# Streamlined Sales Tax Governing Board, Inc.

# Written Testimony of Craig Johnson, Executive Director

On Behalf of the

**Streamlined Sales Tax Governing Board** 

**Before** 

The United States Senate Finance
Subcommittee on Fiscal Responsibility
and Economic Growth

**Hearing on** 

"Providing Small Business Relief from Remote Sales Tax Collection"

**September 25, 2024** 

Chair Hassan, Senator Wyden and Senator Grassley, thank you for the opportunity to submit this testimony on behalf of the Streamlined Sales Tax Governing Board (SSTGB) regarding what the SSTGB has done to help remote sellers of all sizes comply with their remote sales tax collection responsibilities as a result of the South Dakota v. Wayfair decision. My testimony will focus on what the Streamlined Sales Tax member states (SST States) have done to make sales tax administration simpler and more uniform and what we offer to make it easier for all businesses, regardless of size, to calculate, collect and remit the appropriate sales or use tax in our member states. As a result of our work, nearly 30,000 sellers have voluntarily come forward and registered through the SST registration system to collect and remit the sales or use tax in one or more of the SST States.

## Introduction and Background

My testimony covers both the work already completed by the SSTGB, which is comprised of 24 member states, and the issues we are continuing to work on with the business community to provide additional clarity and certainty. Although we were very pleased the United States Supreme Court recognized the work of the SST States in removing undue burdens on interstate commerce in the *Wayfair* decision in 2018, we have continued to work with the business community to address issues they identify as they implement their remote sales tax collection requirements.

I want to start by sharing with you some key observations regarding the SSTGB:

- SST represents a long-term and successful collaboration between the states, local governments, and the business community, including both small and large businesses.
- SST recognizes that simplification and uniformity are important for <u>both</u> brick-and-mortar and remote sellers.
- SST States have simplified and modernized their sales tax systems through conformity with the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement (SSUTA).
- The changes SST States were required to adopt to join the SSTGB were significant and a direct result of the collaboration between the states, the local governments and the business community.
- SSTGB developed and continues to support the certified service provider (CSP) model to substantially reduce compliance burdens for remote sellers.
- The United States Supreme Court specifically pointed out many of the simplification and uniformity provisions implemented by South Dakota (and the other SST States) that are designed to remove the undue burdens on interstate commerce in its *South Dakota v. Wayfair* decision.
- The SST States have implemented the *Wayfair* decision in a fair and reasonable manner consistent with the rationale behind the decision.

- Since the *Wayfair* decision in 2018, the SST States have worked with the business community to develop numerous Tax Administration Practices (Disclosed Practices) so the member states could provide clear answers to remote seller's questions regarding their remote sales tax collection requirements and expectations. SST States continue to develop additional Disclosed Practices when the business community identifies a need.
- While the landscape continues to evolve with new technologies and products emerging, the current system implemented in the SST States is working.
- The SST States remain committed to working with each other, the local governments and the business community to continue to develop additional simplification and uniformity provisions.

Beginning in 1999, the group of states that eventually became the Streamlined Sales Tax Governing Board (SSTGB), local government authorities and numerous members of the business community showed that they could work collaboratively and devoted countless hours in developing a program that addresses the concerns identified in the U.S. Supreme Court's *National Bellas Hess v. Department of Revenue of Illinois*, 386 U.S. 753 (1967) and *Quill v. North Dakota*, 504 U.S. 298 (1992) decisions. The *Quill* decision required a retailer to have a physical presence in a state to create "substantial nexus" before that state could require them to collect its sales or use tax.

The result of these efforts was the <u>Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement</u> (SSUTA). The SSUTA represents a blueprint for all states to follow to simplify and modernize the administration of their sales and use taxes and in the process to substantially reduce the burden of tax compliance. The SSTGB is the body that administers the SSUTA.

After the SSUTA became effective on October 1, 2005, the SST States and others pursued a dual strategy to obtain remote seller collection authority either through (a) federal legislation requiring the adoption of certain minimum simplifications or (b) the reversal of the physical presence requirement contained in the *Quill* decision through litigation. The SST States believed that the simplification and uniformity provisions each state had enacted to join the SSTGB had removed the undue burdens referenced in the *Quill* decision. Federal legislation was not enacted, but the states were successful in reversing the physical presence requirement contained in the *Quill* decision.

