post or organization of past or present members of the Armed Forces of the United States (1) that is organized in the United States or any of its possessions; (2) no part of the net earnings of which inures to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual; and (3) that meets certain membership requirements. The membership requirements are that (1) at least 75 percent of the organization's members are past or present members of the Armed Forces of the United States, and (2) substantially all of the remaining members are cadets or are spouses, widows, or widowers of past or present members of the Armed Forces of the United States or of cadets. No more than 2.5 percent of an organization's total members may consist of individuals who are not veterans, cadets, or spouses, widows, or widowers of such individuals.⁴

Contributions to an organization described in section 501(c)(19) may be deductible for federal income or gift tax purposes if the organization is a post or organization of war veterans.⁵

Description of Proposal

The proposal permits ancestors or lineal descendants of past or present members of the Armed Forces of the United States or of cadets to qualify as members for purposes of the "substantially all" test. The proposal does not change the requirement that 75 percent of the organization's members must be past or present members of the Armed Forces of the United States.

Effective Date

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after the date of enactment.

⁴ Treas. Reg. sec. 1.501(c)(19)-1(b)(2). The Treasury has not amended this regulation to reflect changes made by P.L. 97-248.

⁵ Sec. 170(c)(3); sec. 2522(a)(4).

G. Clarification of Treatment of Certain Dependent Care Assistance Programs Provided to Members of the Uniformed Services of the United States

Present Law

Present law provides that qualified military benefits are not included in gross income. Generally, a qualified military benefit is any allowance or in-kind benefit (other than personal use of a vehicle) which: (1) is received by any member or former member of the uniformed services of the United States or any dependent of such member by reason of such member's status or service as a member of such uniformed services; and (2) was excludable from gross income on September 9, 1986, under any provision of law, regulation, or administrative practice which was in effect on such date. Generally, other than certain cost of living adjustments, no modification or adjustment of any qualified military benefit after September 9, 1986, is taken into account for purposes of this exclusion from gross income.

Description of Proposal

The proposal clarifies that dependent care assistance provided under a dependent care assistance program for a member of the uniformed services by reason of such member's status or service as a member of the uniformed services is excludable from gross income as a qualified military benefit. The uniformed services include: (1) the armed forces (the Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard); (2) the commissioned corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and (3) the commissioned corps of the Public Health Service.

Effective Date

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2001. No inference is intended as to the tax treatment of such amounts for prior taxable years.

II. OTHER PROVISIONS

A. Impose Mark-to-Market Tax on Individuals Who Expatriate

Present Law

In general

U.S. citizens and residents generally are subject to U.S. income taxation on their worldwide income. The U.S. tax may be reduced or offset by a credit allowed for foreign income taxes paid with respect to foreign-source income. Nonresidents who are not U.S. citizens are taxed at a flat rate of 30 percent (or a lower treaty rate) on certain types of passive income derived from U.S. sources, and at regular graduated rates on net profits derived from a U.S. business.

Income tax rules with respect to expatriates

An individual who relinquishes his or her U.S. citizenship or terminates his or her U.S. residency with a principal purpose of avoiding U.S. taxes is subject to an alternative method of income taxation for the 10 taxable years ending after the expatriation or residency termination under section 877. The alternative method of taxation for expatriates modifies the rules generally applicable to the taxation of nonresident noncitizens in several ways. First, the individual is subject to tax on his or her U.S.-source income at the rates applicable to U.S. citizens rather than the rates applicable to other nonresident noncitizens. Unlike U.S. citizens, however, individuals subject to section 877 are not taxed on foreign-source income. Second, the scope of items treated as U.S.-source income for section 877 purposes is broader than those items generally considered to be U.S.-source income under the Code.⁶ Third, individuals subject to section 877 are taxed on exchanges of certain types of property that give rise to U.S.-source income for property that gives rise to foreign-source income.⁷ Fourth, an individual subject to section 877 who contributes property to a controlled foreign corporation is treated as receiving income or gain from such property directly and is taxable on such income or gain. The alternative method of taxation for expatriates applies only if it results in a higher U.S. tax

⁶ For example, gains on the sale or exchange of personal property located in the United States, and gains on the sale or exchange of stocks and securities issued by U.S. persons, generally are not considered to be U.S.-source income under the Code. Thus, such gains would not be taxable to a nonresident noncitizen. However, if an individual is subject to the alternative regime under sec. 877, such gains are treated as U.S.-source income with respect to that individual.

