- 1 OPEN EXECUTIVE SESSION TO CONSIDER FAVORABLY REPORTING
- THE NOMINATIONS OF HENRY J. AARON, OF THE DISTRICT OF
- 3 COLUMBIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADVISORY
- 4 BOARD FOR A TERM EXPIRING SEPTEMBER 30, 2014, AND FOR A
- 5 TERM EXPIRING SEPTEMBER 30, 2020 (REAPPOINTMENT); LANHEE
- J. CHEN, OF CALIFORNIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE SOCIAL
- 7 SECURITY ADVISORY BOARD FOR A TERM EXPIRING SEPTEMBER 30,
- 8 2018; AND ALAN L. COHEN, OF VIRGINIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF
- 9 THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADVISORY BOARD FOR A TERM EXPIRING
- 10 SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
- 11 WEDNESDAY, JUNE 18, 2014
- 12 U.S. Senate,
- 13 Committee on Finance,
- Washington, DC.
- The meeting was convened, pursuant to notice, at
- 16 10:10 a.m., in room SD-215, Dirksen Senate Office
- Building, Hon. Ron Wyden (chairman of the committee)
- 18 presiding.
- 19 Present: Senators Cantwell, Cardin, Casey, Hatch,
- and Crapo.
- 21 Also present: Democratic Staff: Joshua Sheinkman,
- 22 Staff Director; Jocelyn Moore, Deputy Staff Director;
- 23 Michael Evans, General Counsel; Tom Klouda, Senior
- 24 Domestic Policy Advisor; and Anderson Heiman,
- 25 International Competitiveness and Innovation Advisor.

- 1 Republican Staff: Chris Campbell, Staff Director; Jeff
- 2 Wrase, Chief Economist; and Nick Wyatt, Tax and
- 3 Nominations Professional Staff Member. Non-Designated
- 4 Staff: Josh LeVasseur, Chief Clerk and Historian; and
- 5 Bryan Palmer, Deputy Clerk.

1 OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. RON WYDEN, A U.S. SENATOR FROM 2 OREGON, CHAIRMAN, COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 3 The committee will come to order. 4 The Chairman. Today the committee is slated to vote on three 5 6 nominees to the Social Security Advisory Board: Drs. 7 Henry Aaron, Lanhee Chen, and Alan Cohen. The board is 8 responsible for advising the President and the Congress on Social Security policy and regularly produces top-9 10 notch bipartisan reports to enhance economic security for 11 individuals, maintain the solvency of Social Security 12 programs, and improve service for the older people, the beneficiaries. 13 14 The board plays an important role in keeping the 15 iron-clad promise of Social Security, advising the Congress and the public about the state of the program, 16 17 and offering potential improvements. Policymakers need 18 to hear from the finest minds on Social Security policy 19 in order to make informed judgments about the program's 20 future. When it comes to supporting seniors and 21 families, anything less would be unacceptable. 22 Even with the key role the board plays in the Social 23 Security policy process, it has not had a full seven members since September of 2008. This is simply 24 25 unacceptable given the numerous challenges facing the

program today and into the future. It is time to get the 1 2 board back to full strength. 3 The three nominees whom the committee will vote on today have excellent credentials and, if confirmed, would 4 5 bring an impressive and diverse set of perspectives to 6 the board. The first is Dr. Henry Aaron. Dr. Aaron has 7 had an illustrious career in both the public sector and 8 academia, including over 45 years with The Brookings Institution. Dr. Aaron is one of the country's foremost 9 experts on Social Security, and his many publications and 10 11 contributions to the program make him an invaluable 12 asset. Next is Dr. Lanhee Chen. Dr. Chen has a stellar 13 14 academic record and has made valuable contributions in a number of policy areas, including Social Security. 15 confirmed, he would bring a distinct and valuable 16 17 perspective to the board. 18 Finally, we have Dr. Alan Cohen. Dr. Cohen has had 19 a long and prestigious career in public service, 20 including--and I think all members are aware of this--he spent a full decade with us here on the Finance 21 22 Committee. His knowledge of budgetary matters and Social 23 Security policy is unmatched, and his wide range of 24 experiences in both the Congress and the Federal

Government give him a level of expertise and insight the

| Τ  | board is surely going to benefit from.                  |
|----|---|
| 2  | All of the nominees are ready and able to take on       |
| 3  | this task. I hope that the committee will give them a   |
| 4  | bipartisan and strong vote of support today.            |
| 5  | Let me now recognize Senator Hatch to make his          |
| 6  | opening statement, and then I will recognize colleagues |
| 7  | as well.  |
| 8  | Senator Hatch?  |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |

| Τ  | OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. ORRIN G. HATCH, A U.S. SENATOR |
|----|--|
| 2  | FROM UTAH  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | Senator Hatch. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I          |
| 5  | appreciate your holding today's session to consider      |
| 6  | nominations for the Social Security Advisory Board.      |
| 7  | The Advisory Board is intended to be a bipartisan        |
| 8  | board to advise Congress, the President, and the         |
| 9  | Commissioner on Social Security, on policies related to  |
| 10 | Social Security's Old Age, Survivors, and Disability     |
| 11 | Insurance Programs, as well as the Supplemental Security |
| 12 | Income program.  |
| 13 | The charge to the board is essentially to analyze,       |
| 14 | study, and make recommendations related to these         |
| 15 | programs. The board has tried to focus on analysis of    |
| 16 | economic trade-offs and examination of the activities    |
| 17 | taking place within those programs. The work of the      |
| 18 | board can involve economics as well as actuarial science |
| 19 | For the most part, the board has worked toward           |
| 20 | arriving at bipartisan analysis and recommendations and  |
| 21 | using positive economic analysis rather than normative   |
| 22 | economic analysis.                                       |
| 23 | In my assessment, the quickest way for support in        |
| 24 | Congress for the Advisory Board to erode is for it to    |
| 25 | slip into partisan battles and to make recommendations   |

that rely on political views of board members rather than
positive economic and actuarial analysis.