In 2016, South Dakota, an SST State, enacted legislation to require remote sellers (sellers without a physical presence in South Dakota) who engaged in 200 or more transactions or had \$100,000 or more in gross revenue in the state in a calendar year, to collect and remit the applicable sales or use taxes in South Dakota. State leaders

in South Dakota recognized that this was contrary to the *Quill* decision, but also recognized the state had taken significant steps to address tax compliance burdens in a landscape substantially changed since the *Quill* decision. The matter quickly proceeded to litigation in the case of *South Dakota v. Wayfair, et. al.* 

On June 21, 2018, the United States Supreme Court decided South Dakota v. Wayfair, et. al., and in the process removed Quill's physical presence requirement. However, the Court clearly indicated that some other principle in the Commerce Clause might still invalidate the South Dakota law at issue. The "other principle" to which the Court was referring was whether South Dakota's law discriminated against or imposed an undue burden on interstate commerce. The case was remanded to South Dakota to address that issue and eventually settled in South Dakota's favor.

Although the Supreme Court was not compelled to say anything further about the undue burden issue in its opinion since that was not the question before the Court, the justices took the liberty to explain the features of South Dakota's laws which it indicated "...appear designed to prevent discrimination against or undue burdens upon interstate commerce..." The features identified by the Court were that (1) there was a safe harbor to protect businesses with only limited activity in South Dakota; (2) the law could not be applied retroactively; and, (3) South Dakota had adopted the SSUTA. The Court also identified several of the requirements contained in the SSUTA and which SST States must follow to join the SSTGB. It specifically listed the following requirements:

- Standardize taxes to reduce administrative and compliance costs;
- Provide a single, state level administration;
- Provide uniform definitions of products and services;
- Provide a simplified tax rate structure;
- Other uniform rules;
- Provide sellers access to sales tax administration software paid for by the state; and,
- Provide immunity for sellers who choose to use such software from audit liability.

After the *Wayfair* decision was issued, the other SST States subsequently followed South Dakota's lead and enacted similar legislation in their respective states to require remote sellers that exceed certain thresholds to collect and remit their sales or use tax.

Since the *Wayfair* decision in 2018, the SST States have been implementing their remote sales tax collection requirements in a fair and equitable manner. They recognize that the *Wayfair* decision brought about significant changes for remote sellers and have been working with remote sellers nationwide to become compliant with the new collection and remittance obligations. The SST States also have continued to develop various tools to assist remote sellers in complying with the new collection and reporting obligations.

## Why Did States and Businesses Undertake this Project?

In the late 1990s, the National Governor's Association and the National Conference of State Legislatures began meeting with the business community to identify the administrative burdens related to sales tax calculation, collection, and remittance and to find ways to reduce or eliminate those burdens in a manner that was acceptable to both the states and the business community. It was through this cooperative effort between the state legislators, state tax administrators, local government representatives, members of the business community, accountants and attorneys that the SSUTA was originally developed and continues to operate and evolve today.

There are four primary reasons the states, local governments and the business community came together to develop the SSUTA.

- Based on the *Quill* decision, states recognized that unless something changed, they would not be able to require sellers who did not have a physical presence in their state to collect and remit their state and local sales taxes.
- The business community recognized that compliance with the differing sales tax laws of the states was extremely complex and burdensome.
- Both the states and the business community recognized that local merchants (i.e., brick-and-mortar retailers) suffered from the lack of a level playing field. Local merchants were required to collect and remit sales tax, but their remote seller competitors operating in the same market were not effectively giving remote sellers a 5 10% price advantage strictly due to sales tax collection requirements.
- States recognized the significant growth in remote commerce (mail order, telephone order, online ordering, etc.) and the loss of tax revenue due to the inability to efficiently and effectively administer the sales and use tax with consumers in a fair and equitable manner.

For this Project to be successful, state and local governments needed to be willing to make changes and the business community needed to trust the states and provide details on what made the existing system so burdensome and why.

Businesses, particularly multistate businesses, identified numerous challenges and burdens they faced when trying to comply with the sales and use tax requirements in multiple states. Those challenges and burdens included the separate administration of the state and local taxes within a state, differing tax bases between the state and local jurisdictions both within and between the states, the multitude of rates and each frequency of rate changes within state and locality, definitions/interpretations of the same term among the states, separate registration requirements, unique returns that require varying amounts of detailed information amongst the states, and being held liable for tax when a purchaser lies or provides incorrect information when claiming an exemption. These items have all been addressed in the SSUTA and implemented in the SST States.

#### Who is Involved in SST?

## 1. State Membership

Forty-four states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico have participated in the development of the SSUTA over the years.