⁷ For example, a former citizen who is subject to the alternative tax regime and who removes appreciated artwork that he or she owns from the United States could be subject to immediate U.S. tax on the appreciation. In this regard, the removal from the United States of appreciated tangible personal property having an aggregate fair market value in excess of \$250,000 within the 15-year period beginning five years prior to the expatriation will be treated as an "exchange" subject to these rules.

liability than would otherwise be determined if the individual were taxed as a nonresident noncitizen.

The expatriation tax provisions apply to long-term residents of the United States whose U.S. residency is terminated. For this purpose, a long-term resident is any individual who was a lawful permanent resident of the United States for at least 8 out of the 15 taxable years ending with the year in which such termination occurs. In applying the 8-year test, an individual is not considered to be a lawful permanent resident for any year in which the individual is treated as a resident of another country under a treaty tie-breaker rule (and the individual does not elect to waive the benefits of such treaty).

Subject to the exceptions described below, an individual is treated as having expatriated or terminated residency with a principal purpose of avoiding U.S. taxes if either: (1) the individual's average annual U.S. Federal income tax liability for the 5 taxable years ending before the date of the individual's loss of U.S. citizenship or termination of U.S. residency is greater than \$100,000 (the "tax liability test"), or (2) the individual's net worth as of the date of such loss or termination is \$500,000 or more (the "net worth test"). The dollar amount thresholds contained in the tax liability test and the net worth test are indexed for inflation in the case of a loss of citizenship or termination of residency occurring in any calendar year after 1996. For calendar year 2002, the dollar thresholds for the tax liability test and the net worth test are \$120,000 and \$599,000, respectively. An individual who falls below these thresholds is not automatically treated as having a principal purpose of tax avoidance, but nevertheless is subject to the expatriation tax provisions if the individual's loss of citizenship or termination of residency in fact did have as one of its principal purposes the avoidance of tax.

Certain exceptions from the treatment that an individual relinquished his or her U.S. citizenship or terminated his or her U.S. residency for tax avoidance purposes may also apply. For example, a U.S. citizen who loses his or her citizenship and who satisfies either the tax liability test or the net worth test (described above) can avoid being deemed to have a principal purpose of tax avoidance if the individual falls within certain categories (such as being a dual citizen) and the individual, within one year from the date of loss of citizenship, submits a ruling request for a determination by the Secretary of the Treasury as to whether such loss had as one of its principal purposes the avoidance of taxes.

Estate tax rules with respect to expatriates

Nonresident noncitizens generally are subject to estate tax on certain transfers of U.S.-situated property at death.⁸ Such property includes real estate and tangible property located within the United States. Moreover, for estate tax purposes, stock held by nonresident noncitizens is treated as U.S.-situated if issued by a U.S. corporation.

⁸ The Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (the "Act") repealed the estate tax for estates of decedents dying after December 31, 2009. However, the Act included a "sunset" provision, pursuant to which the Act's provisions (including estate tax repeal) do not apply to estates of decedents dying after December 31, 2010.

Special rules apply to U.S. citizens who relinquish their citizenship and long-term residents who terminate their U.S. residency within the 10 years prior to the date of death, unless the loss of status did not have as one its principal purposes the avoidance of tax (sec. 2107). Under these rules, the decedent's estate includes the proportion of the decedent's stock in a foreign corporation that the fair market value of the U.S.-situs assets owned by the corporation bears to the total assets of the corporation. This rule applies only if (1) the decedent owned, directly, at death 10 percent or more of the combined voting power of all voting stock of the corporation and (2) the decedent owned, directly or indirectly, at death more than 50 percent of the total voting stock of the corporation or more than 50 percent of the total value of all stock of the corporation.