The board's chair sets the tone of the Advisory
Board's agenda and the basic analysis that the board
decides to do. If we have too much partisanship without
adequate allowance for diverse but reasoned views, it
would be a threat to the relevance and continuation of
the board's activities.

There are, of course, differences in the way reasonable people assess trade-offs that we face when considering something as complex and large as the Social Security system and the Supplemental Security Income program.

The way to resolve recent differences is not to merely argue that the other side is wrong because they do not agree with certain assumptions or policy positions; rather, the way to resolve differences is to weigh the facts and present evidence-based trade-offs that are relevant so that Congress and others can make informed decisions.

I have expressed these concerns before, particularly in reference to one of the nominees we will be considering today. I will not say much on that matter now, only that my previous comments and concerns still hold, and I will be voting accordingly.

Whatever is ultimately the composition of the full 1 2 Social Security Advisory Board, I hope that all present 3 and future members of the board are clear about their mission and that they put bipartisan consensus at the top 5 of their list of priorities. 6 I hope that the board does not dissolve into a 7 partisan background, and I hope that the board does not 8 spend undue energy in attempts to lobby Congress on our program funding decisions or on legislative priorities 9 10 that do not represent the consensus of the entire board. 11 Once again, Mr. Chairman, I am grateful to you for 12 holding today's session, and it is always a pleasure to work with you. 13 14 Thank you, Senator Hatch. I, as you The Chairman. 15 know, very much share your desire to have the board work in a bipartisan way. One of the reasons that I feel 16 17 strongly about these three nominees is that I think they 18 are committed to that. 19 So let me at this point see if there are other 20 Senators who would like to speak, either Senator Cardin 21 or Senator Crapo? Senator Cardin, no remarks? 22 Well, Mr. Chairman, let me just Senator Cardin. agree with both your comments and Senator Hatch's 23 24 comments. I know Senator Hatch's view on one of the 25 nominees. We disagree on that. I have known Henry Aaron

for a long time and know him to be a person of incredible 1 2 integrity, a person who will accomplish the goals that 3 Senator Hatch outlined as necessary to reach the type of objective information that we need to make non-partisan 5 decisions on the future of Social Security. I have the utmost confidence in him. I take this 6 7 time because, as you know, Dr. Aaron is a resident of the 8 District of Columbia. Since they do not have a Senator who represents them in this body, the Maryland Senators 9 10 at times take an interest in the nominees from the District. I think that he is, and will continue to be, 11 12 an incredible resource for our country. 13 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator Cardin. I share 14 your view with respect to Dr. Aaron. We are going to be 15 consulting with him on a variety of matters. As you know, we have a bipartisan piece of legislation dealing 16 with chronic disease which I think is really the future 17 18 of the Medicare program. He has expertise on Medicare in 19 addition to Social Security, so I very much share your 20 views. Senator Hatch? 21 22 Senator Hatch. I appreciate your comments, and I 23 hope you are right. Nothing would make me more pleased 24 than if you are right, and you usually are, at least that

has been my experience. As out of whack as this body is

| Τ   | from time to time, you are a voice of reason. Let me      |
|-----|---|
| 2   | just say this: I have heard other things, and I will be   |
| 3   | the first to praise when the time comes.                  |
| 4   | The Chairman. Thank you, Senator Hatch.                   |
| 5   | Senator Crapo, is there anything you would like to        |
| 6   | add? You are not required to. All right.                  |
| 7   | Given colleagues Senator Casey has just joined            |
| 8   | us. It is evident that we do not have a quorum, so I will |
| 9   | have to deal with some procedural matters.                |
| LO  | Senator Casey, would you like to make any comments        |
| L1  | with respect to the three individuals today? You are not  |
| L2  | required to do so.  |
| L3  | Senator Casey. I am hoping for a quorum.                  |
| L 4 | The Chairman. Sure you are. Colleagues, given the         |
| L5  | absence of a quorum, we are going to stand in recess,     |
| L 6 | subject to the call of the chair. It is my intent to      |
| L7  | consult with Senator Hatch in order to ensure that we can |
| L8  | schedule the votes off the Senate floor in a manner that  |
| L 9 | is fair to both the majority and the minority.            |
| 20  | With that, the committee stands in recess.                |
| 21  | [Whereupon, at 10:18 a.m., the meeting was                |
| 22  | recessed.]  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  |   |

## INDEX

|   | PAGE |
|---|------|
| STATEMENT OF:   |      |
| THE HONORABLE RON WYDEN A United States Senator from the State of Oregon          | 3    |
| THE HONORABLE ORRIN G. HATCH<br>A United States Senator<br>from the State of Utah | 6    |