The SSTGB is currently comprised of twenty-four states — which is over half the states in the United States that have a sales or use tax. Twenty-two of these states are full members of the SSTGB and are in substantial compliance with each of the simplification and uniformity provisions contained in the SSUTA. One full member state has been found to not be in compliance with all of the requirements contained in the SSUTA and has had sanctions imposed on them which are designed to encourage the state to get back into substantial compliance with the SSUTA. Another state has achieved substantial compliance with several of the key parts of the SSUTA, but not substantial compliance with the SSUTA as a whole, and therefore is an associate member state. Collectively, these states are referred to as the SST States.

In addition, twenty other states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico have participated in the SSTGB as non-voting advisor states over the years. Advisor states serve in an ex officio capacity and although they do not have a vote, they may speak to any issue presented to the SSTGB. Input from all states, whether members of the SSTGB or not, is encouraged as the SSTGB considers various issues.

#### 2. <u>Local Government Participation</u>

Local governments participate with the SSTGB and provide input through the Local Government Advisory Council. The local government organizations represented include the U.S. Conference of Mayors, the National League of Cities, the National Association of Counties, and the Government Finance Officers Association. Input from local governmental organizations is very important. Successful implementation of the SSUTA also requires cooperation between the state and local units of government since several of the requirements contained in the SSUTA, such as implementation dates for rate and boundary changes, uniform state and local tax bases and central administration of the taxes all affect how local sales and use taxes are imposed and administered.

# 3. <u>Business Participation</u>

The SSTGB is advised by members of the business community primarily through the Business Advisory Council (BAC), although individual businesses and associations also provide input. SSTGB meetings are open to the public and businesses are encouraged to participate to share ideas, concerns and recommended solutions to the issues they face. The business community was instrumental in identifying and helping the states better understand the complexities retailers faced related to sales tax collection obligations, particularly when operating in multiple states. They also assisted greatly in developing solutions to overcome these complexities. The business community continues to play an extremely important role in the organization by identifying new issues as they arise, educating the SSTGB about these issues and providing valuable input when the SSTGB considers adopting solutions to help ensure those solutions can be administered efficiently by the business community. The SSTGB truly values the partnership they have developed with the business community.

#### SST Goals and Key Features of the SSUTA

The states participating in SST took to heart the concerns and burdens identified by the business community and moved forward in working with them to develop solutions to these issues, keeping four main principles in mind. Those same principles exist today and are:

- Develop a simpler system to administer state and local taxes. SST States recognize that the simpler they make their sales tax systems, the greater the likelihood of voluntary compliance.
- If something cannot be made simpler, at least make it uniform. Uniformity in and of itself is a form of simplification. States recognize that working together to implement simplification and uniformity provisions makes it much easier

for businesses to efficiently comply with the sales taxes systems throughout the country.

- Balance state sovereignty with simplification and uniformity. The rights provided to the States under the Tenth Amendment are extremely important and must be respected. However, the States also recognize that under the Commerce Clause, Congress has the ability to regulate interstate commerce. SST States have shown that simplification and uniformity can be achieved through voluntary compromise without really interfering with state sovereignty.
- Use technology to ease the retailer's tax calculation and reporting responsibilities. The speed at which technology is evolving in the sales tax compliance world for all sizes and types of businesses is incredible, and SST States are leveraging those advancements to make compliance simpler for all sellers regardless of whether they are remote or brick-and-mortar sellers.

The discussions amongst the states, local governments and the business community that led to the development of the SSUTA that took place over the course of several years and have overall really stood the test of time and continue to represent a solid blueprint of how states can make sales tax compliance simpler and more uniform for all types of sellers.

The key simplification and uniformity features contained in the SSUTA are as follows:

#### 1. State Level Administration of Local Sales and Use Taxes

Most states have local jurisdictions that impose a sales or use tax. Under the SSUTA, a single entity, which is usually the state's Department of Revenue, must be responsible for the overall administration of both the state and local sales and use taxes covered by the SSUTA in that state. This means a seller is only required to register, file returns with and remit the sales tax collected to the state level authority. In addition, if an audit is going to be conducted, the state level authority does it.

#### 2. Uniform State and Local Tax Bases Within a State

The SSUTA requires, with limited exceptions, that the tax base upon which state and local taxes are imposed within a state be identical. Prior to SSUTA, some local jurisdictions imposed a tax on products that were not subject to the state sales tax or exempted products that were subject to the state sales tax. Now, with limited exceptions, if a product is taxable at the state level, it also is taxable at the local level and if it is exempt at the state level it also is exempt at the local level.