Taxpayers are deemed to have a principal purpose of tax avoidance if they meet the five-year tax liability test or the net worth test, discussed above. Exceptions from this tax avoidance treatment apply in the same circumstances as those described above (relating to certain dual citizens and other individuals who submit a timely and complete ruling request with the IRS as to whether their expatriation or residency termination had a principal purpose of tax avoidance).

Gift tax rules with respect to expatriates

Nonresident noncitizens generally are subject to gift tax on certain transfers by gift of U.S.-situated property. Such property includes real estate and tangible property located within the United States. Unlike the estate tax rules for U.S. stock held by nonresidents, however, nonresident noncitizens generally are not subject to U.S. gift tax on the transfer of intangibles, such as stock or securities, regardless of where such property is situated.

Special rules apply to U.S. citizens who relinquish their U.S. citizenship or long-term residents of the United States who terminate their U.S. residency within the 10 years prior to the date of transfer, unless such loss did not have as one of its principal purposes the avoidance of tax (sec. 2501(a)(3)). Under these rules, nonresident noncitizens are subject to gift tax on transfers of intangibles, such as stock or securities. Taxpayers are deemed to have a principal purpose of tax avoidance if they meet the five-year tax liability test or the net worth test, discussed above. Exceptions from this tax avoidance treatment apply in the same circumstances as those described above (relating to certain dual citizens and other individuals who submit a timely and complete ruling request with the IRS as to whether their expatriation or residency termination had a principal purpose of tax avoidance).

Other tax rules with respect to expatriates

The expatriation tax provisions permit a credit against the U.S. tax imposed under such provisions for any foreign income, gift, estate, or similar taxes paid with respect to the items subject to such taxation. This credit is available only against the tax imposed solely as a result of the expatriation tax provisions, and is not available to be used to offset any other U.S. tax liability.

In addition, certain information reporting requirements apply. Under these rules, a U.S. citizen who loses his or her citizenship is required to provide a statement to the State Department (or other designated government entity) that includes the individual's social security number,

forwarding foreign address, new country of residence and citizenship, a balance sheet in the case of individuals with a net worth of at least \$500,000, and such other information as the Secretary may prescribe. The information statement must be provided no later than the earliest day on which the individual (1) renounces the individual's U.S. nationality before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States, (2) furnishes to the U.S. Department of State a statement of voluntary relinquishment of U.S. nationality confirming an act of expatriation, (3) is issued a certificate of loss of U.S. nationality by the U.S. Department of State, or (4) loses U.S. nationality because the individual's certificate of naturalization is canceled by a U.S. court. The entity to which such statement is to be provided is required to provide to the Secretary of the Treasury copies of all statements received and the names of individuals who refuse to provide such statements. A long-term resident whose U.S. residency is terminated is required to attach a similar statement to his or her U.S. income tax return for the year of such termination. An individual's failure to provide the required statement results in the imposition of a penalty for each year the failure continues equal to the greater of (1) 5 percent of the individual's expatriation tax liability for such year, or (2) \$1,000.

The State Department is required to provide the Secretary of the Treasury with a copy of each certificate of loss of nationality approved by the State Department. Similarly, the agency administering the immigration laws is required to provide the Secretary of the Treasury with the name of each individual whose status as a lawful permanent resident has been revoked or has been determined to have been abandoned. Further, the Secretary of the Treasury is required to publish in the Federal Register the names of all former U.S. citizens with respect to whom it receives the required statements or whose names or certificates of loss of nationality it receives under the foregoing information-sharing provisions.

Immigration rules with respect to expatriates

Under U.S. immigration laws, any former U.S. citizen who officially renounces his or her U.S. citizenship and who is determined by the Attorney General to have renounced for the purpose of U.S. tax avoidance is ineligible to receive a U.S. visa and will be denied entry into the United States. This provision was included as an amendment (the "Reed amendment") to immigration legislation that was enacted in 1996.

Description of Proposal

In general

The proposal generally subjects certain U.S. citizens who relinquish their U.S. citizenship and certain long-term U.S. residents who terminate their U.S. residence to tax on the net unrealized gain in their property as if such property were sold for fair market value on the day before the expatriation or residency termination. Gain from the deemed sale is taken into account at that time without regard to other Code provisions; any loss from the deemed sale generally would be taken into account to the extent otherwise provided in the Code. Any net gain on the deemed sale is recognized to the extent it exceeds \$600,000 (\$1.2 million in the case of married individuals filing a joint return, both of whom relinquish citizenship or terminate residency). The \$600,000 amount is increased by a cost of living adjustment factor for calendar years after 2002.

Individuals covered

Under the proposal, the mark-to-market tax applies to U.S. citizens who relinquish citizenship and long-term residents who terminate U.S. residency. An individual is a long-term resident if he or she was a lawful permanent resident for at least eight out of the 15 taxable years ending with the year in which the termination of residency occurs. An individual is considered to terminate long-term residency when either the individual ceases to be a lawful permanent resident (i.e., loses his or her green card status), or the individual is treated as a resident of another country under a tax treaty and the individual does not waive the benefits of the treaty.

Exceptions from the mark-to-market tax are provided in two situations. The first exception applies to an individual who was born with citizenship both in the United States and in another country; provided that (1) as of the expatriation date the individual continues to be a citizen of, and is taxed as a resident of, such other country, and (2) the individual was not a resident of the United States for the five taxable years ending with the year of expatriation. The second exception applies to a U.S. citizen who relinquishes U.S. citizenship before reaching age 18 and a half, provided that the individual was a resident of the United States for no more than five taxable years before such relinquishment.

Election to be treated as a U.S. citizen

Under the proposal, an individual is permitted to make an irrevocable election to continue to be taxed as a U.S. citizen with respect to all property that otherwise is covered by the expatriation tax. This election is an "all or nothing" election; an individual is not permitted to elect this treatment for some property but not for other property. The election, if made, would apply to all property that would be subject to the expatriation tax and to any property the basis of which is determined by reference to such property. Under this election, the individual would continue to pay U.S. income taxes at the rates applicable to U.S. citizens following expatriation on any income generated by the property and on any gain realized on the disposition of the property, as well as any excise tax imposed with respect to the property. In addition, the property would continue to be subject to U.S. gift, estate, and generation-skipping transfer taxes. In order to make this election, the taxpayer would be required to waive any treaty rights that would preclude the collection of the tax. The individual also would be required to provide security to ensure payment of the tax under this election in such form, manner, and amount as the Secretary of the Treasury requires.

Date of relinquishment of citizenship

Under the proposal, an individual is treated as having relinquished U.S. citizenship on the earliest of four possible dates: (1) the date that the individual renounces U.S. nationality before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States (provided that the voluntary relinquishment is later confirmed by the issuance of a certificate of loss of nationality); (2) the date that the individual furnishes to the State Department a signed statement of voluntary relinquishment of U.S. nationality confirming the performance of an expatriating act (again, provided that the voluntary relinquishment is later confirmed by the issuance of a certificate of loss of nationality); (3) the date that the State Department issues a certificate of loss of nationality; or (4) the date that a U.S. court cancels a naturalized citizen's certificate of naturalization.

Deemed sale of property upon expatriation or residency termination

The deemed sale rule of the proposal generally applies to all property interests held by the individual on the date of relinquishment of citizenship or termination of residency. Special rules apply in the case of trust interests, as described below. U.S. real property interests, which remain subject to U.S. tax in the hands of nonresident noncitizens, generally are excepted from the proposal. An exception also applies for interests in qualified retirement plans so long as such interests are subject to tax upon distribution and, subject to a limit of \$500,000, interests in certain foreign pension plans as prescribed by regulations. Regulatory authority is granted to the Treasury to except other types of property from the proposal.