#### 3. <u>Uniform Destination-based Sourcing Rules for Goods and Services</u>

Sourcing rules determine which state and/or local jurisdiction has the authority to impose its sales or use tax on a transaction – and also are a strong safeguard against multiple states and/or local jurisdictions imposing their tax on the same transaction.

Under the SSUTA, sellers calculate the sales tax due on a transaction, using the uniform destination-based sourcing rules. The "destination" is generally the location where the purchaser physically receives the product. The SSUTA contains a hierarchy for sellers to follow and includes rules to follow for those transactions where the destination may not be known, such as in the case of products transferred electronically. In those cases, addresses in the seller's books and records or addresses associated with the purchaser's payment instrument can be used to source the transaction.

# 4. One-stop Online Central Registration System

All SST States are required to participate in the Streamlined Sales Tax Registration System (SSTRS). Using the SSTRS, a seller can register for sales tax collection purposes in one or more of the SST States by completing one simple online application that requires very limited information and there is no fee to complete. If a state needs additional information, that state must contact the seller to specifically request the information. This eliminates the need for a seller to review every state's application and determine what information each state requires. Sellers also can update their registration information and, if necessary, unregister for any of the SST States using this same system.

#### 5. <u>Uniform Definitions</u>

One of the most fundamental components of simplifying sales tax collection requirements throughout the United States is the use of uniform definitions. Uniform definitions make it much easier for sellers to determine the taxability of individual products in the SST States. When developing the SSUTA, the business community stressed (and continues to stress), the need for the definitions to be uniform and clear and contain bright-line tests to eliminate any subjectivity or varying interpretations where possible. The states and business community worked together to identify the terms in which uniform definitions were needed and would be the most helpful in removing difficulties. Additional uniform definitions continue to be developed as new products and technologies emerge.

Although the SST States must follow these uniform definitions, the Legislature in each state maintains its sovereignty and is responsible for determining if the state is going to tax or exempt the products contained within those definitions.

#### 6. <u>Taxability Matrix – Library of Definitions</u>

Transparency and providing free and reliable guidance to sellers upon which they may rely are of utmost importance to the SSTGB. One of the requirements imposed on every SST State is that they complete (and keep current) the <u>Taxability Matrix</u>: <u>Library of Definitions</u> for their state. The Taxability Matrix is a document that contains a list of all the uniformly defined terms included in the SSUTA.

Every SST State is required to indicate whether each item listed on the matrix is included or excluded from the sales price of a product or if the product itself is taxable or exempt. Sellers are relieved of liability if they charge and collect the incorrect amount of sales tax if they relied on erroneous data provided by an SST State on a state's Taxability Matrix. The SSTGB publishes all the SST State's Taxability Matrices on its website for public consumption so sellers can find the answers to their questions for any of the SST States all in one place.

#### 7. Taxability Matrix – Tax Administration Practices

In addition to the Taxability Matrix – Library of Definitions, the SST States and the business community have worked together very closely to develop numerous <u>Tax Administration Practices</u> (<u>Disclosed Practices</u>) to which each SST State must respond. These Disclosed Practices provide answers from each of the states to various questions the business community has related to a variety of sales tax issues, including topics such as credits for taxes paid to other states, voluntary disclosure programs, classifications of medical products and most recently since the *Wayfair* decision - remote sellers, marketplace sellers and marketplace facilitators and exemptions.

With respect to the Disclosed Practices for remote sellers, marketplace sellers and marketplace facilitators, the business community identified a significant number of questions that when answered by the states would help these groups better understand the state's laws and expectations related to remote sales into their states.

Through the "query" function the SSTGB built into the Taxability Matrices, a seller can easily find answers to many of the questions they may have related to one or more state's remote seller collection requirements.

#### 8. Simplified Rate Structure and Rate and Boundary Databases

The large number of local taxing jurisdictions and varying tax rates on different types of products were identified as concerns of the business community early on in the development of the SSUTA. It was recognized that technology can address these

issues if certain safeguards are put in place. The SSUTA contains various requirements SST States must follow related to state and local tax rates to make it easier for sellers to comply with their calculation and collection responsibilities. Those requirements include limiting each state to a single rate (exception allowed for food and drugs), limiting the frequency of local rate and boundary changes, requiring adequate notice of those changes, requiring states to provide and maintain rate and jurisdiction databases in a uniform downloadable format and providing liability relief to sellers who rely on the information contained in the databases.