Deferral of payment of tax

Under the proposal, an individual is permitted to elect to defer payment of the mark-to-market tax imposed on the deemed sale of the property. Interest is charged for the period the tax is deferred at a rate two percentage points higher than the rate normally applicable to individual underpayments. Under this election, the mark-to-market tax attributable to a particular property is due when the property is disposed of (or, if the property is disposed of in whole or in part in a nonrecognition transaction, at such other time as the Secretary may prescribe). The mark-to-market tax attributable to a particular property is an amount which bears the same ratio to the total mark-to-market tax for the year as the gain taken into account with respect to such property bears to the total gain taken into account under these rules for the year. The deferral of the mark-to-market tax may not be extended beyond the individual's death.

In order to elect deferral of the mark-to-market tax, the individual is required to provide adequate security to the Treasury to ensure that the deferred tax and interest will be paid. Treasury may impose a lien in favor of the U.S. on any interest in U.S. situs real property owned by the individual or may require a bond in the amount of the deferred tax and interest in order to assure adequate security. Other security mechanisms are permitted provided that the individual establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary that the security is adequate. In the event that the security provided with respect to a particular property subsequently becomes inadequate and the individual fails to correct the situation, the deferred tax and the interest with respect to such property will become due. As a further condition to making the election, the individual is required to consent to the waiver of any treaty rights that would preclude the collection of the tax.

Interests in trusts

Under the proposal, detailed rules apply to trust interests held by an individual at the time of relinquishment of citizenship or termination of residency. The treatment of trust interests depends on whether the trust is a qualified trust. A trust is a qualified trust if a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust.

Nonqualified trusts.—If an individual holds an interest in a trust that is not a qualified trust, a special rule applies for purposes of determining the amount of the mark-to-market tax due with respect to such trust interest. The individual's interest in the trust is treated as a separate

trust consisting of the trust assets allocable to such interest. Such separate trust is treated as having sold its net assets as of the date of relinquishment of citizenship or termination of residency and having distributed the assets to the individual, who then is treated as having recontributed the assets to the trust. The individual is subject to the mark-to-market tax with respect to any net income or gain arising from the deemed distribution from the trust.

The election to defer payment is available for the mark-to-market tax attributable to a nonqualified trust interest. Interest is charged for the period the tax is deferred at a rate two percentage points higher than the rate normally applicable to individual underpayments. A beneficiary's interest in a nonqualified trust is determined under all the facts and circumstances, including the trust instrument, letters of wishes, and historical patterns of trust distributions.

Qualified trusts.—If an individual has an interest in a qualified trust, the amount of unrealized gain allocable to the individual's trust interest is calculated at the time of expatriation or residency termination. In determining this amount, all contingencies and discretionary interests are assumed to be resolved in the individual's favor (i.e., the individual is allocated the maximum amount that he or she could receive). The mark-to-market tax imposed on such gains is collected when the individual receives distributions from the trust, or if earlier, upon the individual's death. Interest is charged for the period the tax is deferred at a rate two percentage points higher than the rate normally applicable to individual underpayments.

If an individual has an interest in a qualified trust, the individual is subject to the mark-to-market tax upon the receipt of distributions from the trust. These distributions also may be subject to other U.S. income taxes. If a distribution from a qualified trust is made after the individual relinquishes citizenship or terminates residency, the mark-to-market tax is imposed in an amount equal to the amount of the distribution multiplied by the highest tax rate generally applicable to trusts and estates, but in no event will the tax imposed exceed the deferred tax amount with respect to the trust interest. For this purpose, the deferred tax amount is equal to (1) the tax calculated with respect to the unrealized gain allocable to the trust interest at the time of expatriation or residency termination, (2) increased by interest thereon, and (3) reduced by any mark-to-market tax imposed on prior trust distributions to the individual.

Mark-to-market taxes become due if the trust ceases to be a qualified trust, the individual disposes of his or her qualified trust interest, or the individual dies. In such cases, the amount of mark-to-market tax equals to the lesser of (1) the tax calculated under the rules for nonqualified trust interests as of the date of the triggering event, or (2) the deferred tax amount with respect to the trust interest as of that date.

The tax that is imposed on distributions from a qualified trust generally is deducted and withheld by the trustees. If the individual does not agree to waive treaty rights that would preclude collection of the tax, the tax with respect to such distributions is imposed on the trust, the trustee is personally liable for the tax, and any other beneficiary has a right of contribution against such individual with respect to the tax. Similar rules apply when the qualified trust interest is disposed of, the trust ceases to be a qualified trust, or the individual dies.

Coordination with present-law alternative tax regime

The proposal provides a coordination rule with the present-law alternative tax regime. Under the proposal, the expatriation income tax rules under section 877, and the expatriation estate and gift tax rules under sections 2107 and 2501(a)(3) (described above), do not apply to a former citizen or former long-term resident whose expatriation or residency termination occurs on or after the date of first passage by the Senate Finance Committee of this proposal.

Treatment of gifts and inheritances from a former citizen or former long-term resident

Under the proposal, the exclusion from income provided in section 102 (relating to exclusions from income for the value of property acquired by gift or inheritance) does not apply to the value of any property received by gift or inheritance from an individual who was subject to the mark-to-market expatriation tax (i.e., an individual who relinquished U.S. citizenship or terminated U.S. residency and to whom the mark-to-market tax was applicable). Accordingly, a U.S. taxpayer who receives a gift or inheritance from such an individual is required to include the value of such gift or inheritance in gross income and is subject to U.S. tax on such amount. Having included the value of the property in income, the recipient would then take a basis in the property equal to that value. The tax would not apply to property that is shown on a timely filed gift tax return and that is a taxable gift by the former citizen or former long-term resident, or property that is shown on a timely filed estate tax return and included in the gross U.S. estate of the former citizen or former long-term resident (regardless of whether the tax liability shown on such a return is reduced by credits, deductions, or exclusions available under the estate and gift tax rules). In addition, the tax would not apply to property in cases in which no estate or gift tax return is required to be filed, where credits, deductions, or exclusions available under the estate and gift tax rules eliminate any tax liability, provided that such credits, deductions, or exclusions also would have eliminated any tax liability if the expatriated individual had not relinquished citizenship or terminated U.S. residency. Applicable gifts or bequests that are made in trust are treated as made to the beneficiaries of the trust in proportion to their respective interests in the trust.

Information reporting

The proposal provides that certain information reporting requirements under present law (sec. 6039G) applicable to former citizens and former long-term residents also apply for purposes of the proposal.

Immigration rules

The proposal amends the immigration rules that deny tax-motivated expatriates reentry into the United States by removing the requirement that the expatriation be tax-motivated, and instead denying former citizens reentry into the United States if the individual is determined by the Attorney General, after consultation with the Treasury Secretary, not to be in compliance with his or her tax obligations under the proposal's expatriation tax provisions (regardless of the subjective motive for expatriating). For this purpose, the proposal generally permits, upon written request of the Attorney General, the returns of individuals or return information with respect to such individuals to be open to inspection by, or disclosure to, officers and employees

of the Federal agency responsible for making a determination under section 212(a)(10)(E) of the Immigration and Nationality Act. Such inspection or disclosure would not be permitted for purposes of section 6103(i)(6) (relating to matters that would identify a confidential informant or seriously impair a tax investigation).

Effective Date

The proposal generally is effective for U.S. citizens who relinquish citizenship or long-term residents who terminate their residency on or after the date of first passage by the Senate Finance Committee of this proposal. The provisions of the proposal relating to gifts and inheritances are effective for gifts and inheritances received from former citizens and former long-term residents on or after the date of first passage by the Senate Finance Committee of this proposal, whose expatriation or residency termination occurs on or after such date. The provisions of the proposal relating to former citizens under U.S. immigration laws are effective on or after the date of enactment.

B. Extension of IRS User Fees

Present Law

The IRS provides written responses to questions of individuals, corporations, and organizations relating to their tax status or the effects of particular transactions for tax purposes. The IRS generally charges a fee for requests for a letter ruling, determination letter, opinion letter, or other similar ruling or determination. Public Law 104-117⁹ extended the statutory authorization for these user fees¹⁰ through September 30, 2003.

Description of Proposal

The proposal would extend the statutory authorization for these user fees through September 30, 2012. The proposal would also move the statutory authorization for these fees into the Internal Revenue Code.