Many states also have developed free online sales tax look-up applications for sellers to use to determine the proper sales tax rate(s) and jurisdiction(s) to charge their customers in their respective states. The SSTGB has started a project to develop a single look-up application that can be used to find the applicable state (and local) rates in all of our member states.

# 9. Simplified Exemption Administration

Under the SSUTA, if a remote seller obtains a fully completed exemption certificate (or the required data elements in an electronic format) at the time of the sale (or within 90 days after the date of the sale), a seller will not be held liable for the tax, unless the seller fraudulently failed to collect the tax or solicited the purchaser to claim an unlawful exemption. As a result, sellers are not put in the challenging position of having to determine whether a purchaser's claims of exemption are valid in the SST States.

The SST States developed a <u>uniform multistate exemption certificate</u> that is accepted in any of the SST States. This prevents sellers from having to obtain state-specific exemption certificates. Sellers also have the option of just gathering the required data elements electronically in lieu of maintaining the paper exemption certificates.

#### 10. Uniform Simplified Electronic Return

Under the SSUTA, SST States can only require a single return for each reporting period and the return must cover all the local taxing jurisdictions within that state that are covered by the SSUTA.

The SST States developed a uniform Simplified Electronic Return (SER) that states are required to allow any seller, whether registered through the SSTRS or not, to file.

#### 11. Certified Service Provider (CSP) Program

The certified service provider (CSP) program provides every seller the opportunity to outsource nearly all of their sales tax compliance responsibilities through a package of software and services. Under the <u>contracts</u> the SSTGB has with the CSPs and which have been extended through December 31, 2026, each CSP agrees to provide the software and services necessary to:

- Set-up and integrate the CSP's certified automated system (CAS) with the seller's system;
- Calculate the amount of state (and local, if applicable) tax due on a transaction at the time of the sale;
- Generate and file the required sales and use tax returns with each of the SST States;
- Make the necessary remittances to each of the SST States;
- Respond to and provide supporting documentation with respect to any notices from or audits by the SST States; and,
- Protect the privacy of the tax information it obtains.

The CSP's systems are tested at least quarterly by the SST States to ensure their systems are operating properly. In addition, as the CSPs add new product categories to their systems, each of the SST States reviews those product categories to confirm the CSP has the proper tax treatment noted to each of them.

Sellers receive several benefits by utilizing a CSP. For those SST States in which the seller qualifies as a "CSP-compensated seller" (i.e., generally no physical presence in the state), the states will compensate the CSP to provide these CSP services. CSP-compensated sellers include any remote seller that is required to collect and remit sales tax in an SST State solely because they exceed that state's economic nexus thresholds (i.e., those sellers required to collect a state's tax solely due to the *Wayfair* decision).

Sellers utilizing a CSP can be confident that if they provide complete and accurate information to their CSP, the tax treatment of the transactions processed by the CSPs will be correct in the SST States – or be relieved of liability if it is not correct. Sellers utilizing a CSP are only required to make a single automated payment to the CSP that covers all the sales taxes owed in the SST States for each reporting period. The CSP is responsible for filing the corresponding returns and distributing from the single payment the necessary remittances to each of the individual states.

Finally, if the seller has provided complete and accurate information to the CSP, the CSP is responsible for any audits conducted by the SST States. If the CSP's system fails to calculate the proper tax due on a transaction, presuming the seller provided complete and accurate information to the CSP, the CSP is the one held liable for the tax on that transaction – not the remote seller.

The CSP program has been successfully operating for over 18 years and is one of the key programs developed and implemented by the SSTGB and our CSP partners to assist sellers and remove the "undue burdens" with which the SCOTUS was concerned in the *Quill* decision and referred to in the *Wayfair* decision.

In 2023, the CSPs successfully processed hundreds of millions of transactions and filed hundreds of thousands of returns on behalf of CSP-compensated sellers in the SST States. The SST States, not the sellers, compensated the CSPs for processing these transactions and remitting the taxes due by allowing the CSPs to retain a percentage of the tax collected and remitted on behalf of these sellers. Sellers only paid the CSPs for: (i) those additional services they wanted that were beyond the scope of the contract the SSTGB has with the CSP; (ii) the services of the CSPs in those states in which the seller did not qualify as a CSP-compensated seller (generally states in which the seller had a physical presence); and, (iii) the non-SST states, other than Pennsylvania.

# 12. Other Simplification and Uniformity Provisions

There are numerous other simplification and uniformity provisions contained in the SSUTA related to sales tax holidays, uniform rounding rules, caps and thresholds, direct pay permits, digital goods, customer refund procedures and uniform rules for recovery of bad debts. The SST States continue to encourage businesses with specific concerns to share that information with the SSTGB along with their ideas or suggestions on how the concern may be addressed uniformly by the SST States – as we continue to look for ways to further simplify sales tax administration in the SST States.

#### 13. Option for Nonmember State Participation in the SST

Working with the business community, the SSTGB identified some of the key simplification and uniformity provisions that help remove burdens on remote sellers and developed an option for nonmember states to participate in the SSTGB if they are willing to enact certain limited requirements. The requirements include participating in the central registration system; developing and posting the rate and jurisdiction databases; completing the taxability matrices and noting any differences between their laws and the SSUTA definitions; participating in the certification of the CSP's systems and the contract the SSTGB has with the CSPs; and, providing liability relief to sellers and CSPs for relying on erroneous information that may be contained in the taxability matrices or rate and jurisdictions databases provided by the state.

A summary of what is required to participate as a nonmember state is contained in the write-up titled "Nonmember State Participation in Streamlined." The SSTGB also prepared a model act to make it simpler for nonmember states to participate.

## Success of the Streamlined Sales Tax Governing Board

When SST began, the participating states believed that if they made the calculation, collection and reporting of the sales tax in their state simple and uniform, sellers would voluntarily come forward and register to begin collecting and remitting their taxes – even though they may have no legal requirement to do so.

Sellers first began registering with SST in 2005 and by June 1, 2018 (just prior to the *Wayfair* decision), over 3,800 retailers had voluntarily come forward and were collecting and remitting the applicable state and local taxes in every one of the SST member states, regardless of any physical presence. Since the *Wayfair* decision was issued in 2018, nearly 26,000 additional retailers (over 29,800 retailers in total) have come forward to collect and remit the tax in one or more of the SST States. These retailers have successfully collected and remitted billions of dollars in sales tax in the SST States – with many of them choosing to do it on their own and not participating in the CSP program, even though it is available to them. Since the *Wayfair* decision, SST has realized an increase in the net number of active sellers registered through the SSTRS at an average rate of 200 – 400 sellers per month.

It is important to note that SST's success is about more than just the tax dollars being collected. It is about making the overall sales tax system simpler and more uniform throughout the country, so it is easier to administer from both the state and business perspectives. It is also about providing adequate guidance to remote sellers so they can more easily comply with each state's laws and offering remote sellers an option through the CSP program to outsource their sales tax compliance obligations so they can focus on growing their business rather than becoming sales tax experts for every state to which their sales are sourced. Since the Wayfair decision, the SST States and SSTGB have put together several pieces of information to make sellers aware of possible sales tax collection and reporting requirements in those states in which they are making remote sales. This includes FAQs related to the Wayfair decision and a chart outlining all the states' (not just the SST States) remote seller compliance dates, thresholds and links to guidance each of the states has issued. SST also developed charts that outline the various collection and reporting requirements for Marketplace Sellers and Marketplace Facilitators. More information can be found by the public on the SSTGB website at: streamlinedsalestax.org.

#### Conclusion

The SST States want sellers to be successful and are committed to making their sales tax systems simpler and more uniform so that it is easier for businesses to comply with their collection and remittance obligations. There is no question that the simplification and uniformity provisions enacted by the SST States make this process much easier for sellers.

Based on a survey conducted in 2021 of all sellers registered through the SSTRS, numerous comments were received from these sellers indicating the simplification and uniformity provisions enacted in the SST States makes complying with their sales tax collection and reporting obligations easier. We continue to receive similar comments today.

My staff and I continue to receive calls from various businesses regarding their collection and remittance obligations. These sellers generally understand they are required to collect the tax and want to be compliant. To accomplish this, however, the one common message was that they need it to be simpler and as uniform as possible. SST does this and we continue to work with the business community to identify additional areas where simplification and uniformity may be considered.

The SST States have shown that they can and will continue to implement the remote seller collection authority they received in the *Wayfair* decision in a fair and reasonable manner. SST will continue to work with remote sellers to help them get compliant and with the entire business community to develop additional simplification and uniformity provisions as new issues arise and technology continues to evolve.

I thank you again for the opportunity to explain what the Streamlined Sales Tax Governing Board has accomplished over the last 20 plus years in partnership with the business community. We are proud of the program we have put in place and know that it is helping tens of thousands of businesses located in every state in the country and several foreign countries comply with the sales tax collection obligations in our 24 member states.

I stand ready to answer any questions you may have.