Effective Date

The proposal, including moving the statutory authorization for these fees into the Code and repealing the off-Code statutory authorization for these fees, would be effective for requests made after the date of enactment.

⁹ An Act to provide that members of the Armed Forces performing services for the peacekeeping efforts in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, and Macedonia shall be entitled to tax benefits in the same manner as if such services were performed in a combat zone, and for other purposes (March 20, 1996).

¹⁰ These user fees were originally enacted in section 10511 of the Revenue Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-203, December 22, 1987).

Committee on Finance -
ESTIMATED REVENUE EFFECTS OF A CHAIRMAN'S MODIFICATION TO
H.R. 5063, THE "ARMED FORCES TAX FAIRNESS ACT OF 2002"

Fiscal Years 2003 - 2012

(Millions of Dollars)

Provision	Effective	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2003-07	2003-12
1. Raise exclusion for death gratuity payments for the military.....	doa 9/10/01	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-5	-9
2. Provide military and foreign service homeowners tax relief from capital gains	sa DOE	-2	-14	-14	-15	-15	-16	-16	-18	-19	-21	-59	-149
3. Provide exclusion for amounts received under Department of Defense Homeowners Assistance Program.....	pma DOE	[1]	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-9	-19
4. Expand combat zone filing rules to contingency operations.....	[2]	-9	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-11	-14
5. Provide reservists with an above-the-line deduction allowance for travel expenses	apoll tyba 12/31/01	-83	-71	-73	-75	-76	-78	-80	-82	-84	-86	-377	-788
6. Extend section 501(c)(19) membership to lineal descendants and ancestors of past and present military personnel	tyba DOE	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-7	-16
7. Clarify the exclusion for employer-provided dependent care assistance for the military	tyba 12/31/01	5	102	85	80	74	71	67	61	57	54	346	656
8. Impose mark-to-market on individuals who expatriate.....	[3]	...	33	34	35	36	38	39	41	42	44	138	341
9. Extend IRS user fees (through 9/30/12) [4].....	elrma DOE	...	33	34	35	36	38	39	41	42	44	138	341
NET TOTAL		-91	46	28	21	14	9	4	-4	-10	-15	16	2

Joint Committee on Taxation

NOTE: Details may not add to totals due to rounding.

Legend for "Effective" column:

- apoll = amounts paid or incurred in
- doa = deaths occurring after
- DOE = date of enactment
- elrma = effective for requests made after

- pma = payments made after
- sa = sales after
- tyba = taxable years beginning after

[1] Loss of less than \$500,000.
 [2] The proposal applies to any period for performing an act that has not expired before the date of enactment.
 [3] Generally effective for U.S. citizens who relinquish citizenship or long-term residents who terminate their residency on or after the date of first Committee action by the Senate Finance Committee.
 [4] Estimate provided by Congressional Budget Office.

BINGAMAN AMENDMENT

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

Bingaman Amendment #1 to the "Armed Forces Tax Fairness Act"

Text of Amendment:

This amendment would allow civilians stationed or living in certified combat zones to be eligible for the same exemption from federal income taxation as allowed under Section 112.

Senator John Kerry

KERRY AMENDMENT #1 to H.R. 5063

Short Title of Amendment: To alter the tax treatment of single-sum deferred compensation payments received by survivors of terrorist attack victims.

Amendment: Under last year's terrorism relief bill, there was no relief for people who received a single-sum deferred compensation payment as a result of the attacks. As a result, families who had set money aside to be distributed annually after retirement found that the lump-sum payment was subject to a much higher marginal tax rate (since it was all received in one year, as opposed to spread out over many years). This amendment would add the text of S. 2824 to the Chairman's Mark. Under S. 2824, a lump-sum deferred compensation payment received as a result of the terrorist attacks would be taxed at the marginal tax rate that the family *would have been in* without the payment (i.e., the payment would not be tax-free, but it also would not be taxed at the highest marginal rate – unless the family would have been there anyway).

Revenue Cost: Not known, but should score very low due to the small number of families affected.